Abstract:
This deliverable describes WINNER II interim channel models for link and system level simulations. Both generic and clustered delay line models are defined for selected propagation scenarios.
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Disclaimer: The channel models described in this deliverable are based on a literature survey and measurements performed during this project. The authors are not responsible for any loss, damage or expenses caused by potential errors or inaccuracies in the models or in the deliverable.
Executive Summary

This deliverable presents WINNER II interim channel models for link level and system level simulations of short range and wide area wireless communication systems. The models have been evolved from the WINNER channel models described in WINNER I deliverable D5.4. The covered propagation scenarios are indoor small office, large indoor hall, indoor-to-outdoor, urban micro-cell, bad urban micro-cell, outdoor-to-indoor, stationary feeder, suburban macro-cell, urban macro-cell, rural macro-cell, and rural moving networks.

The generic WINNER II interim channel model follows a geometric-based stochastic channel modelling approach, which allows creating of virtually unlimited double directional radio channel model. The channel models are antenna independent, i.e., different antenna configurations and different element patterns can be inserted. The channel parameters are determined stochastically, based on statistical distributions extracted from channel measurement. The distributions are defined for, e.g., delay spread, delay values, angle spread, shadow fading, and cross-polarisation ratio. For each channel snapshot – segment – the large scale and small scale parameters are calculated from the distributions. Channel realisations are generated with geometrical principle by summing contributions of rays with specific small scale parameters like delay, power, angle-of-arrival and angle-of-departure. Different scenarios are modelled by using the same approach, but different parameters. The parameter tables for each scenario are included in this deliverable.

Clustered delay line (CDL) models with fixed large-scale and small-scale parameters have also been created for calibration and comparison of different simulations. The parameters of the CDL models are based on expectation values of the generic models.

Several measurement campaigns provide the background for the parameterisation of the propagation scenarios for both LOS and NLOS conditions. These measurements were conducted by five partners with different devices. The developed models are based on both literature and extensive measurement campaigns that have been carried out within the WINNER I and WINNER II projects.

The novel features of the WINNER models are its parameterization, the consideration of elevation in indoor scenarios, auto-correlation modelling of large-scale parameters (including cross-correlation), smooth time evolution of channel parameters, and scenario-dependent polarization modelling. The models are scalable from a single SISO or MIMO link to a multi-link MIMO scenario including polarization among other radio channel dimensions.

WINNER II interim channel models can be used in link level and system level performance evaluation of wireless systems, as well as comparison of different algorithms, technologies and products. The models can be applied not only to WINNER II system, but also any other wireless system operating in 2 – 6 GHz frequency range with maximum 100 MHz RF bandwidth. The model supports also multi-antenna technologies, polarization, multi-user, multi-cell, and multi-hop networks.
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Part I

1. Introduction

The goal of WINNER is to develop a single ubiquitous radio access system adaptable to a comprehensive range of mobile communication scenarios from short range to wide area. This will be based on a single radio access technology with enhanced capabilities compared to existing systems or their evolutions. WINNER II is a continuation of the WINNER I project, which developed the overall system concept. WINNER II will develop and optimise this concept towards a detailed system definition. [WINNERII]

The radio interface will support the challenging requirements of systems beyond 3G. It will be scalable in terms of carrier bandwidth and carrier frequency range. The system will support a wide range of radio environments providing a significant improvement in performance and Quality of Service. The radio interface will optimise the use of spectral resources, e.g. through the exploitation of actual channel conditions and multiple antenna technology. New networking topologies (e.g. relaying) will support cost-effective deployments. Support of advanced resource management and handover will ease the deployment of the WINNER system enabling seamless service provision and global roaming. [WINNERII]

It has been widely understood that radio propagation has a significant impact on the performance of wireless communication systems. The impact on future broadband systems is even more important. Because of the major influence on the system performance and complexity, radio channel models and simulations have to be more versatile and accurate than in earlier systems.

WINNER I work package 5 (WP5) focused on wideband MIMO channel modelling at 5 GHz frequency range. Totally six partners were involved in WP5 during 2004 – 2005, namely Elektrobit, Helsinki University of Technology, Nokia, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH) in Stockholm, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, and Technical University of Ilmenau. In the beginning of Phase I, existing channel models were explored to find out channel models for the initial use in the WINNER I project. Based on the literature survey, two standardised models were selected, namely 3GPP/3GPP2 Spatial Channel Model (SCM) and IEEE 802.11n. The former is used in outdoor simulations and the latter in indoor simulations. Because the bandwidth of the SCM model is on 5 MHz, wideband extension (100 MHz) for it was developed in WINNER I. However, in spite of the modification, the initial models were not adequate for the advanced WINNER I simulations. Therefore, new measurement-based models were developed in WINNER I. WINNER I generic model was created in Phase I. It allows creating of virtually unlimited double directional radio channel model. The generic model is ray-based multi-link model that is antenna independent, scalable and capable of modelling channels for MIMO connections. Extracted statistical distributions and channel parameters from measurement data of new propagation scenarios can be fitted to the generic model. WINNER I interim channel models delivered during Phase I are based on those channel measurements performed at 2 and 5 GHz bands during the project.

The SCM, SCME, and WINNER I channel models were implemented in Matlab, and are available via WINNER web site.

In the WINNER II project, a set of multidimensional channel models are developed. They cover wide scope of propagation scenarios and environments, including indoor-to-outdoor, outdoor-to-indoor, bad urban micro-cell, bad urban macro-cell, feeder link BS-FRS, and moving networks BS-MRS, MRS-MS. They are based on more generic channel modelling approach, which means the possibility to vary number of antennas, the antenna configurations, geometry and the beam antenna pattern without changing the basic propagation model. This method enables the use of the same channel data in different link level and system level simulations and it is well suited for evaluation of adaptive radio links, equalisation techniques, coding, modulation, and other transceiver techniques.

This deliverable describes the interim version of WINNER II channel models. The models are based on WINNER I models (D5.4). New scenarios and new features (time evolution of large scale parameters, intra cluster delay spread, and far cluster option) are included. CDL models are based on expectation values of stochastic models large scale parameters.

This deliverable is divided into two major parts. This first part (Sections 1 - 5) is the main part and defines the channel model structure and parameters. This second part (Sections 6 - 8) contains more detailed information about channel measurements, analysis, and the channel model implementation. The Sections 1 - 8 cover the following topics. Section 1 introduces this deliverable. Section 2 defines the
terminology, symbols, propagation scenarios, and used measurement tools. Section 3 defines the channel modelling approach. Section 4 explains the generation of channel coefficients and describes path loss models as well as parameters for generic models. Section 4 includes also comparison of Phase I and Phase II models. Section 5 discusses how the channel models are used in system level (multi-link) simulations, sampling, transition scenarios, bandwidth/frequency dependence of the models. Section 6 reports the channel measurement and analysis results. Parameter tables for CDL models can be found from Section 7. Reference implementation interfaces are described in Section 8. Reference list is in Section 9.
2. Definitions

2.1 Terminology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3GPP</td>
<td>3rd Generation Partnership Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3GPP2</td>
<td>3rd Generation Partnership Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACF</td>
<td>Auto-Correlation Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>Analog-to-Digital Converter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>Antenna Array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AoA</td>
<td>Angle of Arrival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AoD</td>
<td>Angle of Departure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APP</td>
<td>A Posteriori Probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>Angle Power Spectrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
<td>Azimuth Spread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA</td>
<td>Azimuth Spread at Arrival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>Azimuth Spread at Departure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWGN</td>
<td>Additive White Gaussian Noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3G</td>
<td>Beyond 3G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BER</td>
<td>Bit Error Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAN</td>
<td>Broadband Radio Access Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Base Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/I</td>
<td>Carrier to Interference ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDF</td>
<td>Cumulative Distribution Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDL</td>
<td>Clustered Delay Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>Concept Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIR</td>
<td>Channel Impulse Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>Canadian Research Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Continuous Wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3SF</td>
<td>Double-Directional Delay-Spread Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoA</td>
<td>Direction of Arrival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td>Direction of Departure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS/DES</td>
<td>Delay Spread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>Elektrobit Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITT</td>
<td>Elektrobit Testing Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECDF</td>
<td>Experimentally determined cumulative probability distribution function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESA</td>
<td>Elevation Spread at Arrival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD</td>
<td>Elevation Spread at Departure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESPRIT</td>
<td>Estimation of Signal Parameters via Rotational Invariance Techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHZ</td>
<td>Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich (Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETSI</td>
<td>European Telecommunications Standards Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDD</td>
<td>Frequency Division Duplex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIR</td>
<td>Finite Impulse Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS</td>
<td>Fixed Relay Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>Fixed Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Global Positioning System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIPERLAN</td>
<td>High Performance Local Area Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUT</td>
<td>Helsinki University of Technology (TKK)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IR Impulse Response
ISIS Initialization and Search Improved SAGE
KF K Factor
KTH Kungliga Tekniska Högskolan (Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm)
LA Local Area
LNS Log-Normal Shadowing
LOS Line-of-Sight
LS Large Scale
MA Metropolitan Area
MCSSS Multi-Carrier Spread Spectrum Signal
METRA Multi-Element Transmit and Receive Antennas (European IST project)
MIMO Multiple-Input Multiple-Output
MPC Multi-Path Component
MRS Mobile Relay Station
MS Mobile Station
MUSIC Multiple Signal Classification
NACM No Auto-Correlation Mode
NL Network Layout
NLOS Non Line-of-Sight
NOK Nokia
OFDM Orthogonal Frequency-Division Multiplexing
OLOS Obstructed Line-of-Sight
PAS Power Azimuth Spectrum
PD3S Power Double-Directional Delay-Spectrum
PDF Probability Distribution Function
PDP Power-Delay Profile
PL Path Loss
PN Pseudo Noise
RIMAX Maximum likelihood parameter estimation framework for joint superresolution estimation of both specular and dense multipath components
RF Radio Frequency
RMS Root Mean Square
RT Roof-top
RX Receiver
SAGE Space-Alternating Generalized Expectation-maximization
SCM Spatial Channel Model
SCME Spatial Channel Model Extended
SF/SHF Shadow Fading
SIMO Single-Input Multiple-Output
SISO Single-Input Single-Output
SoS Sum of Sinusoids
STD Standard deviation
SW Software
TDD Time Division Duplex
TDL Tapped Delay-Line
TUI Technische Universität Ilmenau
TX Transmitter
UOULU University of Oulu
WA Wide Area
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WINNER</td>
<td>Wireless World Initiative New Radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPx</td>
<td>Work Package x of WINNER project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPR</td>
<td>Cross-Polarisation power Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPRH</td>
<td>Horizontal Polarisation XPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPRV</td>
<td>Vertical Polarisation XPR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2 List of Symbols

$\Delta(\bullet)$ Change in parameter value
$\bullet^T$ Transpose
$\bullet^H$ Hermitian transpose
$\bullet^*$ Complex conjugate
$log_{10}(\bullet)$ base 10 logarithm
$cos(\bullet)$ Cosine of the argument
$sin(\bullet)$ Sine of the argument

A Pairing matrix
C Correlation matrix
$F_{tx}$ Tx antenna array response matrix
$F_{rx}$ Rx antenna array response matrix
H MIMO channel transfer matrix
N Normal distribution
U Uniform Distribution

$\varphi$ AoA
$\phi$ AoD
$\tau$ Delay
$\sigma_t$ RMS delay spread
$\sigma_{\varphi}$ RMS angle spread of AoA
$\sigma_{\phi}$ RMS angle spread of AoD
$c_{AoA}$ cluster-wise RMS angle spread of AoA
$c_{AoD}$ cluster-wise RMS angle spread of AoD
$\sigma_{SF}$ Shadow fading standard deviation
$\sigma^2$ Variance
$\zeta$ Per cluster shadowing standard deviation
$\lambda$ Wavelength
$\lambda_0$ Wave number
$\kappa^h$ Vertical-to-horizontal XPR
$\kappa^v$ Horizontal-to-vertical XPR
$\nu$ Doppler frequency
$\alpha$ Complex gain of a propagation path
$c$ Speed of light
$f_c$ Central frequency
$h_{bs}$ BS antenna height
$h_{bs}'$ Effective BS antenna height
$h_{ms}$ MS antenna height
$h_{ms}'$ Effective MS antenna height
$K_R$ Ricean K-factor
$n$ Index to cluster
$P$ Power
$\varphi_r$ AoA distribution proportionality factor
$\phi_r$ AoD distribution proportionality factor
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r_b$</td>
<td>Break point distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_r$</td>
<td>Delay distribution proportionality factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s$</td>
<td>Index to Tx antenna element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u$</td>
<td>Index to Rx antenna element</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Propagation Scenarios

The propagation scenarios modelled in WINNER are shown in Table 2-1. The propagation scenarios are explained in more detail in the following paragraphs. Mapping of scenarios to Concept Groups is shown in the table Table 2-1 in column CG.

Table 2-1. Propagation scenarios specified in WINNER. Scenarios modelled in Phase II are emphasized with bold font.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>LOS/ NLOS</th>
<th>Mob. km/h</th>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>In building Indoor small office / residential</td>
<td>LOS/ NLOS</td>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Indoor to outdoor</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>AP inside UT outside. Outdoor environment urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Hotspot Typical urban micro-cell</td>
<td>LOS NLOS</td>
<td>0–70</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>LA, MA</td>
<td>Typical distance ranges. Actual ranges depend on frequency and antenna heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>Bad Urban micro-cell</td>
<td>LOS/ NLOS</td>
<td>0–70</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Same as B1 + long delays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>Hotspot Large indoor hall</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>Outdoor to indoor. -Outdoor typical urban. -Indoor A1</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>B1 or C2 to the wall/window.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5a</td>
<td>Hotspot Metropol LOS stat. feeder, rooftop to rooftop</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Same channel model for hot spot and metropol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5b</td>
<td>Hotspot Metropol LOS stat. feeder, street-level to street-level</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Typical distance ranges. Actual ranges depend on frequency and antenna heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5c</td>
<td>Hotspot Metropol LOS stat. feeder, below rooftop to street-level</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Typical distance range. Actual range depends on frequency and antenna heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5d</td>
<td>Hotspot Metropol NLOS stat. feeder, above rooftop to street-level</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Extended C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5f</td>
<td>Feeder link BS -&gt; FRS. Approximately RT to RT level.</td>
<td>LOS/ OLOS/ NLOS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Desired link: LOS or OLOS, Interfering links: LOS/(OLOS)/NLOS FRS -&gt; MS = B1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3.1 A1 – Indoor small office
The scenario A1, has been modelled in D5.4. The layout of the scenario is shown in Figure 5-2.

![8-element antenna array](image)

8-element antenna array

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>LOS/ NLOS</th>
<th>Mob. km/h</th>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1 Metropol</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>LOS/ NLOS</td>
<td>0–120</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Metropol</td>
<td>Typical urban macro-cell</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>0–120</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Bad Urban macro-cell</td>
<td>LOS/ NLOS</td>
<td>0–70</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Same as C2 + long delays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 Rural</td>
<td>Rural macro-cell</td>
<td>LOS/ NLOS</td>
<td>0–200</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 a) Moving networks: BS – MRS, rural</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>0–350</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Very large Doppler variability.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 b) Moving networks: MRS – MS, rural</td>
<td>LOS / OLOS/ NLOS</td>
<td>0 – 5</td>
<td>2 - 6</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Not finished yet!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.2 A2 – Indoor to outdoor
In indoor-to-outdoor scenario (Figure 5-9) the MS antenna height is assumed to be at 1 – 2 m, and BS antenna height at 2 – 2.5 m + floor height. The corresponding outdoor and indoor environments are B1 an A1, respectively. It is assumed that the floors 1 to 3 are used in simulations, floor 1 meaning the ground floor.
2.3.3 B1 – Urban micro-cell
In urban micro-cell scenarios the height of both the antenna at the BS and that at the MS is assumed to be well below the tops of surrounding buildings. Both antennas are assumed to be outdoors in an area where streets are laid out in a Manhattan-like grid. The streets in the coverage area are classified as “the main street”, where there is LOS from all locations to the BS, with the possible exception of cases in which LOS is temporarily blocked by traffic (e.g. trucks and buses) on the street. Streets that intersect the main street are referred to as perpendicular streets, and those that run parallel to it are referred to as parallel streets. This scenario is defined for both LOS and NLOS cases. Cell shapes are defined by the surrounding buildings, and energy reaches NLOS streets as a result of propagation around corners, through buildings, and between them.

2.3.4 B2 – Bad Urban micro-cell
Bad urban micro-cell scenarios are identical in layout to Urban Micro-cell scenarios, as described above. However, propagation characteristics are such that multipath energy from distant objects can be received at some locations. This energy can be clustered or distinct, has significant power (up to within a few dB of the earliest received energy), and exhibits long excess delays. Such situations typically occur when there are clear radio paths across open areas, such as large squares, parks or bodies of water.

2.3.5 B3 – Indoor hotspot
Scenario B3 represents the propagation conditions pertinent to operation in a typical indoor hotspot, with wide, but non-ubiquitous coverage and low mobility (0-5 km/h). Traffic of high density would be expected in such scenarios, as for example, in conference halls, factories, train stations and airports, where the indoor environment is characterised by larger open spaces, where ranges between a BS and a MS or between two MS can be significant. Typical dimensions of such areas could range from 20 m × 20 m up to more than 100m in length and width and up to 20 m in height. Both LOS and NLOS propagation conditions could exist.

2.3.6 B4 – Outdoor to indoor
Outdoor-to-indoor in urban macrocell (C4) is identical with B4 because the antenna heights are irrelevant as explained in Chapter 7.6. In outdoor-to-indoor scenario the MS antenna height is assumed to be at 1 – 2 m (plus the floor height), and the BS antenna height below rooftop, at 5 - 15 m depending on the height of surrounding buildings (typically over four floors high). Outdoor environment is metropolitan area B1, typical urban microcell where the user density is typically high, and thus the requirements for system throughput and spectral efficiency are high. The corresponding indoor environment is A1, typical indoor small office. It is assumed that the floors 1 to 3 are used in simulations, floor 1 meaning the ground floor.

2.3.7 B5 – Stationary Feeder
Fixed feeder links scenario is described in [WIN1D54] and defined as propagation scenario B5. This scenario has also been partly modelled in [WIN1D54]. In B5, both terminals are fixed. Based on this, the scenario was divided in four categories or sub-scenarios in [WIN1D54]. These are B5a (LOS stationary feeder: rooftop to rooftop), B5b (LOS stationary feeder: street level to street level), B5c (LOS stationary feeder: below rooftop to street level) and B5d (NLOS stationary feeder: rooftop to street level). Height of street level terminal antenna is assumed to be 3-5 meters. To cover the needs of CG WA one modified sub-scenario is needed in phase 2, scenario B5f: LOS/NLOS stationary feeder: rooftop-to-below/above rooftop. All the sub-scenarios will be described below.
In stationary scenarios, the Doppler shifts of the rays are not a function of the AoAs. Instead, they are obtained from the movement of the scatterers. In B5 we let one scatterer per cluster be in motion while the others are stationary. In [TPE02] a theoretical model is built where the change of phase of scattered waves between time $t$ and $t+\Delta t$ is given by

$$4\pi \frac{f}{c} \Delta t \cos(\gamma_p) \cos(\alpha_p)$$

(2.1)

where $\alpha_p$ is the angle between the direction of scatterer movement and $\gamma_p$ the direction orthogonal to the reflecting surface and the reflection angle. By proper selection of these angles different Doppler spectrums may be achieved. For B5d also an additional term in the path-loss model has to be included.

The feeder scenarios are specified here in connection of the micro-cellular environment. Actually the feeders can be used also in the macro-cellular cases. In this document it is assumed that the useful macro-cellular feeder link, C5, is identical with the feeder model B5c.

2.3.7.1 B5a

The signal in B5a can be assumed to consist of a strong LOS signal and single bounce reflection. Also far away reflections can occur. The connection is almost like in free space, so that the path-loss does not depend noticeably on the antenna heights. For this scenario fixed angle spread, delay spread and XPR values are applied. Directive antennas are very effective in reducing the delay spread and other multi-path impacts as explained in [PT00]. However, the model is applicable for omni-directional antennas for up to 300 meters in distance. By using directive antennas the range can be extended approximately to 8 km.

A static (non-fading) channel component is added to the impulse response. We select this parameter to be 10 dB. The power-delay profile (of all paths except the direct) is set as exponential, based on the results in [OBL+02] and [SCK05]. The shadow fading is Gaussian with mean zero and standard deviation of 3.4 dB based on [PT00]. B5a sub-scenario was specified and modelled in [WIN1D54]. The same channel model is used also in Phase II.

2.3.7.2 B5b

In B5b it is assumed that both the transmitter and receiver have many scatterers in their close vicinity similar as theorized in [Sva02]. In addition there can also be long echoes from the ends of the street. There is a LoS ray between the transmitter and receiver and when this path is strong, the contribution from all the scatters is small. However, beyond the breakpoint distance the scatterers start to play an important role.

In papers e.g. [Bal02], [SBA+02] the results for different carrier frequencies are very similar. Therefore, in B5b model the frequency is disregarded. The principle adopted for the WINNER phase 1 model allows for various correlations between different parameters such as angle-spread, shadow-fading and delay-spread. In this case, dependency between path loss and delay-spread [MKA02] is applied. This dependence is handled by selecting one of three different CDL models given in [WIN1D54]. Based on the delay-spread formula in [MAS02] we select the delay spread to be 30 ns when the path loss is less than 85 dB, 110 ns when the path loss is between 85 dB and 110 dB, and finally 380 ns when the path loss is greater than 110 dB. With these settings the delay-spread used here is a factor 40%-156% of the delay-spread formula of [MAS02] for path losses up to 137 dB. We call these path-loss intervals range1, range2 and range3 and different clustered-delay line models will be provided for the three cases.

In terms of path loss, the break point distance calculated as

$$\kappa_b = 4 \frac{(h_t - h_r)(h_t - h_r)}{\lambda}$$

(2.2)

becomes important leading to so called two slope -model. The power delay profile (of all paths except the direct) is set as exponential, based on the results in [SMI+00]. A per-path shadow fading of 3 dB is used to obtain some variation in the impulse responses. A static (non-fading) channel component is added to the impulse response. Based on [FDS+94] we select this parameter to be 10 in range1, 2 in range2, and 1 in range3. Also K-factor changes according to range. B5b sub-scenario was specified and modelled in [WIN1D54]. The same channel model is used also in Phase II.
2.3.7.3 B5c and B5d

B5c and B5d can be considered as LOS of B1 and NLOS of C2 respectively. Only support for Doppler spectrum of stationary cases has to be introduced. B5c is probably the most important Feeder link scenario, because it will be used in urban micro-cell relay scenario. B5c is almost identical to the B1 micro-cellular LOS scenario. The only difference in environment is the assumed antenna height of the mobile/relay. Same channel model will cover both of the cases, except the difference in Doppler spectrum (mobility). Feeder link ends are stationary and the Doppler frequency results from motion of the environment. In B5c some clusters represent vehicles with speed of ~50 km/h and the rest of the clusters represent stationary objects like walls and building corners.

Actually B5d seems less useful for a Feeder link scenario. Therefore it is not discussed here further.

2.3.7.4 B5f

The sub-scenario is shown in the figure below.

\[ \text{Figure 2-1 B5f scenario for three cases: a) NLOS (OLOS) b) LOS c) Combined interference case.} \]

B5f scenario consists of the cases with relay antennas some meters over the roof-top or some meters below the roof-top. Key point is, if the link is LOS or NLOS: It is possible to create LOS links with the antennas below roof-tops. As well it is possible to implement NLOS links with antennas above the average roof-top level. Our approach is that the desired BS to FRS links can be planned to be LOS or OLOS, or at least “good” links. It is assumed that the interfering links from undesired BS to FRS can be LOS or NLOS. (Although in practice this can be also affected by careful planning.) It should be pointed out that the link FRS to MS is covered by the model B1. Interference to undesired feeder link may occur.

In B5f it is assumed that the relay station is shadowed due to some obstacle. The proposed model is based on literature and formed from the B5a LOS fixed relay model by attenuating artificially its direct component by 15 dB in average and summing to it a normally distributed random decibel number with standard deviation 8 dB. The path loss formula is based on the references [ZEA99] and [GEA03]. The other model parameters are the same as in B5a. The model B5f can also be understood as NLOS part of the model B5a.

2.3.8 C1 – Suburban macro-cell

In suburban macro-cells base stations are located well above the rooftops to allow wide area coverage, and mobile stations are outdoors at street level. Buildings are typically low residential detached houses with one or two floors, or blocks of flats with a few floors. Occasional open areas such as parks or playgrounds between the houses make the environment rather open. Streets do not form urban-like regular strict grid structure. Vegetation is modest.

2.3.9 C2 – Urban macro-cell

In typical urban macro-cell mobile station is located outdoors at street level and fixed base station clearly above surrounding building heights. As for propagation conditions, non- or obstructed line-of-sight is a common case, since street level is often reached by a single diffraction over the rooftop. The building blocks can form either a regular Manhattan type of grid, or have more irregular locations. Typical building heights in urban environments are over four floors. Buildings height and density in typical urban macro-cell are mostly homogenous.
2.3.10 C3 – Bad urban macro-cell

Bad urban environment describes cities with buildings with distinctly inhomogeneous building heights or densities, and results to a clearly dispersive propagation environment in delay and angular domain. The inhomogeneities in city structure can be e.g. due to large water areas separating the built-up areas, or the high-rise skyscrapers in otherwise typical urban environment. Increased delay and angular dispersion can also be caused by mountainous surrounding the city. Base station is typically located above the average rooftop level, but within its coverage range there can also be several high-rise buildings exceeding the base station height. From modelling point of view this differs from typical urban macro-cell by an additional far scatterer cluster.

2.3.11 D1 – Rural macro-cell

Propagation scenario D1 represents radio propagation in large areas (radii up to 10 km) with low building density. The height of the AP antenna is typically in the range from 20 to 70 m, which is much higher than the average building height. Consequently, LOS conditions can be expected to exist in most of the coverage area. In case the UE is located inside a building or vehicle, an additional penetration loss is experienced which can possibly be modelled as a (frequency-dependent) constant value. The AP antenna location is fixed in this propagation scenario, and the UE antenna velocity is in the range from 0 to 200 km/h.

In WINNER Phase I, measurements were conducted in a flat rural environment near Oulu in Finland, at both 2.45 and 5.25 GHz, and with an AP antenna height of 18 - 25 m. A channel model derived from these measurements is available and has been reported in [WIND54]. The channel model from Phase I for propagation scenario D1 is generalised for the frequency range 2 – 6 GHz and different BS and MS antenna heights.

2.3.12 D2 – Moving networks

Propagation scenario D2 (“Rural Moving Network”) represents radio propagation in environments where both the AP and the UE are moving, possibly at very high speed, in a rural area. A typical example of this scenario occurs in carriages of high-speed trains where wireless coverage is provided by so-called moving relay stations (MRSs) which can be mounted, for example, to the ceiling. Note that the link between the fixed network and the moving network (train) is typically a LOS wireless link whose propagation characteristics are represented by propagation scenario D1.

2.4 Measurement Tools

Five different radio channel measurement systems have been used in the propagation measurements during Phase I and II. Main characteristics of the channel sounders used in Phase II are summarised in this section.

2.4.1 Propsound (EBITT, UOULU, Nokia)

Propsound™ multi-dimensional radio channel sounder is a product of Elektrobit, Finland [PSound]. Propsound has been designed so that it suits very well to realistic radio channel measurements both in time- and spatial-domains. It is based on the spread spectrum sounding method in the delay domain. The other dimensions like polarizations, FDD frequencies or spatial dimensions over antenna arrays are covered using an advanced time-domain switching. Together with optional super-resolution techniques (SAGE algorithm) this allows accurate measurements of SISO, SIMO, MIMO, geolocation and multi-user propagation channels. Some key features of Propsound are presented in Table 2-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Propsound Property</th>
<th>Range of values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RF bands</td>
<td>1.7 - 2.1, 2.0 - 2.7, 3.2 - 4.0, 5.1 - 5.9 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained measurement rate</td>
<td>Up to 30,000 CIR/s (code length: 255 chips)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum cycle (snapshot) rate</td>
<td>1500 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chip frequency</td>
<td>up to 100 Mchips/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useable code lengths</td>
<td>31 - 4095 chips (M-sequences)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Number of measurement channels | up to 8448
---|---
Measurement modes | SISO, SIMO, MIMO
Receiver noise figure | better than 3 dB
Baseband sampling rate | up to 2 GSamples/s
Spurious IR free dynamic range: | 35 dB
Transmitter output | up to 26 dBm (400 mW), adjustable in 2 dB steps
Control | Windows notebook PC via Ethernet
Post processing | MATLAB package
Synchronisation | rubidium clock with stability of 10 e-11

Table 2-3 Propsound™ terminals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ODA_5G25</th>
<th>PLA_5G25</th>
<th>UCA_5G25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Array structure</td>
<td>omnidirectional array</td>
<td>rectangular array</td>
<td>uniform circular array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarization</td>
<td>dual (+/- 45)</td>
<td>dual (+/- 45)</td>
<td>vertical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center frequency (GHz)</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>50 (25 dual)</td>
<td>32 (16 dual)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element type</td>
<td>patch</td>
<td>patch</td>
<td>monopole</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2-4 Propsound™ antennas.
The channel sounder RUSK TUI-FAU used in TU Ilmenau MIMO measurements is designed by Medav, Germany [Medav]. The RUSK is a real-time radio channel impulse response measurement system that supports multiple transmit and receive antenna element configurations.

The RUSK MIMO channel sounder measures the channel response matrix between all transmitting and receiving antenna elements sequentially by switching between different (Tx,Rx) antenna element pairs. This means that sounder uses only one physical transmitter and receiver channel, what reduces the sensitivity to channel imbalance. A switched antenna approach offers the simple way to change number of antenna elements in array. Additionally, since antennas are not transmitting at the same time there is no need for separation of transmitted signals at the receiver side. To accomplish synchronous switching, rubidium reference oscillators are used both at transmitter and receiver. Timing and switching frame synchronization is established during an initial synchronization process prior to measurement data recording and must be maintained over the complete measurement time.

For channel excitation RUSK uses multi-carrier spread spectrum signal (MCSSS) with almost rectangular shape in frequency domain. That allows the precise concentration of the signal energy into the band of interest. In this way multiple bands (e.g. separated up- and down-link bands in FDD) are supported by setting some spectral magnitudes to zero.

Table 2-5 summarizes the key features of the RUSK TUI-FAU channel sounder.

**Table 2-5 Key features of the Medav RUSK TUI-FAU channel sounder.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUSK TUI-FAU Sounder Property</th>
<th>Range of values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RF bands</td>
<td>5...6 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. meas. data storage rate</td>
<td>(2x)*160 Mbyte/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test signal</td>
<td>Multi Carrier Spread Spectrum Signal (MCSSS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence length</td>
<td>256 – 8192 spectral lines, depending on IR length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement modes</td>
<td>SISO, SIMO, MIMO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling frequency</td>
<td>640 MHz at Tx and Rx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spurious free IR dynamic range:</td>
<td>48 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitter output</td>
<td>up to 33 dBm (2 W),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propagation delay resolution</td>
<td>4.17 ns (1/bandwidth)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impulse response length | 0.8 µs – 25.6 µs
---|---
RF sensitivity | -88 dBm
Control | Windows PC
Post processing | MATLAB package
Synchronisation | rubidium clock with stability of $10^{-10}$

* rate is doubled with additional disk storage. Second storage enables shorter time gap between Tx-Rx sub-channels.

Overview of measurement-relevant technical data for antenna arrays used in TU-Ilmenau campaigns is given in Table 2-6.

Table 2-6 Overview of TU-Ilmenau antenna arrays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>PULAS (PULAS@10W)</th>
<th>UCA16</th>
<th>PUCPA24</th>
<th>SPUCPA4x24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>IRK Dresden</td>
<td>TU Ilmenau</td>
<td>IRK Dresden</td>
<td>IRK Dresden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Array structure</td>
<td>uniform linear array</td>
<td>uniform circular array</td>
<td>uniform circular array</td>
<td>stacked uniform circular array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarization</td>
<td>dual (vertical+ horizontal)</td>
<td>vertical</td>
<td>dual (vertical+ horizontal)</td>
<td>dual (vertical+ horizontal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center frequency [GHz]</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth [MHz]</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Power [dBm]</td>
<td>27 (40)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element type</td>
<td>patch</td>
<td>disk cone</td>
<td>patch</td>
<td>Patch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensioning</td>
<td>element spacing 0.4943 λ</td>
<td>diameter 10.85 cm</td>
<td>diameter 19.5 cm</td>
<td>diameter 19.5 cm ring spacing 0.4943 λ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element orientation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Monopol antenna that is mounted on ICE roof was manufactured by Huber+Suhner, type: SWA 0859 – 360/4/0/DFRX30. Disc-conical antenna used for ICE S ISO measurements is designed by Kurt Blau (TU Ilmenau) for 5.2 GHz range.

2.4.3 CRC sounder

The sounder used for the CRC measurements is the fourth generation of a PN sounder design that was first implemented with 20 MHz bandwidth at CRC in 1981. Its construction is bread-board style, with semi-rigid cables connecting various commercially-available modules, such as phase-locked oscillators, power splitters, mixers, filter modules, and amplifiers. The bread-board style construction is maintained so as to allow easy reconfiguration and recalibration for different measurement tasks, with different operating frequencies and different bandwidths, as required. Its PN sequence generator is a CRC implementation that can generate sequences of length between 127 and 1021 chips, and it can be clocked at rates up to 65 mchps. Both CRC-Chanprobe’s transmitter and its receiver have two RF sections with
operating bandwidths centred on 2.25 GHz and 5.8 GHz. The transmitter transmits continuously in both bands. Operation at other frequencies is made possible by substituting different up-converter PLOs and bandpass filters.

The receiver front ends are connected sequentially, using an RF switch, to its IF section. Operation at other centre frequencies is accomplished via an extra, external RF section, with frequency translation to either 2.25 or 5.8 GHz. Final downconversion is from IF to baseband via quadrature downconversion circuitry. The in-phase (I) and quadra-phase (Q) baseband outputs can each be sampled at rates up to 100 MSamples/sec. CRC-Chanprobe’s operating characteristics are summarized in Table 2-7.

Table 2-7 CRC-Chanprobe operating characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRC-Chanprobe Property</th>
<th>Range of values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RF bands</td>
<td>0.95, 2.25, (4.9), 5.8, 30, 40, 60 GHz [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained measurement rate</td>
<td>10,000 snapshots /S [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum cycle (snapshot) rate</td>
<td>40,000 snapshots /S [3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chip rate</td>
<td>up to 50 Mchps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useable code lengths</td>
<td>127 – 1021 chips (M-sequences)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of measurement channels</td>
<td>32 Switched Rx antennas, 1 Tx antenna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement modes</td>
<td>SISO, SIMO (double directional MIMO beginning approx Sept./07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver noise figure</td>
<td>better than 2 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseband sampling rate</td>
<td>100 MSamples/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spurious IR free dynamic range</td>
<td>40 dB [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitter output</td>
<td>up to 42 dBm at 2.25 GHz, up to 30 dBm at other frequencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Windows PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post processing</td>
<td>MATLAB package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronisation</td>
<td>rubidium clock with stability of 10 e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Received Power level</td>
<td>-89 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Dynamic Range without pre-attenuation</td>
<td>-69 dBm to -89 dBm with 20 dB MPSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmit Antenna</td>
<td>Vertical Quarter-Wavelength Monopole, with drooping radials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receive Antenna</td>
<td>32 Element UCA of Vertical Quarter-Wavelength Monopoles with drooping radials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Transfer rate specs are quoted assuming a single Rx channel, 50 Mchps m-sequence, sequence length 255 chips, 2 samples per chip, 1 sequence length per snapshot.

1) 0.95, 4.9 & 5.8 GHz characteristics are SISO
2) Based on a verified average data acquisition rate of ~20 Mbytes/S when logging data to hard disk in real time (needed for long measurement runs).
3) Based on a verified average data acquisition rate of ~80 Mbytes/S when not logging data to hard disk in real time (valid for short measurement runs).
4) Dynamic range is a misnomer for this. It should be called Multipath Power Sensitivity Ratio (MPSR). “Dynamic Range” should be kept for the description of the power fading range over which the receiver operates linearly (ie Max RInput Power-Min RF input power for linear operation: CRC-Chanprobe operates over the range –69 dBm to -89 dBm, with > 20 dB MPSR). An attenuator after the Rx LNA can be automatically switched, however, to allow maximum received powers up to -19 dBm.

At the time of writing, CRC-Chanprobe operates only in SISO mode. A 32-element switched uniform circular array and a 32-element double-cross 3D array have been implemented for use at the receiver. Both arrays employ quarter-wavelength monopole antennas for the reception of vertically polarized waves. Both are still being calibrated at the time of writing. Planning is also underway for the implementation of a 19 element array at the transmitter to allow double directional measurements.
3. Channel Modelling Approach

WINNER channel model is a geometric based stochastic model. Geometric based modelling of the radio channel enables separation of propagation parameters and antennas. The channel parameters for individual snapshots are determined stochastically, based on statistical distributions extracted from channel measurement. Antenna geometries and field patterns can be defined properly by the user of the model. Channel realisations are generated with geometrical principle by summing contributions of rays (plane waves) with specific small scale parameters like delay, power, AoA and AoD. Superposition results to correlation between antenna elements and temporal fading with geometry dependent Doppler spectrum.

A number of rays constitute a cluster. In the terminology of this document we equate the cluster with a propagation path diffused in space, either or both in delay and angle domains. Elements of the MIMO channel, i.e. antenna arrays at both link ends and propagation paths, are illustrated in Figure 3-1.

Transfer matrix of the MIMO channel is

\[
H(t; \tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} h_n(t; \tau)
\]

(3.1)

It is composed of antenna array response matrices \(F_{tx}\) for the transmitter, \(F_{rx}\) for the receiver and the propagation channel response matrix \(h_n\) for cluster \(n\) as follows

\[
H_n(t; \tau) = \int \int \left( F_{tx}(\phi) h_n(t; \tau, \phi) F_{tx}^{T}(\phi) \right) d\phi d\phi
\]

(3.2)

The channel from Tx antenna element \(s\) to Rx element \(u\) for cluster \(n\) is

\[
H_{n,s,u}(t; \tau) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[ F_{rx,u,V}(\phi_{n,m}) F_{tx,u,H}(\phi_{n,m}) \right] \left[ \alpha_{n,m,VV} \alpha_{n,m,HH} \alpha_{n,m,VH} a_{n,m,VV} a_{n,m,HH} a_{n,m,VH} \right] \times \exp \left( j2\pi \lambda_0^{-1} (\vec{\phi}_{n,m} \cdot \vec{r}_{x,u}) \right) \times \exp \left( j2\pi v_{n,m} t \right) \delta(\tau - \tau_{n,m})
\]

(3.3)

where \(F_{rx,u,V}\) and \(F_{rx,u,H}\) are the antenna element \(u\) field patterns for vertical and horizontal polarisations respectively, \(\alpha_{n,m,VV}\) and \(\alpha_{n,m,VH}\) are the complex gains of vertical-to-vertical and vertical-to-horizontal polarisations of ray \(n,m\) respectively. Further \(\lambda_0\) is the wave length on carrier frequency, \(\vec{\phi}_{n,m}\) is AoD unit vector, \(\vec{r}_{x,u}\) is AoA unit vector, \(\vec{r}_{x,s}\) and \(r_{x,u}\) are the location vectors of element \(s\) and \(u\) respectively, and \(v_{n,m}\) is the Doppler frequency component of ray \(n,m\). If the radio channel is modelled as dynamic, all the above mentioned small scale parameters are time variant, i.e. function of \(t\).
For interested reader, the more detailed description of the modelling framework can be found in WINNER Phase I deliverable [WIN1D54].

3.1 Stochastic models

WINNER generic model is a system level model, which can describe infinite number of propagation environment realisations for single or multiple radio links for all the defined scenarios for arbitrary antenna configurations, with one mathematical framework by different parameter sets. Generic model is a stochastic model with two (or three) levels of randomness. At first, large scale (LS) parameters like shadow fading, delay and angular spreads are drawn randomly from tabulated distribution functions. Next, the small scale parameters like delays, powers and directions arrival and departure are drawn randomly according to tabulated distribution functions and random LS parameters (second moments). At this stage geometric setup is fixed and only free variables are the random initial phases of the scatterers. By picking (randomly) different initial phases, an infinite number of different realisations of the model can be generated. When also the initial phases are fixed, the model is fully deterministic.

3.2 Network layout

WINNER MIMO radio channel model should enable system level simulations and testing. This means that multiple links are to be simulated (evolved) simultaneously.

In spatial channel model the performance of the single link is defined by low-level parameters of all MPCs between two spatial positions of radio-stations. According to this, if only one station is mobile (MS), its position in space-time is defining a single link. The more complex network topology also includes multithop links [Bap04] and cooperative relaying [Lan02], however more complex peer-to-peer connections could be easily described as collections of direct radio-links.

Control parameters for single link are called Large-Scale Parameters (LSP). If we are analyzing multiple positions of MS (more MSs or multiple positions of the single MS) we have a multiple-link model for system level simulations. It can be noted that different MSs being at the same spatial position will experience same LSP link control parameters.

This also means that some reference coordinate system has to be established in witch positions and movement of radio-stations would be described. A term network layout is designating complete description of system elements relative positions, as well as vectored description of their movements (speeds). In general, positions (coordinates) of scatterers are unknown. Only exceptions are related to far cluster scatterers (FCS) that are actually positioned in the same coordinate system as radio-stations. The reason was to support per-cluster correlation between MSs that are exhibiting long excess delays from the same FCS. In order to establish other correlations existing between links at system level it is possible to interact with LSPs, what is described in following subsection.

3.2.1 Correlations between large scale parameters

For single position of radio-stations (one link) we can describe inter-dependence of multiple control parameters (LSP) with correlation coefficient matrix. Correlations of LSPs that are observed in measured data are not reflected in joint power or probability distributions. Instead LSPs are estimated from marginal power distributions (independently for angles and delays), and necessary dependence is re-established through cross-correlation measure:

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{C_{xy}}{\sqrt{C_{xx}C_{yy}}}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $C_{xy}$ is the cross-covariance of LS parameters $x$ and $y$.

At system level two types of correlations could be defined: a) between MSs being connected to the same BS and b) correlations of links from the same MS to multiple BSs (Figure 3-2). These correlations are mostly caused by some scatterers contributing to different links (similarity of the environment).
From this reason elements of link cross-correlation coefficient matrix should reflect exponential decay with distance, as shown in Figure 3-3:

\[
\rho_{xy}(d_{MS}) = \frac{C_{xy}(d_{MS})}{\sqrt{C_{xx}C_{yy}}},
\]

(3.5)

Figure 3-2 Links toward common station will exhibit inter-correlations: a) fixed common station, b) mobile common station

In the first case WINNER models are using exponential correlation functions to describe dependence of LSP changes over distance. In other words LSPs of two MSs links toward same BS would experience correlations that are proportional to their relative distance \(d_{MS}\). As a consequence correlation coefficient matrices for neighbouring links (for MSs at certain distance) are not independent and they also have to reflect observed correlations over the distance dimension:

Correlation properties of links from the same MS to multiple BSs (inter-site) are still being investigated. In 3GPP SCM, shadowing fading for links from one MS to different BSs exhibits constant correlation coefficient equal to 0.5. Introduced correlation does not depend on distances between BSs or their relative angular positions as seen from MS and therefore it is not layout dependent. Additionally, this property is estimated from few measurements and therefore it is not considered as being fully representative for different WINNER scenarios.
The inter-site correlation of shadowing fading is also investigated in the literature for the outdoor macro-cell scenarios: in [Gud91], [PCH01] and [WL02], the authors proposed that the inter-site correlation is a function of the angle between BSs directions being seen from the MS (\( \theta \)); while in [Sau99], author studied the dependence of the inter-site correlation on the distance between BSs, \( d_{BS} \). However, all these investigations were conducted at lower carrier frequencies and bandwidths then being targeted by WINNER. Therefore their suitability for WINNER model should be checked and then further improved. For future WINNER purposes dependence of the inter-site correlation between LSPs will be studied from the measurement data as a function of three parameters: \( \rho(\theta, d_{BS}, \Delta d) \). The impact of the distance difference between two links MS-BS1 and MS-BS2 (\( \Delta d \) in Figure 3-3) on the correlation properties has not been investigated up to now.

Inter-correlations between links of one MS to multiple sectors of the same BS could be analyzed in a similar way, by treating different sectors of the BS as independent one-sector BSs. Additionally, same procedure can be used to determine correlations between consecutive hops of multi-hop links at all common link nodes.

All analyzed correlations also would be dependent on the existence of the line-of-sight condition and directivity of antenna radiation pattern.

Correlation of large-scale parameters (LSPs) is performed by correlating realizations of independent Gaussian random processes (IGRP). If i-th LSP, \( s_i \), have distribution that differs from Normal (Gauss), required distribution is generated by applying mapping from random variable \( \tilde{s}_i \) having Gaussian distribution. Random variable \( \tilde{s}_i \) will be referred as transformed LSP (TLSP). Prior of mapping \( \tilde{s}_i \) to \( s_i \), \( \tilde{s}_i \) is correlated with TLSPs \( \tilde{s}_j \), belonging to other LSPs or different links (being at certain distance - for system level correlations). Process applied to introduce or to calculate correlations (from measured data) is illustrated in Figure 3-4.

![Figure 3-4 Correlations of LSP are introduced in transformed domain.](image)

In cases when mapping \( s_i = g_i^{-1}(\tilde{s}_i) \) is unknown, necessary relations between LSP and transformed domain can be established using knowledge about cumulative density distribution (cdf) of \( s_i \), \( F_{s_i}(s) \). In such cases \( s_i \) can be generated from \( \tilde{s}_i \) using expression:

\[
 s = g_i^{-1}(\tilde{s}) = F_{s_i}^{-1}(F_{\tilde{s}_i}(\tilde{s}))
\]  

(3.6)

where \( F_{\tilde{s}_i}(\tilde{s}) \) is cdf of Normally distributed process that can be calculated using Q-function (or erf/erfc).

In simpler cases, e.g. when LSP is log-normaly distributed it is possible to use known mappings:

\[
s = g_i^{-1}(\tilde{s}) = 10^\tilde{s}
\]  

(3.7)

\[
\tilde{s} = g_i(s) = \log_{10}(s)
\]  

(3.8)

As a correlation measure cross-correlation coefficient is used, expression (1 in 3.2.1). In section 3.2.1 it is explained that for one link (single position of MS) inter-dependence of multiple control parameters can be described with correlation coefficient matrix. Additionally if parameters of intra-site links are correlated according to distance between MS positions, then correlations matrix gets additional dimension.
that describes changes in correlations over distance, Figure (2 in 3.2.1). This means that for each pair of TLSP we can define cross-correlation coefficient dependence over distance, as in expression (2 in 3.2.1):

$$\rho_{\tilde{r} \tilde{r}'}(d_{k,l}) = \frac{C_{\tilde{r} \tilde{r}'}(d_{k,l})}{\sqrt{C_{\tilde{r} \tilde{r}'} C_{\tilde{r} \tilde{r}'}}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Cross-variances $C_{\tilde{r} \tilde{r}'}(d_{k,l})$ are calculated from measurement data using knowledge about positions of MS during measurement, and in general have exponential decay over distance.

If each link is controlled by $M$ TLSPs, and we have $K$ links corresponding to MS locations at positions $(x_k, y_k)$, $k = 1..K$, then it is necessary to correlate values for $N = M \cdot K$ variables.

Generation of $N$ Normally distributed and correlated TLSPs can be based on scaling and summation of $N$ independent zero-mean and unit variance Gaussian random variables, $\xi_N(x, y) = [\xi_1(x_1, y_1), \ldots, \xi_N(x_N, y_N)]^T$. Using matrix notation that can be expressed:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}(x, y) = \mathbf{Q}_{N\times N} \xi_N(x, y) \tag{3.10}$$

This will ensure that final distribution is also Gaussian. Scaling coefficients have to be determined in such a way that cross-variances $C_{\tilde{r} \tilde{r}'}(d_{k,l})$, $d_{k,l} = \sqrt{(x_k - x_l)^2 + (y_k - y_l)^2}$ are corresponding to measured values. If element $C_{i,j}$ of matrix $\mathbf{C}_{N\times N}$ represents cross-variance between TLSPs $\tilde{r}_i$ and $\tilde{r}_j$, then scaling matrix can be calculated as:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{N\times N} = \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{N\times N}}. \tag{3.11}$$

This approach is not appropriate for correlation of large number of parameters, since dimensions of scaling matrix are increasing proportionally to the total number of TLSPs in all links (squared dependence in number of elements).

From that reason it would be more convenient to separately calculate influence of each link (at distance $d$):

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}(x_k, y_k) = \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{M\times M}(d_{k,l})} \xi_M(x_l, y_l) = \sum_{l=1}^{K} \mathbf{Q}_{M\times M}(d) \xi_M(x_l, y_l) \tag{3.12}$$

where $\xi_M(x_l, y_l)$ is vector of $M$ Gaussian random variables corresponding to $M$ TLSP for link (position) $l$, and

$$\mathbf{C}_{M\times M}(d) = \begin{bmatrix} C_{\tilde{r} \tilde{r}'}(d) & \cdots & C_{\tilde{r} \tilde{r}'}(d) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{\tilde{r} \tilde{r}'}(d) & \cdots & C_{\tilde{r} \tilde{r}'}(d) \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.13}$$

However, it may not be simple to analytically define dependence of scaling matrix $\mathbf{Q}_{M\times M}(d) = \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{M\times M}(d)}$ over distance $d$. In [D5.4] dependence of cross-variance matrix over distance is approximated in order to get suitable factorization:

$$\mathbf{C}_{M\times M}(d) = \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{M\times M}(0)} \mathbf{D}_{M\times M}(d) \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{M\times M}(0)}^T \tag{3.14}$$

The square root of cross-ariance matrix for single link, $\mathbf{C}_{M\times M}(0) = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E}^T$, is calculated using eigenvalue decomposition:

$$\sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{M\times M}(0)} = \mathbf{E} \sqrt{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \tag{3.15}$$

and $\mathbf{D}(d)$ is diagonal matrix whose elements are dependant on distance.

$$\mathbf{D}_{M\times M}(d) = \text{diag}\left\{ \exp\left(-\frac{d}{\lambda_{r}}, \ldots, \exp\left(-\frac{d}{\lambda_{M}} \right) \right) \right\} \tag{3.16}$$
Since \( \mathbf{D}(d) \) is diagonal, proposed factorization enables easy analytical description of scaling matrix over distance:

\[
\mathbf{Q}_{M \times M}(d) = \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{M \times M}(0)} \sqrt{\mathbf{D}_{M \times M}(d)}
\]  
(3.17)

\[
\tilde{s}(x_k, y_k) = \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{M \times M}(0)} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sqrt{\mathbf{D}_{M \times M}(d, i)} \tilde{s}_M(x_k, y_k)
\]  
(3.18)

In this case approximation of each of \( M \times M \) exponential dependences in \( \mathbf{C}_{M \times M}(d) \) is based upon scaling and summation of \( M \) exponential functions. Approximation parameters \( \hat{\lambda}_m, m = 1..M \) should provide best fitting of measured distance dependence.

Above solution resolves problem originating from matrix notation. If vector notation is used then it is possible to avoid approximations related to \( \hat{\lambda}_m, m = 1..M \) parameters. In this case we can define one vector for each of each of \( M \) TLSPs belonging to the same link

\[
\sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{1 \times M}^i(d)} = \left[ \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{\tilde{x}_i \tilde{y}_i}(d)}, \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{\tilde{x}_{i+1} \tilde{y}_i}(d)}, \ldots, \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{\tilde{x}_{i+M} \tilde{y}_i}(d)} \right]
\]  
(3.19)

Then total influence of all links from different distances to single TLSP parameter in one link is

\[
\tilde{s}_i(x_k, y_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{1 \times M}^i(d, i)} \tilde{s}_M(x_k, y_k)
\]  
(3.20)

This approach enables direct use of all \( M \times M \) measured (modelled) cross-covariance functions \( \sqrt{\mathbf{C}_{1 \times M}(d, i)}, i = 1..M \), and avoids their approximation that is based on summation of \( M \) exponentials.

### 3.3 Concept of channel segments and time evolution

Channel segment (drop) represents period of quasi-stationarity in which probability distributions of low-level parameters are not changed. During this period all large-scale control parameters, as well as velocity and direction-of-travel for mobile station (MS), are held constant in WINNER I model [WIN1D54]. Motion within a segment is only virtual and causes fast fading and the Doppler effect by superposition of rotating phasors, rays. To be physically feasible, the channel segment must be relatively confined in distance. The size depends on the environment, but it can be at maximum few meters. Although the large scale parameters can be correlated between the channel segments, the radio channel is discontinuous from segment to segment. Future WINNER models would keep the concept of channel segments in order to keep simulation complexity low. Often discussed issue about “time-evolution” in stochastic spatial models (SCM/SCME/WIM) is related to the fact that small-scale parameters (delays and departure angles) are generated independently between consecutive segments. This type of time-behaviour (having abrupt changes between segments) does not fully correspond to reality, what may have impact to performance evaluation of time-dependent algorithms.

In the WINNER II interim model the propagation parameters may vary over time between the channel segments. In the multi segment modelling two options are available, either stationary channel segments like in WINNER I or continuous channel evolution with smooth transitions between segments. There are proposed four different approaches for time evolution modelling.

1. SCM extension (SCME) has allowed simultaneous drifting of arriving angles and delays for every MPC at each simulation step inside segment [BHS05]. (Drifting of angles/delays was based on randomly generated distance from Tx/Rx antennas to the closest scatters.) In respect to this property SCME can be classified as model with continuous evolution (in discrete steps, being smaller than drop). Consequence was substantial increase of complexity and simulation time length in comparison to SCM/WINNER.

2. In WINNER2 WP3 [Zet05] possibility of using 2D spatial filtering for pre-calculation of auto-correlation coefficient values was analyzed. Additionally, if more large scale parameter values are generated inside segment (e.g. at spatial distances corresponding to 1/10 of de-correlation
3. In this report the time evolution of propagation parameters is modelled like depicted in Figure 3-5. The route to be modelled is covered by adjacent channel segments. The distance between segments is equal to the stationarity interval. Transition from segment to segment is carried out by replacing clusters of the “old” segment by the clusters of the “new” segment, one by one. The route between adjacent channel segments is divided to number of sub-intervals equal to maximum number of clusters within the channel segments. During each sub-interval the power of one old cluster ramps down and one new cluster ramps up. Power ramps are linear. Clusters from the old and new segments are coupled based on their power. If number of clusters is different in the channel segments the weakest clusters are ramped up or down without a pair from other cluster.

4. The new approach is based on controlled positioning of clusters in (delay, angular) domain. As a control property existing LSP parameters (delay and angular spreads) and power distribution functions (marginal PDP, PAS or joint distribution) could be used. The only change to the existing situation would be that exact values of DS and AS would be enforced from delays, angles and power distributions.

![Figure 3-5 Smooth transition between channel segments by power ramp-up and ramp-down of clusters.](image)

Out of these four approaches, the two latter ones enable transitions between different propagation conditions, LOS/NLOS, and different propagation scenarios, e.g. outdoor/indoor. The third approach is chosen for WINNER2 interim model because of its relative simplicity. Anyhow the fourth approach will be studied further for the final model. It is outlined in the following:

1. When change in LS parameters (DS, AS) is small, that usually means that changes in cluster delays and angles are not significant (they are caused by the same scatterers like in previous drop). In this case quasi-deterministic approach based on distance from scatterers could be applied ONCE at the initiation of the new drop, or small random offset can be applied.

2. When significant change in LSP is present, in reality we usually have different scatters (and possibly different number). In this case we have either to disposition existing clusters significantly or change the total number of clusters.
   a. Number of scatters and changes in cluster delays and angles are not independent from LSP change! This will influence cluster life-time and has to be taken into account if concepts of cluster birth and death are to be supported by the WINNER. Necessity to remove or add new clusters should be based on properties acquired from measurement data, and it is highly probable that probability distributions related to number of clusters (as well as the other cluster properties) would be conditioned on LSP. For the each newly introduced cluster position (delay, angle) and power should be adjusted according to AS and DS values.
   b. However changes in DS and AS could be described also by relocation of clusters. In this case clusters would be relocated sequentially (one-by-one) until exact values of DS and ASs are not obtained.
      i. Processing starts in delay-domain by generating delays $\tau_n$ from appropriate probability distribution parameterized by DS and proportionality factor.
ii. Cluster powers $P_n = PDP(\tau_n) \cdot \frac{SF_n}{10}$ are obtained from PDP (also controlled by DS). Additionally, per-cluster shadowing is included to describe measured deviations from PDP model.

iii. If calculated DS is different from required value, we are starting sequential relocation of clusters in delay domain. When relocating one cluster, its new position in delay $\tau_n$ and power $P_n(\tau_n)$ have to be determined. Since calculated measure of delay spread is non-linear function of $\tau_n$:

$$ds(\tau_n, P_n(\tau_n)) = 0$$

If solution exist than relocation is over and required value of DS is enforced. If equation does not have acceptable solution, we can move chosen cluster in such a manner to reduce difference between wanted and existing measures of DS, and after that proceed with next cluster. After relocating few clusters this procedure gives delays and powers that fulfil exact DS measure.

iv. Transition from delay domain to angular domains (departure and arrival) is based on powers determined in delay domain. The power angular spectrum (PAS) is used to inversely map powers to angles: $\varphi_n = PAS^{-1}(P_n)$. (Inverse mapping is not unique – it can not distinguish between left and right angle offsets in respect to LOS direction, but that is not important for this purpose). Obtained angles are initial since AS value calculated from these initial angles and powers may not be desired one.

v. To fit desired value of AS we can not change powers since they are related to desired DS. Instead, we have to adjust angles. Changes in angles are possible if deviations from PAS due to per-cluster shadowing are allowed. In our case we would assume that given power already includes shadowing what allows change of angle to certain extent (see the figure below).

vi. Procedure to fit required value of spread would be similar to that in delay domain. Only difference is that during adjustment of angular spread, powers are fixed and fitting is based only on angle values: $AS = as(\varphi_n) = 0$.

### 3.4 Reduced complexity models

A need has been identified for reduced-complexity channel models that can be used in rapid simulations having the objective of making comparisons between link-level systems alternatives (e.g. modulation and coding choices). In this report, such models are referred to as reduced-complexity models, and have the character of the well-known tapped delay line class of fading channel models. However, to address the needs of MIMO channel modelling, temporal variations at the taps are determined by more detailed information than that required for the specification of relative powers, envelope fading distributions, and fading rates, which are typical inputs to traditional tapped delay line models.

Specifically, multipath AoD and AoA information is inherent in the determination of tap fading characteristics. For these reasons, the reduced complexity models reported herein are referred to as
Cluster Delay Line (CDL) models. A cluster is centred at each tap. In general, each cluster is comprised of the vector sum of equal-powered MPCs (sinusoids), all of which have the same delay. Each MPC has a varying phase, but has fixed AoA and AoD offsets. The latter depend on the angular spreads at the MS and the BS, respectively, as shown in Table 3.10 of [WIN1 D5.4]. The values in this table were chosen to realise a specified Laplacian PAS for each cluster, appropriate to the scenario being modelled. In cases where there is a desire to simulate Ricean-like fading, an extra MPC is added, which is given a power appropriate to the desired Rice factor, and zero angular offset. The powers and delays of the clusters can be non-uniform, and can be chosen to realise the desired overall channel rms delay spread. Parameters of all CDL models reflect the expected values of those used in the more complex models described in other sections of this report.

Doppler information is not specified explicitly for CDL models. This is because it is determined by the AoAs of the MPCs, and the specified antenna patterns at the MS and BS, upon which there are no restrictions, except in fixed feeder link scenarios, as discussed in Section 3.4.2, below.

### 3.4.1 Cluster Delay Line models for mobile and portable scenarios

The average power, mean AoA, mean AoD, and angle spreads at the BS and MS associated with each cluster within the cluster delay line models appropriate to scenarios A1, C2, and D1 were estimated from 100 Mchps channel sounding measurements at 5 GHz. Those for scenario B1 were estimated from 60 Mchps channel sounding measurements at 5 GHz. Tables of CDL parameters for the above-cited scenarios can be found in Section 4.

### 3.4.2 Cluster Delay Line models fixed feeder links

Only CDL models have been created for fixed feeder links. Model parameters were derived from the literature, and are given in the tables of Section 4. As for the mobile and portable scenarios, any desired antenna patterns can be chosen. However, for B5 scenarios, at distances greater than 300 metres, the 3 dB beamwidth of the antenna at one end of the link should be less than 10 degrees, while that at the other end of the link should be less than 53 degrees. Different parameters are specified in the cited tables for scenarios B5a, b, c, and d.

For fixed link scenarios, Doppler shifts are independent of AOAs. Instead, they are derived from considerations concerning the movement of interacting objects. One interacting object per cluster is modelling as having motion, while the others are fixed. Associated Doppler frequencies are specified in CDL tables.

### 3.4.3 Cluster delay line models for fixed multi-hop scenarios

In multi-hop (e.g. B5f) scenarios, the radio waves can take a route between successive MS. Relaying networks, on the other hand, employ another level of network stations, the relays, which depending on the specific network, might offer more or less functionality to distribute traffic intelligently. This means the existence of scenarios where multiple relay nodes are receiving and transmitting the data towards its final destination, as shown in Figure 3-6.

![Figure 3-6 Multihop and relaying scenarios.](image-url)
received from it has a predetermined Doppler frequency. The remaining energy is from physically stationary interacting objects.

The Power delay profile model is exponential. Delay spread values are determined by channel measurements. As above, the CDL model for this scenario can be used in conjunction with any antenna configuration for the generation of radio channel realisations.
4. Channel Models and Parameters

In this section, we summarize all the channel models and parameters derived so far, and the measurement scenarios include both old and new measurement campaigns performed in Phase I and II. The path loss models were mainly based on 5 GHz and 2 GHz measurements. However, the frequency bands have been extended in 2 ~ 6 GHz. In the parameter tables for the generic models, parameters for far clusters and the correlations of polarization are added. For CDL models, in Phase I, the link level empirical models were derived based on measurements. In Phase II, we use simulation method and the real number of clusters by measurements, new CDL models are obtained. Moreover, the comparisons between Phase I and Phase II generic and CDL models are given.

4.1 Applicability

4.1.1 Environment dependence

Different radio-propagation environments would cause different radio-channel characteristics. Instead of attempting to parameterize environment directly (e.g. street widths, average building height etc.) WINNER models use (temporal and spatial) propagation parameters obtained from channel measurements in different environments. In this context, environments in which measurements are conducted to observe radio-channel characteristics are called scenarios. For each scenario measured data is analyzed and processed to obtain scenario-specific parameters. After this point, same generic channel is used to model all scenarios, just by using different values of control parameters.

Usually, even for the same scenario, existence of Line-Of-Sight (LOS) component substantially influences values of control parameters. Regarding to this property, each WINNER scenario is differentiating between LOS and Non-Line-Of-Sight (NLOS) conditions. To enable appropriate scenario modelling, transition between LOS and NLOS cases have to be described. For this purpose distance dependent probability of LOS and Ricean K factors are used in the model.

4.1.2 System dependence

Dependence on carrier frequency in WINNER model is found in path-loss models. All the scenarios defined by WINNER support frequency dependent path-loss models valid for the ranges of 2 ~ 6 GHz. The path-loss models are based on measurements that are mainly conducted in 5 GHz frequency range.

From WINNER measurement results and literature survey it was found that model parameters DS, AS and Ricean K-factor do not show significant frequency dependence [BHS05]. From that reason these parameters show only dependence on environment (scenario).

For modelling of systems with time-division-duplex (TDD) all models are using same (large-scale as small-scale) parameters for both uplink and downlink. If system is using different carriers for duplexing (FDD), then (additionally to path loss) random phases of scatterer contributions between UL and DL are independent.

For the WINNER purposes it is required that channel model supports bandwidths up to 100 MHz. Following the approach described in [SV87] (for indoor propagation modelling) and further with SCME [BHS05] WINNER II model introduces intra-cluster delay spread as a mean to support 100 MHz bandwidth and to suppress frequency correlation. Instead of zero-delay-spread-cluster approach of Phase I model, the two strongest clusters with 20 MPCs is subdivided into 3 zero-delay sub-clusters. Thus we keep the total number of MPCs constant, but introduce four additional delay taps per scenario.

4.2 Generation of Channel Coefficients

This section gives general description of the channel coefficient generation procedure. Steps of the procedure refer to parameter and model tables of Section 0. Sections 4.2 to 4.4 give the minimum description of the system level channel model.

It has to noted, that the geometric description covers arrival angles from the last bounce scatterers and respectively departure angles to the first scatterers interacted from the transmitting side. The propagation between the first and the last interaction is not defined. Thus this approach can model also multiple interactions with the scattering media. This indicates also that e.g. the delay of a multipath component can not be determined by the geometry.
General parameters:

Step 1: Set environment, network layout and antenna array parameters
   a. Choose one of the scenarios (A1, A2, B1,...)
   b. Give number of BS and MS
   c. Give locations of BS and MS, or equally distances of each BS and MS and relative directions \( \phi_{\text{LOS}} \) and \( \phi_{\text{LOS}} \) of each BS and MS
   d. Give BS and MS antenna field patterns and array geometries
   e. Give BS and MS array orientations with respect to north (reference) direction
   f. Give speed and direction of motion of MS
   g. Give system centre frequency
   h. Give antenna field patterns \( F_{\text{rx}} \) and \( F_{\text{tx}} \)

Large scale parameters:

Step 2: Assign propagation condition (LOS/NLOS) according to the probability described in Table 4-7.

Step 3: Calculate path loss with formulas of Table 4-4 for each BS-MS link to be modelled.

Step 4: Generate correlated large scale parameters, i.e. delay spread, angular spreads and shadow fading term like explained in section 3.2.1 (Correlations between large scale parameters).

Small scale parameters:

Step 5: Generate delays \( \tau \). Delays are drawn randomly from delay distribution defined in Table 4-5. With exponential delay distribution calculate

\[
\tau_n' = -r_r \sigma_r \log(X_n),
\]

(4.1)

where \( r_r \) is delay distribution proportionality factor, \( X_n \sim \text{Uni}(0,1) \) and cluster index \( n = 1, \ldots, N \). With uniform delay distribution the delay values \( \tau_n' \) are drawn from the corresponding range. Normalise the delays by subtracting with minimum delay and sort the normalised delays to descending order.

\[
\tau_n = \text{sort}(\tau_n' - \min(\tau_n')).
\]

(4.2)

In the case of LOS condition additional scaling of delays is required to compensate the effect of LOS peak addition to the delay spread. Heuristically determined Ricean K-factor dependent scaling constant is

\[
D = 0.7705 - 0.0433K + 0.0002K^2 + 0.000017K^3,
\]

(4.3)

where \( K [\text{dB}] \) is the Ricean K-factor defined in Table 4-5. Scaled delays are

\[
\tau_n^{\text{LOS}} = \frac{\tau_n}{D}.
\]

(4.4)

they are not to be used in cluster power generation.

Step 6: Generate cluster powers \( P \). Cluster powers are calculated assuming a single slope exponential power delay profile. Power assignment depends on the delay distribution defined in Table 4-5. With exponential delay distribution the cluster powers are determined by

\[
P_n = \exp\left(-\tau_n \frac{r_r - 1}{r_r \sigma_r}\right) \cdot 10^{\frac{-Z_n}{10}}
\]

(4.5)

and with uniform delay distribution they are determined by

\[
P_n = \exp\left(-\frac{\tau_n}{\sigma_r}\right) \cdot 10^{\frac{-Z_n}{10}}.
\]

(4.6)

where \( Z_n \sim \text{N}(0, \zeta) \) is the per cluster shadowing term in [dB]. Average the power so that sum power of all clusters is equal to one.
\[ P_n = \frac{P_n'}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} P_n'} \]  
\( P_{1,\text{LOS}} = \frac{K_P}{K_R + 1} \)  

Assign the power of each ray within a cluster as \( P_n'/M \), where \( M \) is the number of rays per cluster.

In the case of LOS condition an additional specular component is added to the first cluster. Power of the single LOS ray is

\[ P_{1,\text{LOS}} = \frac{K_P}{K_R + 1} \]  

and the cluster powers are not like in (4.7), but

\[ P_n = \frac{1}{K_R + 1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_n' + \delta(n-1)P_{1,\text{LOS}}, \]  

where \( \delta(.) \) is Dirac’s delta function and \( K_R \) is the Ricean K-factor defined in Table 4-5 converted to linear scale.

**Step 7:** Generate arrival angles \( \varphi \) and departure angles \( \phi \).

As the composite PAS of all clusters is modelled as wrapped Gaussian (see Table 4-5) the AoA are determined by applying inverse Gaussian function with input parameters \( P_n \) and RMS angle spread \( \sigma_\varphi \)

\[ \varphi_n = \frac{2\sigma_{\text{AoA}}}{C} \sqrt{-\ln(P_n/\max(P_n))}. \]  

On equation above \( \sigma_{\text{AoA}} = \sigma_\varphi/1.4 \) is the standard deviation of arrival angles (factor 1.4 is the ratio of Gaussian std and corresponding "RMS spread"). Constant \( C \) is a scaling factor related to total number of clusters and is given in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># clusters</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( C )</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>1.123</td>
<td>1.146</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>1.226</td>
<td>1.289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the LOS case constant \( C \) is dependent also on Ricean K-factor. Constant \( C \) in eq. (4.10) is substituted by \( C^{\text{LOS}} \). Additional scaling of angles is required to compensate the effect of LOS peak addition to the angle spread. Heuristically determined Ricean K-factor dependent scaling constant is

\[ C^{\text{LOS}} = C \left( 1.1035 - 0.028K - 0.002K^2 + 0.0001K^3 \right), \]  

where \( K \) [dB] is the Ricean K-factor defined in Table 4-5.

Assign positive or negative sign to the angles by multiplying with a random variable \( X_n \) with uniform distribution to discrete set of \{1,–1\}, add component \( Y_n \sim N(0, \sigma_{\text{AoA}}/5) \) to introduce random variation

\[ \varphi_n = X_n \varphi'_n + Y_n + \varphi_{\text{LOS}}, \]  

where \( \varphi_{\text{LOS}} \) is the LOS direction defined in the network layout description Step1.c.

In the LOS case substitute (4.12) by (4.13) to enforce the first cluster to the LOS direction \( \varphi_{\text{LOS}} \)

\[ \varphi_n = \left( X_n \varphi'_n + Y_n \right) - \left( X_n \varphi'_1 + Y_1 - \varphi_{\text{LOS}} \right). \]  

Finally add offset angles \( \alpha_m \) from Table 4-1 to cluster angles

\[ \varphi_{n,m} = \varphi_n + c_{\text{AoA}} \alpha_m, \]  

where \( c_{\text{AoA}} \) is the cluster-wise rms azimuth spread of arrival angles (cluster ASA) in the Table 4-5.
Table 4-1 Ray offset angles within a cluster, given for 1° rms angle spread.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ray number m</th>
<th>Basis vector of offset angles $\alpha_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>$\pm 0.0447$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>$\pm 0.1413$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>$\pm 0.2492$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>$\pm 0.3715$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,10</td>
<td>$\pm 0.5129$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11,12</td>
<td>$\pm 0.6797$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13,14</td>
<td>$\pm 0.8844$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,16</td>
<td>$\pm 1.1481$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17,18</td>
<td>$\pm 1.5195$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19,20</td>
<td>$\pm 2.1551$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the departure angles $\phi$, the procedure is analogous.

**Step 8:** Random coupling of rays within the clusters.
Couple randomly departure ray angles $\phi_{n,m}$ to arrival ray angles $\phi_{k,n}$ within a cluster $n$, or within a sub-cluster in the case of two strongest clusters (see step 11 and Table 4-2).

**Step 9:** Generate vertical-to-horizontal and horizontal-to-vertical cross polarisation power ratios (XPR) $k_{vh}^n$ and $k_{hv}^n$ respectively for each ray $m$ of each cluster $n$.
XPR is log-Normal distributed. Draw vertical-to-horizontal XPR values as

$$k_{vh}^n = 10^{\frac{X}{10}},$$

where ray index $m = 1, \ldots, M$, $X \sim N(\sigma, \mu)$ is Gaussian distributed with $\sigma$ and $\mu$ from Table 4-5 for XPR$_{vh}$.

For the horizontal-to-vertical XPR the procedure is analogous.

**Coefficient generation:**

**Step 10:** Draw random initial phase $\{\Phi_{n,m}^{vv}, \Phi_{n,m}^{vh}, \Phi_{n,m}^{hv}, \Phi_{n,m}^{hh}\}$ for each ray $m$ of each cluster $n$ and for four different polarisation combinations (vv, vh, hv, hh). Distribution for initial phases is uniform, $\text{Uni}(-\pi, \pi)$.

**Step 11:** Generate channel coefficients for each cluster $n$ and each receiver and transmitter element pair $u,s$.

*For the $N-2$ weakest clusters, say $n = 3,4, \ldots, N$, and uniform linear arrays (ULA), the channel coefficient are given by:

$$H_{n,s,u}(t) = \sqrt{P_r} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[ F_{rx,n,V}(\phi_{n,m}) \right] \left[ F_{tx,u,V}(\phi_{n,m}) \right] \left[ \exp(j \Phi_{n,m}^{vv}) \right] \left[ \exp(j \Phi_{n,m}^{vh}) \right] \left[ \exp(j \Phi_{n,m}^{hv}) \right] \left[ \exp(j \Phi_{n,m}^{hh}) \right] \left[ \frac{\exp(jd_u \cdot 2\pi \lambda_0 \sin(\phi_{n,m}))}{\exp(jd_v \cdot 2\pi \lambda_0 \sin(\phi_{n,m}))} \right] \left[ \exp(j2\pi \nu_{n,m} t) \right]$$

where $F_{rx,n,V}$ and $F_{tx,u,H}$ are the antenna element $u$ field patterns for vertical and horizontal polarisations respectively, $d_u$ and $d_v$ are the uniform distances [m] between transmitter elements and receiver elements respectively, and $\lambda_0$ is the wave length on carrier frequency. If polarisation is not considered, 2x2 polarisation matrix can be replaced by scalar $\exp(j \Phi_{n,m})$ and only vertically polarised field patterns applied.

With fixed feeder link models (B5 scenarios) the Doppler frequency component $\nu_{n,m}$ is tabulated for the first ray of each cluster. For the other rays $\nu_{n,m} = 0$. With all other models the Doppler frequency component is calculated from angle of arrival (downlink), MS speed $v$ and direction of travel $\theta_v$.

$$\nu_{n,m} = \nu_{\text{frame}} \frac{\cos(\phi_{n,m} - \theta_v)}{\lambda_0}.$$
For the two strongest clusters, say $n = 1$ and 2, rays are spread in delay to three sub-clusters (per cluster), with fixed delay offset [0, 5, 10 ns] (see Table 4-2). Delays of sub-clusters are

$$
\tau_{n,1} = \tau_n + 0\text{ ns}
$$

$$
\tau_{n,2} = \tau_n + 5\text{ ns}
$$

$$
\tau_{n,3} = \tau_n + 10\text{ ns}
$$

(4.18)

Twenty rays of a cluster are mapped to sub-clusters like presented in Table 4-2 below. Corresponding offset angles are taken from Table 4-1 with mapping of Table 4-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sub-cluster #</th>
<th>mapping to rays</th>
<th>power</th>
<th>delay offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,19,20</td>
<td>10/20</td>
<td>0 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9,10,11,12,17,18</td>
<td>6/20</td>
<td>5 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13,14,15,16</td>
<td>4/20</td>
<td>10 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If non-ULA arrays are used the equations must be modified. For arbitrary array configurations on horizontal plane, see Figure 4-1, the distance term $d_u$ in equation (4.16) is replaced by

$$
d'_{u,n,m} = \sqrt{x_u^2 + y_u^2} \cos(\arctan(y_u/x_u) - \varphi_{n,m}) \overline{\sin \varphi_{n,m}},
$$

(4.19)

where $(x_u, y_u)$ are co-ordinates of $u$th element $A_u$ and $A_0$ is the reference element.

---

**Figure 4-1 Modified distance of antenna element $u$ with non-ULA array.**

Step 12: Apply path loss and shadowing for the channel coefficients.

### 4.2.1 Generation of bad urban channels (B2, C3)

Bad urban channel realizations can be created as modified C2 and B1 NLOS procedures as follows:

**Step 1:**
Choose a proportion of mobile users, $p$ (between 0 and 1), which will experience bad urban channel characteristics. Recommended values for bad urban users are 5-15% for C3 and 1-5% for B2. For the remaining $1-p$ of the users generate typical micro- or macrocellular (B1 or C2) channel realisations as described in section 4.2.

**Step 2:**
Drop five far scatterers within a hexagonal cell, within radius $[FS_{\text{min}}, FS_{\text{max}}]$. For $FS_{\text{min}}$ and $FS_{\text{max}}$ values see Table 4-3. For each mobile user determine the closest two far scatteres, which are then used for calculating far scatterer cluster parameters.
**Step 3:**
For C3 create 20 delays as described for C2 model in section 4.2, step 5. For the shortest 18 delays create a typical urban C2 channel profile (powers and angles) as in section 4.2.
Similarly, create 14 delays for B1 NLOS, and for the shortest 12 delays create a typical B1 NLOS channel profile as in section 4.2.
The last two delays in B2 and C3 are assigned for far scatterer clusters.

**Step 4:**
Set the delays of both the FS clusters zero, and create them typical urban channel powers, as in section 4.2.

**Step 5:**
Next create excess delays due to far scatterer clusters as

\[
\tau_{\text{excess}} = \frac{d_{\text{BS}\rightarrow \text{FS}\rightarrow \text{MS}} - d_{\text{LOS}}}{c} \tag{4.20}
\]

Attenuate FS clusters as FS\textit{loss}, given in Table 4-3.

**Step 6:**
Select directions of departure and arrival for each FS cluster according to far scatterer locations, i.e. corresponding to a single reflection from far scatterer.
It is worth noticing that depending on the location of the mobile user within the cell the FS clusters may appear also at shorter delays than the maximum C2 or B1 NLOS cluster. In such cases the far scatterers do not necessarily result to increased angular or delay dispersion. Also the actual channel statistics of the bad urban users depend somewhat on the cell size.

### 4.3 Path-loss models

Path-loss models at 5 GHz for considered scenarios have been developed based on measurement results and results from literature. The fixed parameter path-loss models have usually the form as in (4.21), where \(d\) is the distance between transmitter and receiver, the fitting parameter \(A\) includes the path-loss exponent parameter and parameter \(B\) is the intercept.

\[
PL = A \log_{10}(d[m]) + B \tag{4.21}
\]

The models were generalised for the frequency range 2 – 6 GHz and different antenna heights. The path-loss models have been summarized in the Table 4-4. MS antenna height dependency is not shown in the table, but can be found in the later sections. Free space attenuation referred in the table is

\[
PL_{\text{free}} = 46.4 + 20\log_{10}(d[m]) + 20\log_{10}(f[\text{GHz}]/5) \tag{4.22}
\]

The shadow fading is log-Normal distributed and standard deviation of the distribution is given in decibels.

#### Table 4-4 Summary table of the extended path-loss models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>path loss [dB]</th>
<th>shadow fading std (dB)</th>
<th>applicability range and antenna height default values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 LOS</td>
<td>18.7 \log_{10}(d[m]) + 46.8 + 20\log_{10}(f[\text{GHz}]/5.0)</td>
<td>(\sigma = 3)</td>
<td>3 m &lt; (d) &lt; 100 m, (h_{\text{BS}} = h_{\text{MS}} = 1) – 2.5m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>FS\textit{min}</th>
<th>FS\textit{max}</th>
<th>FS\textit{loss}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>150 m</td>
<td>500 m</td>
<td>4 dB/(\mu)s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>300 m</td>
<td>1500 m</td>
<td>2 dB/(\mu)s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>Parameter Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NLOS (Room-Corridor)</strong></td>
<td>( PL = 36.8 \log_{10} (d \text{[m]}) + 43.8 + 20 \log_{10} (f \text{[GHz]}/5.0) )</td>
<td>( d &lt; 100 \text{ m} ), ( h_{BS} = h_{MS} = 1\text{–}2.5 \text{ m} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NLOS (Room through wall)</strong></td>
<td>( PL = 20 \log_{10} (d \text{[m]}) + 46.4 + n_{w} \cdot 5 + 20 \log_{10} (f \text{[GHz]}/5.0) ) where ( n_{w} ) is the number of walls between BS and MS.</td>
<td>( d &lt; 100 \text{ m} ) (light walls), ( h_{BS} = h_{MS} = 1\text{–}2.5 \text{ m} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A2 NLOS</strong></td>
<td>( PL = PL_{lb} + PL_{wa} + PL_{in} ) where ( PL_{lb} = PL_{B1}(d_{out} + d_{in}) ), ( PL_{wa} = 14 + 15(1 - \cos(\theta))^2 ), ( PL_{in} = 0.5 \cdot d_{in} )</td>
<td>( 3 \text{ m} &lt; d_{out} + d_{in} &lt; 1000 \text{ m} ), ( h_{BS} = 3h_{B1} + 2 \text{ m} ), ( h_{MS} = 1.5 \text{ m} ), See 1) for explanation of parameters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B1 LOS</strong></td>
<td>( PL_{LOS} = \max(22.7 \log_{10} (d_{1} \text{[m]}) + 41.0 + 20 \log_{10} (f \text{[GHz]}/5.0), PL_{Free}) )</td>
<td>( 30 \text{ m} &lt; d_{1} &lt; d'_{BP} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B1 NLOS</strong></td>
<td>( PL_{NLOS} = PL_{LOS}(d_{1}) + 20 - 12.5n_{j} + 10n_{j} \log_{10} (d_{2} \text{[m]}) ) where ( n_{j} = \max((2.8 - 0.0024d_{1} \text{[m]}), 1.84) )</td>
<td>( 10 \text{ m} &lt; d_{1} &lt; 5 \text{ km} ), ( w/2 &lt; d_{2} &lt; 2 \text{ km} ), ( w = 20 \text{ m} ), ( h_{BS} = 10 \text{ m} ), ( h_{MS} = 1.5 \text{ m} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B2 NLOS</strong></td>
<td>Same as B1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B3 LOS</strong></td>
<td>( 13.4 \log_{10} (d \text{[m]}) + 36.9 )</td>
<td>( 5 \text{ m} &lt; d &lt; 29 \text{ m} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B3 NLOS</strong></td>
<td>( 3.2 \log_{10} (d \text{[m]}) + 55.5 )</td>
<td>( 5 \text{ m} &lt; d &lt; 29 \text{ m} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B4 NLOS</strong></td>
<td>Same as A2, except for antenna heights.</td>
<td>( 3 \text{ m} &lt; d_{out} + d_{in} &lt; 1000 \text{ m} ), ( h_{BS} = 10 \text{ m} ), ( h_{MS} = 3h_{B1} + 1.5 \text{ m} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B5a LOS</strong></td>
<td>( PL = \max(23.5 \log_{10} (d) + 42.5 + 20 \log_{10} (f \text{[GHz]}/5.0), PL_{Free}) )</td>
<td>( 30 \text{ m} &lt; d &lt; 8 \text{ km} ), ( h_{BS} = 25 \text{ m} ), ( h_{MS} = 25 \text{ m} ) (= Relay antenna height)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B5c LOS</strong></td>
<td>Same as B1 LOS, except for the antenna height of the Relay Station (See the rightmost column.).</td>
<td>( h_{BS} = 10 \text{ m} ), ( h_{MS} (=h_{BS}) = 5 \text{ m} ) (Relay antenna height)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>B5f</td>
<td>PL = 23.5 \log_{10}(d) + 57.5 + 20 \log_{10}\left(f [\text{GHz}] / 5.0 \right)</td>
<td>\sigma = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>PL = 23.8 \log_{10}(d [\text{m}]) + 41.2 + 20 \log_{10}\left(f [\text{GHz}] / 5.0 \right)</td>
<td>\sigma = 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>PL = 40.0 \log_{10}(d [\text{m}]) + 11.65 - 16.2 \log_{10}(b_{\text{BS}} [\text{m}]) - 16.2 \log_{10}(b_{\text{MS}} [\text{m}]) + 3.8 \log_{10}(f [\text{GHz}] / 5.0)</td>
<td>\sigma = 6</td>
<td>300 &lt; d &lt; 5 \text{ km}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>PL = [44.9-6.55\log_{10}(h_{\text{BS}} [\text{m}])] \log_{10}(d[\text{m}]) + 31.46 + 5.83 \log_{10}(h_{\text{BS}} [\text{m}]) + 20 \log_{10}(f [\text{GHz}] / 5.0)</td>
<td>\sigma = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Same as C2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>PL = 44.2 + 21.5 \log_{10}(d) + 20 \log_{10}(f [\text{GHz}] / 5)</td>
<td>\sigma = 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>PL = \text{max}((55.4+25.1 \log_{10}(d[\text{m}]) -0.13 \log_{10}(b_{\text{BS}} [\text{m}]-25)) \log_{10}(d[\text{m}]/100) - 0.9(b_{\text{MS}} [\text{m}]-1.5) + 21.3 \log_{10}(f [\text{GHz}] / 5), PL_{\text{free}})</td>
<td>\sigma = 8</td>
<td>500 &lt; d &lt; 5 \text{ km}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2a</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>PL = \text{max}(41.1 \log_{10}(d)+17.2 + 20 \log_{10}(f [\text{GHz}] / 5) , PL_{\text{free}})</td>
<td>\sigma = 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) $PL_{\text{B1}}$ is B1 path-loss, $d_{\text{out}}$ is the distance between the outside terminal and closest point of the wall to the inside terminal, $d_{\text{in}}$ is the distance from wall to the inside terminal, $\theta$ is the angle between the outdoor path and the normal of the wall. (See 6.2.1.) $n_{\text{fl}}$ is the number of the floor. (Ground floor is the number 1.)

2) $d'_{\text{BP}} = 4 h'_{\text{BS}} h'_{\text{MS}} f/c$, where $f$ = center frequency and $c$ = velocity of light and $h'_{\text{BS}}$ and $h'_{\text{MS}}$ are the effective antenna heights at BS and MS respectively: $h'_{\text{BS}} = h_{\text{BS}} - 1.0 \text{ m}$, $h'_{\text{MS}} = h_{\text{MS}} - 1.0 \text{ m}$, where 1.0 m is the effective environment height in the urban environment.

3) $d_1$ and $d_2$ have been explained below.

4) $d_{\text{BP}} = 4 h_{\text{BS}} h_{\text{MS}} f/c$, where $h_{\text{BS}}$ and $h_{\text{MS}}$ are the actual antenna heights.

For B1, we have developed $d_1$ and $d_2$ path loss model for NLOS. For A1, the similar path-loss model can be derived, but it can be applied for the whole crossing of the corridors. The geometry for the $d_1$ and $d_2$ models is shown in Figure 4-2 where the BS is located in one street/corridor and the MS is moving in the perpendicular street/corridor. $d_1$ is the distance from the BS to the middle point of the street/corridor and $d_2$ is the distance apart from the middle point of the crossing of the MS.
4.4 Parameter tables for generic models
Table 4-5 Parameter table for generic models in azimuth plane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>A1</th>
<th>A2</th>
<th>B1</th>
<th>B2</th>
<th>B3</th>
<th>B4</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D2a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log$_{10}$[ns]</td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AoD spread $\sigma_{ASD}$ *</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log$_{10}$[°]</td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AoA spread $\sigma_{ASA}$ *++</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log$_{10}$[°]</td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadow fading $\sigma_{SF}$ [dB]</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-Correlations **</td>
<td>$\sigma_{ASD}$ vs $\sigma_{ASA}$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay scaling parameter $r_\tau$</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPR$_V$ [dB]</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>N/A*</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPR$_H$ [dB]</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>N/A*</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AoD and AoA distribution</td>
<td>Wrapped Gaussian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of clusters</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of rays per cluster</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster ASD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster ASA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cluster shadowing std $\zeta$ [dB]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-factor [dB]</td>
<td>$8.3 + 0.06d$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0142d</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.26d</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.021d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation distance [m]</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{ASD}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{ASA}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPR$_V$</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| * Scenarios C1 LOS and D1 LOS contain two shadowing std. deviations; one (left) for before and one (right) for after the path loss breakpoint.
| ++ Angle of departure spread $\sigma_{ASD}$ corresponds to $\sigma_\phi$ and angle of arrival spread $\sigma_{ASA}$ to $\sigma_\phi$ in the text.
| * For scenario B3, XPR$_H$ is not available. In the channel model implementation, these values have bee substituted by the XPR$_V$.
| ** The sign of the shadow fading is defined so that positive SF means more received power at MS than predicted by the path loss model.
Table 4-6 Parameter table for generic models in elevation plane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>A1</th>
<th>A2</th>
<th>B4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation AoD spread $\sigma_{\text{ESD}}$</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation AoA spread $\sigma_{\text{ESA}}$</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-Correlations</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{ESD}}$ vs $\sigma_{\text{DS}}$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{ESA}}$ vs $\sigma_{\text{DS}}$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{ESA}}$ vs $\sigma_{\text{SF}}$</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{ESD}}$ vs $\sigma_{\text{ESA}}$</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{ESA}}$ vs $\sigma_{\text{SF}}$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation AoD and AoA distribution</td>
<td>Wrapped Gaussian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster ESD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster ESA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Elevation angle of departure spread $\sigma_{\text{ESD}}$ corresponds to $\sigma_\theta$ and elevation angle of arrival spread $\sigma_{\text{ESA}}$ to $\sigma_\vartheta$ in the text.

The output expectation values for LS parameters are given in section 4.4.1.

System level simulations require the probability of line of sight for considered scenarios. For scenarios A2, B2, B4, C2 and C3 the LOS probability is zero. For rest of the scenarios the probabilities are given in Table 4-7. It should be noted that the LOS probability models are based on relatively few data or are deduced using some simplified assumptions. Therefore these probabilities should be treated as only indicative.

In grid-based scenarios like A1 (indoor layout) and B1 (Manhattan grid) it is probably more favourable to define the position of mobiles respective to the APs using $d_1 - d_2$ distances as specified in connection of the path-loss definition, see Figure 4-2, or even x-y coordinates. Then it is easy to specify the LOS condition according to the position of the mobile and use it in defining the propagation connection of each link. One problem of LOS probability is that the location of APs affects the LOS probability in grid-based scenarios. Actually the LOS probabilities for A1 and B1 in Table 4-7 are possibly not fully consistent with the current evaluation assumptions.
**Table 4-7 Line of sight probabilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>LOS probability as a function of distance $d$ [m]</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>$P_{LOS} = \begin{cases} 1 \ 1-0.9\left(1-(1.24-0.61\log_{10}(d))^{1/3}\right) \ d \leq 2.5 \ d &gt; 2.5 \end{cases}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>$P_{LOS} = \begin{cases} 1 \ 20/d \ d \leq 10 \ d &gt; 10 \end{cases}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>$P_{LOS} = \begin{cases} 1 \ \exp\left(-\frac{d-10}{45}\right) \ d \leq 10 \ d &gt; 10 \end{cases}$</td>
<td>For big factory halls, airport and train stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_{LOS} = \begin{cases} 1 \ \exp\left(-\frac{d-5}{150}\right) \ d \leq 5 \ 5 &lt; d &lt; 40 \end{cases}$</td>
<td>For big lecture halls and conference halls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>$P_{LOS} = \exp\left(-\frac{d}{200}\right)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>$P_{LOS} = \exp\left(-\frac{d}{1000}\right)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2a</td>
<td>Same as D1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4.4.1 Reference output values**

**Table 4-8: Expectation (median) output values for large scale parameters.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>DS (ns)</th>
<th>AS at BS ($^\circ$)</th>
<th>AS at MS ($^\circ$)</th>
<th>ES at BS ($^\circ$)</th>
<th>ES at MS ($^\circ$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 LOS</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 NLOS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 NLOS</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 LOS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 NLOS</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 LOS</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 NLOS</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4 NLOS</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 LOS</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 NLOS</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 NLOS</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 LOS</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For reference, the following table illustrates some output channel statistics (median values) for micro- and macrocellular bad urban mobile users. For the calculation max cell radii of 200 and 500 m were used for micro- and macrocells, respectively, and bad urban users were uniformly distributed within the cell area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>DS (µs)</th>
<th>AS at BS (º)</th>
<th>AS at MS (º)</th>
<th>Power of the 1st FS cluster (dB)</th>
<th>Power of the 2nd FS cluster (dB)</th>
<th>Delay of the 1st FS cluster (µs)</th>
<th>Delay of the 2nd FS cluster (µs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-9.7</td>
<td>-13.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.5 Transitions between propagation conditions

The channel model allows the transition between different propagation conditions: Most important is the transition between LOS and NLOS propagation conditions of same scenario. Another possible transition is from one scenario to another one. For two cases there is a path-loss model for the intra scenario transition: B1 urban microcell and A1 indoor (small office case). B1 path-loss model has been introduced in [WIN1D54] and Table 4-4 of this document. A1 model has been created during WINNER Phase II and is an extension to the earlier model in [WIN1D54]. Channel model is introduced in detail in Part II of the document. The formulas are:

\[
PL(d_1, d_2) = \begin{cases} 
68.9 + 0.61d_1 + (21.2 - 0.055d_1) * \log_{10}(f(d_2)) + & 20 * \log_{10}\left[\frac{f_\text{GHz}}{5}\right] \left|d_2\right| < 3F_1 \\
46.8 + 18.7 * \log_{10}(d(m)) + & 20 * \log_{10}\left[\frac{f_\text{GHz}}{5}\right] d = \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_2^2 + \left|d_2\right|} \leq 3F_1 
\end{cases} 
\]  

(4.23)

where \(d_1\) and \(d_2\) are the distances along the LOS route and perpendicular NLOS route and \(W_{\text{ms}}\) is the width of the corridor when MS is located. \(F_1\) is specified below:

\[
F_1 = \left[1 - \left(\frac{W_{\text{ms}}}{2d_1}\right)^2\right]^{1/2} 
\]  

(4.24)

For B1, the path-loss can be expressed as follows when a mobile is turning a street corner from LOS/NLOS including the transition region

\[
PL(d_1, d_2) = \begin{cases} 
PL_{\text{LOS}}(d), d = \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_2^2}, & \left|d_2\right| \leq 10F_1 \\
PL_{\text{LOS}}(d) + 20 - 12.5n + 10n\log_{10}(\left|d_2\right|), & \left|d_2\right| > 10F_1 
\end{cases} 
\]  

(4.25)

where

\[
PL_{\text{LOS}}(d_i) = 41.0 + 22.7 * \log_{10}(d_i) + 20\log_{10}(f_\text{GHz}/5) 
\]  

(4.26)

and \(n = 2.8 - 0.0024* d_1\), \(\sigma_{SF} = 3.2 - 5.25 \times 10^{-3} d_1\) dB.

Fig 4-3 (a) and 4-3 (b) show the pathloss models including transition regions for A1 and B1, respectively.
4.6 CDL Models

The CDL model is somehow different from the conventional tapped delay line models in a sense that fading within each tap is generated by a sum of sinusoids i.e., the rays within the cluster of that tap. However, it is based on similar principles of the clustered channel modelling approach. Clustered delay line (CDL) model is composed of a number of separate delayed clusters. Each cluster has a number of multipath components (rays) that have the same known delay values but differ in known angle of departure and known angle of arrival. The cluster angle-spread may be different from that of BS to that of the MS. The offset angles represent the Laplacian PAS of each cluster. The average power, mean AoA, mean AoD of clusters, angle-spread at BS and angle-spread at MS of each cluster in the CDL represent expected output of the stochastic model with parameters listed in Table 4-8. Exception to this are the fixed feeder link models (scenario B5), where only CDL model is defined. Parameter tables for CDL models are given in Part II of this document.

4.7 Comparison of Phase I and Phase II models

This section shows the main differences between the Phase I (D5.4) and Phase II (D1.1.1) models.

Phase II models include more scenarios (A2, B2, C3, B4, B5f, D2a, and D2b) and more features like time evolution of large scale parameters, intra cluster delay spread, and far cluster option. CDL models are based on expectation values of the large scale parameters of the stochastic models. Time evolution is organized by replacing old clusters with new clusters one by one, with power ramp up and ramp down.

Table below shows which scenarios are available in Phase I and in Phase II models, respectively. Note that all the scenarios of Phase I have been updated in Phase II models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Phase I</th>
<th>Phase II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>code</td>
<td>definition</td>
<td>generic model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>indoor small office</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>indoor-to-outdoor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>urban micro-cell</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>bad urban micro-cell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>large indoor hall</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>outdoor-to-indoor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The features of Phase I model and Phase II model are compared in table below.

### Table 4-11 Comparison of features of Phase I and Phase II models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Phase I</th>
<th>Phase II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>generic</td>
<td>CDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of main scenarios (see table above)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of scenarios including sub-scenarios</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor-to-outdoor models</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor-to-indoor models</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad urban models</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving networks models</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support of coordinate system</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support of multi-cell and multi-user simulations</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support of multihop and relaying simulations</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation of large-scale parameters</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support of interference simulations</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time evolution</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced variability clustered delay line (CDL) model for calibration, comparisons, and fast simulations</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDL analyzed from measured PDP</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDL based on expectation values of generic model</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-cluster delay spread</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far cluster option</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* With slight modification: AoD and AoA should be adjusted according to the network layout.

Table below shows the difference in parameter values.

### Table 4-12 Comparison of parameters of Phase I and Phase II models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Phase I generic model</th>
<th>Phase I CDL</th>
<th>Phase II generic model</th>
<th>Phase II CDL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency range</td>
<td>GHz</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 – 6</td>
<td>2 – 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tap spacing</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sub-paths per cluster</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 LOS delay spread</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 NLOS delay spread</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 LOS delay spread</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 NLOS delay spread</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>81.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 LOS delay spread</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 NLOS delay spread</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 LOS delay spread</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>58.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 NLOS delay spread</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>75.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 NLOS delay spread</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>234.4</td>
<td>313.0</td>
<td>182.0</td>
<td>182.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 LOS delay spread</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 NLOS delay spread</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 LOS AoD spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 NLOS AoD spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 LOS AoD spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 NLOS AoD spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 LOS AoD spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 NLOS AoD spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 LOS AoD spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 NLOS AoD spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 LOS AoD spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 LOS AoD spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 NLOS AoD spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 LOS AoA spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 NLOS AoA spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 LOS AoA spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 NLOS AoA spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 LOS AoA spread</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1 LOS AoA spread</td>
<td>C1 NLOS AoA spread</td>
<td>C2 LOS AoA spread</td>
<td>D1 LOS AoA spread</td>
<td>D1 NLOS AoA spread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* 9.5 18.7 11.7 11.7</td>
<td>* 40.7 45.8 40.7 40.7</td>
<td>* 46.8 53.0 46.8 46.8</td>
<td>* 33.1 24.0 33.1 33.1</td>
<td>* 33.1 17.9 33.1 33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 NLOS AoA spread</td>
<td>52.5 53.0 52.5 52.5</td>
<td>33.1 24.0 33.1 33.1</td>
<td>3.1 3 3</td>
<td>3.1 2 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 LOS AoA spread</td>
<td>52.5 53.0 52.5 52.5</td>
<td>33.1 24.0 33.1 33.1</td>
<td>3.1 2 2</td>
<td>3.1 2 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 NLOS AoA spread</td>
<td>52.5 53.0 52.5 52.5</td>
<td>33.1 24.0 33.1 33.1</td>
<td>3.1 2 2</td>
<td>3.1 2 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 LOS AoA spread</td>
<td>52.5 53.0 52.5 52.5</td>
<td>33.1 24.0 33.1 33.1</td>
<td>3.1 2 2</td>
<td>3.1 2 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 NLOS AoA spread</td>
<td>52.5 53.0 52.5 52.5</td>
<td>33.1 24.0 33.1 33.1</td>
<td>3.1 2 2</td>
<td>3.1 2 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A1 LOS LNS ζ</th>
<th>A1 NLOS LNS ζ</th>
<th>B1 LOS LNS ζ</th>
<th>B1 NLOS LNS ζ</th>
<th>B3 LOS LNS ζ</th>
<th>B3 NLOS LNS ζ</th>
<th>C1 LOS LNS ζ</th>
<th>C1 NLOS LNS ζ</th>
<th>C2 LOS LNS ζ</th>
<th>D1 LOS LNS ζ</th>
<th>D1 NLOS LNS ζ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dB 3.1</td>
<td>dB 3.5</td>
<td>dB 2.3</td>
<td>dB 3.1</td>
<td>dB 1.4</td>
<td>dB 2.1</td>
<td>dB 4.0 … 6.0</td>
<td>dB 8.0</td>
<td>dB 8.0</td>
<td>dB 3.5 … 6.0</td>
<td>dB 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 LOS LNS ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 NLOS LNS ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 LOS LNS ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 NLOS LNS ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 LOS LNS ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 NLOS LNS ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 LOS LNS ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 NLOS LNS ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 LOS LNS ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 LOS LNS ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 NLOS LNS ζ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Channel Model Usage
The purpose of this chapter is to discuss issues concerning usage of the WINNER channel model for simulations.

5.1 System level description
5.1.1 Coordinate system
System layout in the Cartesian coordinates is for example the following:

![System layout of multiple base stations and mobile stations.](image)

All the BS and MS have (x,y) coordinates. MS and cells (sectors) have also array broad side orientation, where north (up) is the zero angle. Positive direction of the angles is the clockwise direction.

Table 5-1: Transceiver coordinates and orientations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transceiver</th>
<th>Co-ordinates</th>
<th>Orientation [°]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS1</td>
<td>(x_{b1},y_{b1})</td>
<td>Ω_{c1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS1</td>
<td>(x_{b1},y_{b1})</td>
<td>Ω_{c2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS1</td>
<td>(x_{b1},y_{b1})</td>
<td>Ω_{c3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS2</td>
<td>(x_{b2},y_{b2})</td>
<td>Ω_{c4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS2</td>
<td>(x_{b2},y_{b2})</td>
<td>Ω_{c5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS2</td>
<td>(x_{b2},y_{b2})</td>
<td>Ω_{c6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS1</td>
<td>(x_{m1},y_{m1})</td>
<td>Ω_{ms1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS2</td>
<td>(x_{m2},y_{m2})</td>
<td>Ω_{ms2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3</td>
<td>(x_{m3},y_{m3})</td>
<td>Ω_{ms3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both the distance and line of sight (LOS) direction information of the radio links are calculated for the input of the model. Distance between the BS_i and MS_i is
\[ d_{\text{BS}_{i}, \text{MS}_{k}} = \sqrt{(x_{\text{BS}_{i}} - x_{\text{MS}_{k}})^2 + (y_{\text{BS}_{i}} - y_{\text{MS}_{k}})^2}. \]  

The LOS direction from BS \( i \) to MS \( k \) with respect to BS antenna array broad side is (see Figure 5-2):

\[ \theta_{\text{BS}_{i}, \text{MS}_{k}} = \begin{cases} -\arctan\left(\frac{y_{\text{MS}_{k}} - y_{\text{BS}_{i}}}{x_{\text{MS}_{k}} - x_{\text{BS}_{i}}}\right) + 90^\circ - \Omega_{\text{BS}_{i}}, & \text{when } x_{\text{MS}_{k}} \geq x_{\text{BS}_{i}} \\ -\arctan\left(\frac{y_{\text{MS}_{k}} - y_{\text{BS}_{i}}}{x_{\text{MS}_{k}} - x_{\text{BS}_{i}}}\right) - 90^\circ - \Omega_{\text{BS}_{i}}, & \text{when } x_{\text{MS}_{k}} < x_{\text{BS}_{i}} \end{cases} \]

The angles and orientations are depicted in the figure below.

![Figure 5-2: BS and MS antenna array orientations.](image)

Pairing matrix \( A \) is in the example case of Figure 5-2 a 3x6 matrix with values \{0,1\}. Value 0 stands for link MS\( m \) to cell\( n \) is not modelled and value 1 for link is modelled.

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{m1,c1} & \chi_{m1,c2} & \cdots & \chi_{m1,c6} \\ \chi_{m2,c1} & \chi_{m1,c2} & \cdots & \chi_{m1,c6} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \chi_{m3,c1} & \chi_{m3,c2} & \cdots & \chi_{m3,c6} \end{bmatrix} \]  

The pairing matrix can be applied to select which radio links will be generated and which will not.

### 5.1.2 Multi-cell simulations

#### 5.1.2.1 Single user (Handover)

A handover situation is characterized by a MS moving from the coverage area of one BS to the coverage area of another BS. Figure 5-3 illustrates this setup.
Figure 5-3: Handover scenario.

There are two base-stations or cells denoted $c1$ and $c2$, and one mobile station. Thus, while there is only one mobile station in the scenario, each location of the mobile on its path is assigned a unique label $ms1$ to $msM$. This is equivalent to a scenario with multiple mobile stations at different positions $ms1$ to $msM$. Path-loss will be determined according to the geometry and large-scale parameters correlate properly. The resulting procedure is as follows:

1. Set base station $c1$ and $c2$ locations and array orientations according to geometry.
2. Set MS locations $ms1$ to $msM$ and array orientations along the route. Choose the distance between adjacent locations according to desired accuracy.
3. Set all the entries of the pairing matrix to 1.
4. Generate all the radio links at once obtain correct correlation properties. It is possible to generate more channel realizations, i.e. time samples, for each channel segment afterwards. This can be done by applying the initial values of small scale parameters in the Table 8-5.
5. Simulate channel segments consecutively to emulate motion along the route.

It is also possible to model even more accurate time evolution between locations as described in section 3.3. The clusters of current channel segment (location) are replaced by clusters of the next channel segment one by one.

5.1.2.2 Multi-user

The handover situation from the previous section was an example of single-user multi-cell setup. Other cases of such a setup are for example found in the context of multi-BS protocols, where a MS receives data from multiple BS simultaneously.

The extension to multiple users (and one or more base stations) is straightforward. Because location and mobile station index are treated equivalently, it follows that all locations of all mobiles have to be defined. Consider the drive-by situation in Figure 5-4.
Figure 5-4: Drive-by scenario (with multiple mobile stations).

Here, $M$ locations of mobile station 1, and $N$ locations of mobile station 2 are defined yielding a total of $M+N$ points or labels. The resulting procedure is as follows.

1. Set BS $c1$ and $c2$ locations and array orientations according to layout.
2. Set MS locations $ms11$ to $ms2N$ and array orientations according to layout.
3. Set the links to be modelled to 1 in the pairing matrix.
4. Generate all the radio links at once obtain correct correlation properties. It is possible to generate more channel realizations, i.e. time samples, for each channel segment afterwards. This can be done by applying the initial values of small scale parameters in the Table 8-5.
5. Simulate channel segments in parallel or consecutively according to the desired motion of the mobiles.

5.1.3 Multihop and relaying

Typically, the links between the MSs and the links between the BSs are not of interest. Cellular systems are traditionally centric networks where all traffic goes through one or more BS. The BS themselves again only talk to a BS hub and not between them.

Multihop and relaying networks break with this limitation. In multihop networks, the data can take a route over one or more successive MS. Relaying networks, on the other hand, employ another level of network stations, the relays, which depending on the specific network, might offer more or less functionality to distribute traffic intelligently. The WINNER channel model can be used to obtain the channels for multihop or relaying scenarios, as described below.

Figure 5-5: Multihop and relaying scenarios.
In the example figure above the signal from \(MS1\) to \(BS3\) is transmitted via \(MS3\) and \(BS2\) act as a repeater for \(BS1\). These scenarios can be generated by introducing a BS-MS pair into position of a single BS serving as a relay or into position of a single MS serving as a multihop repeater. In these cases one can apply path-loss models of feeder scenarios described in section 3.2.4. The resulting procedure is as follows.

1. Set base station \(BS1\) to \(BS3\) locations and array orientations according to layout.
2. Set mobile locations \(MS1\) to \(MS3\) and array orientations according to layout.
3. Add extra base station \(BS4\) to position of \(MS3\) and extra mobile \(MS4\) to position of \(BS2\) with same array orientations and array characteristics as \(MS3\) and \(BS2\) respectively.
4. Set the pairing matrix to

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

5. Generate all the radio links at once.
6. Simulate the channel segments in parallel.

5.1.4 Interference

Interference modelling is an application subset of channel models that deserves additional consideration. Basically, communication links that contain interfering signals are to be treated just as any other link. However, in many communication systems these interfering signals are not treated and processed in the same way as the desired signals and thus modelling the interfering links with full accuracy is inefficient.

A simplification of the channel modelling for the interference link is often possible but closely linked with the communication architecture. This makes it difficult for a generalized treatment in the context of channel modelling. In the following we will thus constrain ourselves to giving some possible ideas of how this can be realised. Note that these are all combined signal and channel models. The actual implementation will have to be based on the computational gain from computational simplification versus the additional programming overhead.

**AWGN interference**

The simplest form of interference is modelled by additive white Gaussian noise. This is sufficient for basic C/I (carrier to interference ratio) evaluations when coupled with a path loss and shadowing model. It might be extended with e.g. on-off keying (to simulate the non-stationary behaviour of actual transmit signals) or other techniques that are simple to implement.

**Filtered noise**

The possible wideband behaviour of an interfering signal is not reflected in the AWGN model above. An implementation using a complex SCM or WIM channel, however, might be unnecessarily complex as well because the high number of degrees of freedom does not become visible in the noise-like signal anyway. Thus we propose something along the lines of a simple, sample-spaced FIR filter with Rayleigh-fading coefficients.

**Pre-recorded interference**

A large part of the time-consuming process of generating the interfering signal is the modulation and filtering of the signal, which has to be done at chip frequency. Even if the interfering signal is detected and removed in the communication receiver (e.g., multi-user detection techniques) and thus rendering a PN generator too simple, a method of pre-computing and replaying the signal might be viable. The repeating content of the signal using this technique is typically not an issue as the content of the interferer is discarded anyway.

**Exact interference by multi-cell modelling**

Interference situations are quite similar to multi-cell or multi-BS situations, except that in this case the other BSs transmit a non-desired signal which creates interference.
5.2 Space-time concept in simulations

5.2.1 Time sampling and interpolation
Channel sampling frequency has to be finally equal to the simulation system sampling frequency. To have feasible computational complexity it is not possible to generate channel realisations on the sampling frequency of the system to be simulated. The channel realisations have to be generated on some lower sampling frequency and then interpolated to the desired frequency. A practical solution is e.g. to generate channel samples with sample density (over-sampling factor) two, interpolate them accurately to sample density 64 and to apply zero order hold interpolation to the system sampling frequency. Channel impulse responses can be generated during the simulation or stored on a file before the simulation on low sample density. Interpolation can be done during the system simulation.

To be able to obtain the deep fades in the NLOS scenarios, we suggest using 128 samples per wavelength (parameter ‘SampleDensity’ = 64). When obtaining channel parameters quasi-stationarity has been assumed within intervals of 10-50 wavelengths. Therefore we propose to set the drop duration corresponding to the movement of up to 50 wavelengths.

5.3 Radio-environment settings

5.3.1 Scenario transitions
In the channel model implementation it is not possible to simulate links from different scenarios within one drop. This assumes that all propagation scenarios are the same for all simulated links. The change of the scenario in time can be simulated by changing the scenario in the consecutive drop. Similarly, to obtain different scenarios within radio-network in the same drop, multiple drops could be simulated – one for each scenario. Afterwards, merging should be performed.

5.3.2 LOS/NLOS transitions
Mix of LOS and NLOS channel realizations can be obtained by first calculating a set of LOS drops and after it a set of NLOS drops. This can be done by setting the parameter ‘PropagCondition’ to ‘LOS’ and later to ‘NLOS’.

5.4 Bandwidth/Frequency dependence

5.4.1 Frequency sampling
The WINNER system is based on the OFDM access scheme. For simulations of the system channel realizations in time-frequency domain are needed. The output of WIM is the channel in time-delay domain. The time-frequency channel at any frequency can be obtained by applying next two steps:
- define a vector of frequencies where the channel should be calculated
- by use of the Fourier transform calculate the channel at defined frequencies

5.4.2 Bandwidth down scaling
The channel models are delivered for 100 MHz RF band-width. Some simulations may need smaller band-widths. Therefore we describe below shortly, how the down-scaling should be performed. In doing so we assume that the channel parameters remain constant in down-scaling as indicated in our analyses.

5.4.2.1 Down-scaling in delay domain
There is a need for down-scaling, if the minimum delay sample spacing in the Channel Impulse Response (CIR) is longer than 5 ns in the simulation. Five nanoseconds is the default minimum spacing for the channel model samples (taps) and defines thus the delay grid for the CIR taps. For all smaller spacings the model shall be down-scaled. The most precise way would be filtering by e.g. a FIR filter. This would, however, create new taps in the CIR and this is not desirable. The preferred method in the delay domain is the following:
- Move the original samples to the nearest location in the down-sampled delay grid.
- In some cases there are two such locations. Then the tap should be placed in the one that has the smaller delay.
- Sometimes two taps will be located in the same delay position. Then they should be summed as complex numbers.

Above it has been assumed that the CIR samples are taken for each MIMO channel separately and that the angle information has been vanished in this process. This is the case, when using the model e.g. with the WIM implementation [WIN2WIM].

5.4.2.2 Down-scaling in frequency domain

If desired, the down-scaling can also be performed in the frequency domain. Then the starting point will be the original CIR specified in the delay domain. This CIR is transformed in the frequency domain for each simulation block. Then the transformed CIR can be filtered as desired, e.g. by removing the extra frequency samples, and used in the simulation as normally.

The maximum frequency sampling interval is determined by the coherence bandwidth

$$B_c = \frac{1}{C\sigma_t},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.4)

where $\sigma_t$ is the rms delay spread and $C$ is a scaling constant related to fading distribution.

5.4.3 FDD modeling

In next steps we explain how to obtain both uplink and downlink channel of an FDD system with bandwidths of 100 MHz. The center carrier frequencies are $f_c$ and $f_c + \Delta f$:

- Define BS and MS positions, calculate the channel for one link, e.g. BS to MS at certain carrier frequency $f_c$
- Save the output structure 'FULLOUTPUT' (see section 8.1.2)
- Exchange the positions of the BS and MS
- Calculate the other link, in this example the MS to BS by:
  - Using saved structure 'FULLOUTPUT' as fourth input argument of WIM
  - Randomizing the fast fading parameters in 'FULLOUTPUT'
  - Changing the carrier frequency to $f_c + \Delta f$
Part II

6. Measurement and Analysis Results

All results of one scenario are described in one section. Each channel characteristic sub-section (e.g. A1 path-loss) covers three topics: direct measurement results, literature review and interpretation/discussion. I.e. the following sub-sections will describe what kind of results we have got from our measurements, how well the results are aligned with literature and conclude by giving reasoning to our parameters.

6.1 A1 – Indoor small office

A1 propagation scenario has been measured and modelled in WINNER Phase I. In Phase II it became obvious that the following characteristics had to be updated:

i) Path-loss had to be generalized to the frequency range 2 – 6 GHz.

ii) Through-wall path-loss had to be specified.

iii) Angular spread at Base Station has to be updated using results for omnidirectional antennas.

All other characteristics, are assumed to remain the same as in the deliverable [WIN1D54] and are explained there in more detail.

6.1.1 Path-loss and shadow fading

In [WIN1D54] various results showed that 2 GHz path-loss models can be obtained from 5 GHz models by using the free-space loss frequency dependence: $PL \sim f^2$. In what follows we extend the measured 5.25 GHz pathloss models to carrier frequencies frequencies 2 – 6 GHz. In addition we will introduce a model for path-loss through one or more walls. Based on layout of [WIN1D54], the number of walls is determined as a function of distance. There is assumed one wall per 10 meters distance, i.e. number of walls is $n_w = \left\lfloor \frac{d}{10m} \right\rfloor$, where $d$ is the distance between BS and MS and $\left\lfloor \cdot \right\rfloor$ is rounding towards zero.

Penetration between floors will not be modelled, because the models are used only in one floor. The model describing the wall penetration is taken from literature. Other models are based on our own measurements and literature. One correction to the original model is introduced: The Room to Corridor path-loss has been increased by 5 dB.

Generally it is also important to introduce the path-loss dependence on the antenna heights. In A1 indoor case it is assumed insignificant due to the closed environment.

6.1.1.1 A1 LOS path-loss

The path-loss measured at 5.25 GHz is [WIN1D54]:

$$PL(d) = 46.4 + 18.7 \log_{10}(d[m]) \cdot$$ (6.1)

the shadow fading standard deviation being $\sigma = 3.1$ dB in the distance range $3m < d < 100m$.

Extended A1 LOS (Corridor-Corridor) path-loss is given below for the frequency range 2 – 6 GHz:

$$PL(d) = 46.4 + 18.7 \log_{10}(d[m]) + 20 \log_{10}(f[GHz]/5.0), \quad \sigma = 3.1 \text{ dB}, \quad 3m < d < 100m$$ (6.2)
Here we take the upper bound of shadow fading standard deviation (3.1 dB for 5.25 GHz), and extend the
frequency range from 2 to 6 GHz. The model corresponds to the Corridor – Corridor LOS case, but it can
be used also in Room to Room cases with negligible error.

6.1.1.2 A1 NLOS (Room to Corridor) path-loss
At 5.25 GHz the NLOS path-loss is

\[ PL(d) = 43.8 + 36.8 \log_{10}(d[m]) \] (6.3)

Note that we have increased the basic loss 5 dB from 38.8 to 43.8 compared to [WIN1D54] after
reviewing our results and literature.

Adding the frequency dependence and taking 5 GHz as the reference, we get for the frequency range 2 –
6 GHz:

\[ PL(d, f) = 43.4 + 36.8 \log_{10}(d[m]) + 20 \log_{10}(f[\text{GHz}]/5.0), \] (6.4)

It is assumed that the shadow fading standard deviation is constant over the specified frequency range,
\( \sigma = 3.5 \) dB.

6.1.1.3 A1 NLOS (through-wall) path-loss
A1 through wall path-loss could not be determined accurately due to too few measurements. The model
has been created using the available literature.

The path-loss (dB) for the NLOS (through-wall) case can be expressed as [KeM90]

\[ PL(d,f) = 20 \log_{10}(4 \pi df/c) + n_W L_W \] (6.5)

where  
\[ L_W = \text{attenuation per wall} \]
\[ n_W = \text{number of traversed walls} \]

The constant \( n_w \) is different for different types of wall materials. For light wall constructions an
attenuation per wall of approximately 5 dB has been reported. For more heavy materials a value of 15 dB
can be found. Both values are taken from [DRX98] but rounded to integer value. As the default value
we propose to use 5 dB, which describes a modern construction with light walls. For cases with heavy
walls inside the building 12 dB is recommended.

The floor attenuation of 15.5 dB has been reported [ITU]. However, the floor attenuation will be
neglected in WINNER2 simulations, because the propagation in only one floor is assumed [WIN1D72].

6.1.1.4 A1 shadowing
Shadowing is assumed log-normal. The values of the standard deviation are based on our measurements,
except for the through-wall case, where it is taken from the literature. The standard deviations are given in
the paragraphs 6.1.1.1 to 6.1.1.3 and the correlation distances in [WIN1D54].

6.1.1.5 Path-loss curves for the indoor (A1) scenario
Path-loss curves for all the different A1 sub-scenarios are shown in the Figure 7-1. For the through-wall case there are two types of wall materials considered: Light and heavy wall material with wall attenuations 5 and 12 dB respectively. It is assumed that either of the attenuations to be used depending of the building type assumed in the simulation, probably corresponding to the worst case.

![Path Loss for A1 scenarios at 5 GHz](image)

Figure 6-1. Path-loss curves at 5 GHz for the LOS (corridor-corridor) and NLOS (room-corridor, light through wall and heavy through wall) cases.

6.1.2 Angular Spreads at BS and MS

The percentiles of azimuth and elevation spreads for the A1 LOS and NLOS are shown in Table 7-1. Note that the results have been obtained from the results of [WIN1D54] by replacing the azimuth figures with the figures obtained for the new campaign. The reason is the fact that the results were originally obtained by using planar directive antennas in BS. In Phase 2 we have concluded that omnidirectional antennas give better models for the A1 scenario. It is assumed that changes in other channel parameters are negligible and can be omitted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BS, $\sigma_\phi$</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOS Azim.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS Elev.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLOS Azim.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLOS Elev.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MS, $\sigma_\phi$</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOS Azim.</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS Elev.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLOS Azim.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLOS Elev.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The subscenarios A1 room to room NLOS (through wall) are assumed to have the same characteristics as the subscenario corridor to room NLOS in Table 7-1.
Figure 6-2 Azimuth spread of the indoor LOS (left) and NLOS (right).

Figure 6-3 All indoor azimuth spreads combined.
6.2 A2 – Indoor to outdoor

6.2.1 Path-loss and shadow fading

6.2.1.1 Sub-scenarios

For the propagation in A2 scenario there exist some different sub-scenarios. They are important mainly for the path-loss characteristics. These sub-scenarios are shortly discussed below.

The indoor to outdoor environment A2 is somewhat more complex than the single scenarios. Normally it has been sufficient to model LOS and NLOS conditions. For the A2, we have to define the paths BS – wall (indoors), penetration through the exterior wall and path from the wall to the MS. The BS – wall path can be LOS or NLOS depending on the BS location. The penetration through the wall depends on the wall, windows and the grazing angles both sides the wall. Eventually the wall – MS path can be either LOS or NLOS. In addition, the floor affects also the propagation.

We can recognize the following sub-scenarios for analysing the propagation:

a) BS near (in the room next to) the exterior wall, MS in WLOS (Wall in LOS towards MS).
b) BS near exterior wall, MS in WNLOS (Wall in NLOS towards the MS) behind one corner.
c) BS near the exterior wall, MS in WNLOS on the opposite side of the building (wing).
d) BS far from the exterior wall, MS in WLOS.

The sub-scenario (c) will be neglected, because the propagation over or through the building is assumed highly lossy. Sub-scenario (d) can be combined with (a) by modelling the indoor path properly. Therefore we will have just the sub-scenarios (a) and (b) left. Actually our measurements cover only the case (a). Case (b) will be covered by the results found from the literature.

6.2.1.2 Results from literature for path-loss

There is a model for penetration of the signal from outdoors to indoors that was created in the COST 231 project [COST231]. The model is based on measurements in the frequency range from 900-1800 MHz and at distances up to 500 m. This means that it can not be applied automatically in the frequency range 2 – 6 GHz, but we believe that the basic approach can be used. For LOS conditions the model is defined in the figure below [COST231].

![Figure 6-4](image.jpg)

**Figure 6-4.** Definition of grazing angle θ and distances D, S and d. In the building an example of a possible wall layout at one single floor is shown. The distance d is a path through internal walls and the distance d' is a path through a corridor without internal walls.

Formula for the path-loss is given below:
\[ L_{\text{dB}} = 32.4 + 20 \log(f) + 20 \log(S + d) + W_e + W_{G_e} \left( 1 - \frac{D}{S} \right)^2 + \max(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \]  

(6.6)

where \( \Gamma_1 = W_p \) and \( \Gamma_2 = \alpha (d - 2)(1 - \frac{D}{S})^2 \).

D and d are the perpendicular distances and S is the physical distance between the external antenna and the external wall at the actual floor, see Figure 6-4. All distances are in metres, frequency is in GHz. The angle is determined through the expression \( \sin(\theta) = D/S \). \( W_e \) is the loss in dB in the externally illuminated wall at perpendicular penetration \( \theta = 90 \) degrees. \( W_{G_e} \) is the additional loss in dB in the external wall when \( \theta = 0 \) degrees. \( W_i \) is the loss in the internal walls in dB and \( p \) is the number of penetrated internal walls (\( p = 0, 1, 2... \)). In the case that there are no internal walls, as along \( d' \) shown in Fig. 4.6.1, the existing additional loss is determined with \( \alpha \) in dB/m.

The following parameter values are recommended in the model (for 900 – 1800 MHz):

\[ \begin{align*}
W_e &: 4 - 10 \text{ dB}, \text{(concrete with normal window size 7 dB, wood 4 dB)} \\
W_i &: 4 - 10 \text{ dB}, \text{(concrete walls 7 dB, wood and plaster 4 dB)} \\
W_{G_e} &: \text{about 20 dB} \\
\alpha &: \text{about 0.6 dB/m}
\end{align*} \]

In the NLOS case the total loss between isotropic antennas relative the outside reference loss, Loutside, is determined with the following equation:

\[ L_{\text{dB}} = \text{Loutside} + W_e + W_{G_e} + \max(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_3) - G_{FH} \]  

(6.7)

where \( \Gamma_3 = \alpha d \) and \( G_{FH} = h G_h \).

\( W_e, \Gamma_1, \) and \( d \) are similar to the corresponding definitions in the section above, line of sight conditions. \( G_h \) is the height gain in dB/m. \( h \) is the height in metres above the outdoor reference path loss level. For the NLOS case there are several illustrative figures and explanations in [COST 231], but they are not copied here.

There is the following general statement about the calculating of the penetrated power in [COST 231]:

*It has been found that the best method in order to estimate the received power at a fixed location within a building, is to consider all the paths through the external walls as shown in Figure 6-5. For each path, the received power is determined according to the methods described above and the sum of these separate power levels will then be the total received power. Those paths that are expected to give rise to loss levels far greater than the remaining paths, can of course be omitted.*

The situation is depicted in the Figure 6-5 [COST231].
In [MOT02] the authors discuss the propagation from outdoors to indoors through wall openings like doors and windows. They compare this model to the COST 231 model, where penetration is modelled so that it happens at the nearest point in the wall, i.e. perpendicularly towards the interior. The measurements were performed at 8.45 GHz and the coefficients are given for this frequency. The environment was a big department store with glass doors. Presumably the building forms one big space with shelves and possibly light walls inside the building. The authors claim that their model is more precise in the case, when there exists openings in the wall. The formula for the penetration loss is given below.

\[ L_p / dB = W_e + W_{G_e} \left( 1 - \cos \theta \right) + W_{G_i} \sin (\phi) \] 

where \( W_e \) is the loss across the wall opening for perpendicular penetration, \( W_{G_e} \) is the outdoor angular dependency coefficient and \( W_{G_i} \) is the indoor angular dependency coefficient.

The angles \( \phi \) and \( \theta \) define the direction of the MS indoors and the BS outdoors (or vice versa) seen at the wall opening. The situation can be seen in the Figure 6-6 [MOT02].

![Figure 6-6. Big department store with the BS outdoors and MS indoors. Main propagation path comes through a door.](image)

Loss from the wall opening to the indoor MS (or BS) is calculated slightly differently from that in the COST231 model:

\[ L_r = \alpha d \] 

where \( \alpha \) is the attenuation constant and \( d \) is the distance between the wall opening and MS (BS).

The outdoor loss is proposed to be calculated with models used in the macro or micro cell as appropriate. The values for the different constants are:

- \( W_{G_e} \) (dB) = 20.0
- \( W_{G_i} \) (dB) = 17.2
- \( \alpha \) (dB/m) = 0.348
- \( W_{G_i} \) (dB) = 20.0

The values are different from the [COST231]. Reason can be the higher frequency range and also the special type of the building. [COST231] does not specify the indoor angle dependence at all.

In [SS01] the authors present a theoretical model for the calculation of the path-loss by integrating over the relevant aperture that is in this case the exterior wall. The wall shall be divided in smaller elements.
and the field strength to be calculated through these elements to the interior of the house. Internal walls and doors can be handled in a simplified manner. Results are presented compared to measurements and the COST 231 model [COST231]. Shown results outperform the COST 231 model. Actually this approach could be useful in this project. The only drawback is that it is more complicated than the models in [COST231] and [MOT02]. For this reason the formulas are not shown here.

6.2.1.3 Path-loss model

Based on our measurements and the literature cited above we propose the following path-loss model:
- Path-loss is composed of three parts: i) indoor BS – wall attenuation, ii) through-wall attenuation and iii) basic BS – MS attenuation, walls neglected.
  - Indoor BS – wall attenuation is like in the A1 channel model.
  - Through wall attenuation is modelled like in the [COST231].
  - Basic BS – MS attenuation is modelled as in the B1 channel model (see Table 4-4).

![Diagram of A2 Indoor to Outdoor environment. BS indoors and MS outdoors.](image)

Now the path-loss model can be expressed as:

\[ PL = PL_a + PL_{nv} + PL_{in} \]

where

\[ PL_{nv} = \max(41 + 20 \log(f[GHz])5) + 22.7 \log_{10}(d_{in}[m] + d_{out}[m]), PL_{free} \]

\[ PL_{in} = W_e + WG_c \left(1 - \frac{D}{d_{out}}\right)^2 = W_e + WG_c (1 - \cos(\theta))^2 \]

\[ PL_{in} = \alpha d_{in} \]

This can be written for the desired A2 scenario, where indoor scenario is A1 and outdoor scenario is B1, as follows:
\[ PL = PL_o + PL_{in} + PL_{tw} \]

where

\[ PL_o = PL_{B2}(d_{out} + d_{in}) \]
\[ PL_{in} = W_e + WG_e \left( 1 - \cos(\theta) \right)^2 \]
\[ PL_{tw} = \alpha \times d_{in} \] (6.11)

Above PL\text{free} is the free space loss, PL\text{o} is the basic loss between the BS and UT along the route \( d_{out} - d_{in} \) without wall effects, PL\text{in} is the loss inside the building and PL\text{tw} is the loss through the outer wall. The parameters in the equation are:

\( d_{out} = \) outside distance,
\( d_{in} = \) inside distance,
\( D = \) perpendicular distance from the MS to the building wall,
\( \alpha = \) loss coefficient for the indoor propagation,
\( W_e = \) Loss through wall for the perpendicular penetration,
\( WG_e = \) Loss through wall for the parallel penetration, and
\( \theta = \) angle between the normal of the wall and outgoing (incoming) ray.

From our measurements the most appropriate values for the model constants have been found and they are:

\( W_e : \) 18 dB,
\( WG_e : \) 15 dB,
\( \alpha : \) 0.5 dB/m.

Actually the best fit was obtained with the value 0.3 dB/m of \( \alpha \), but to make it compliant with the indoor model through-wall loss 5 dB we selected slightly higher value 0.5 dB/m.

Comparing these values with the [COST231] and [MOT02] coefficients we get the following comparison table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WINNER</th>
<th>[COST231]</th>
<th>[MOT03]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( W_e : )</td>
<td>18 dB,</td>
<td>4 - 10 dB,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( WG_e : )</td>
<td>15 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha : )</td>
<td>0.5 dB/m</td>
<td>0.6 dB/m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values from our measurements match quite well with the ones from the [COST231] and [MOT02] models. It should be noted that the match between the measurements and the model is not very exact: The predicted minima for the path-loss may occur in different places than predicted by the model. Model predicts the minimum path-loss at the closest point to the inside BS. In the real world the locations of the minima depend on the locations of the windows, doors or other wall openings. The minima tend also to be sharper than predicted by the model. However, to be able to model these phenomena would need more precise description of the environment than is practical. In general the model is seen suitable for describing the path-loss behaviour from inside to outside and is adopted for the Indoor to Outdoor scenario.

An alternative form for the path-loss is obtained by replacing the continuous attenuation by stepwise one. Then
\[ PL = PL_0 + PL_{sw} + PL_{in} \]

where \[ PL_0 = \max \left( 41 + 20 \log(f[GHz]) + 22.7 \log_{10}(d_{out}[m] + d_{in}[m]), PL_{free} \right) \]

\[ PL_{sw} = W_e + WG_e \left( 1 - \frac{D}{d_{out}} \right)^2 = W_e + WG_e (1 - \cos(\theta))^2 \]

\[ PL_{in} = n_w L_w \]

where \( n_w \) is the number of walls and \( L_w \) is the indoor wall loss. The default value for \( L_w \) is 5 dB.

Standard deviation of the path-loss is 7 dB and the correlation distance is assumed the same as in B1.

![PDF of the shadow fading of the A2 indoor-to-outdoor scenario.](image)

6.2.2 DS and maximum excess delay distribution

Table 6-2 Percentiles of the rms delay spread
Figure 6-9. RMS delay spread. a) Cumulative density function. b) Probability density function.

Figure 6-10. Maximum excess delay. a) Cumulative density function. b) Probability density function.

Table 6-3. Percentiles of the rms delay spread

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Maximum excess delay (ns)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2.3 Azimuth AS at BS and MS

The percentiles for the CDF functions of the angle spreads are shown in the table Table 6-4. Histograms of the azimuth spreads of the first and fourth floor are presented in Figure 6-11 a and b, respectively. The cumulative distribution functions of the all data combined are shown in Figure 6-12 for the base station and mobile terminals.

Table 6-4. Percentiles of the RMS azimuth spread.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Azimuth spread</th>
<th>Percentiles</th>
<th>1st floor</th>
<th>4th floor</th>
<th>All combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BS, $\sigma_\phi$</strong></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean / std</td>
<td>57.4 / 14.4</td>
<td>44.9 / 15.5</td>
<td>53.6 / 15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MS, $\sigma_\phi$</strong></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean / std</td>
<td>26.0 / 16.9</td>
<td>21.4 / 14.1</td>
<td>24.6 / 16.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6-11. Azimuth spread of the indoor-to-outdoor scenario. First floor (a) and fourth floor (b).

Figure 6-12. RMS azimuth spread of the indoor-to-outdoor scenario. Both floors combined.

6.2.4 Cross-polarisation ratio (XPR)
The CDF percentile values of the XPR at 5.25 GHz centre-frequency in an indoor-to-outdoor environment is shown in the Table 6-5. The direct path is a path with the maximum power. XPR of the scattered paths is calculated by merging all the remaining paths into the same pool. Figure 6-13 shows the histogram of the XPR values and the corresponding CDF is presented in Figure 6-14.

Table 6-5 Percentiles of the cross-polarization ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A2 indoor-to-outdoor</th>
<th>Direct path (OLOS / NLOS)</th>
<th>Scattered paths (NLOS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>XPR\textsubscript{V} [dB]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean / std</td>
<td>8.1 / 10.4</td>
<td>6.1 / 10.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XPR\textsubscript{H} [dB]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
<td>-8.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean / std</td>
<td>8.5 / 10.9</td>
<td>4.6 / 10.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6-13. Histograms of the cross-polarisation ratios. Direct path (a) and scattered paths (b).
6.2.5 Power Delay Profile
Power delay profiles for the A2 Indoor to Outdoor scenario have been shown in Figure 6-15 with two different dynamic ranges. Dual slope model fit is shown in the figure b.

![Figure 6-15. Power Delay Profile for A2 Indoor to Outdoor scenario. a) All data. b) Data for dynamic range 20 dB.](image)

The formulas for the different segments are shown in the figure: The time constants are 38 MHz and 15 MHz for the first and second segment, respectively.

6.2.6 Proportionality factor of the delay
6.2.7 Power Angular Spectrum and main DoA offset

Power angular spectrum (PAS) is a distribution of the power over the DoD/DoA angles. It is calculated from the super-resolution data by directing the LOS direction towards the other terminal. The shift is done for the Power-DoD-DoA multi-dimensional matrix for each snapshot. Figure 6-17a shows the PAS for the BS and the MS where MS is outdoors and BS indoors. The main DoA offset is the difference between the mean angle and the LOS direction and is presented in Figure 6-17b.

6.2.8 Number of clusters

The number of clusters is calculated from SISO data by counting the maxima of the PDP. This slightly differs from the number of clusters calculated from the super resolution data. Figure 6-18 shows the CDF and the PDF of the number of clusters. Percentiles of the CDF are shown in the Table 6-6.
Figure 6-18. Number of clusters extracted from IR (left) and ISIS (right) data.

Table 6-6. Percentiles for the number of clusters extracted from IR / ISIS data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th># of clusters from IR</th>
<th># of clusters from ISIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2.9 Time evolution of clusters

Figure 6-19 Histograms of the cluster lifetime for two different measurement routes.
6.2.10 Per cluster shadowing

Figure 6-20 Per-cluster shadowing standard deviation for two measurement routes.

6.2.11 Ricean K-factor

Figure 6-21. Narrowband Ricean K-factor. a) CDF. b) Histogram

Table 6-7. Percentiles of the narrowband Ricean K-factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K (in dB)</th>
<th>A2 NLOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2.11.1 Literature research

In [KP02] the authors specify the spherical Outdoor to Indoor power spectrum. They assume it separable for the spherical angle coordinates $\theta$ and $\phi$. The authors test measurement results at 1890 MHz against different commonly used models, like Laplacian and Gaussian functions, and a model called statistical and specified in [AP02]. It turns out that this statistical model fits best to the measurement results. It is assumed that the statistical model can be written in the form

$K \text{ (in dB)} = A2 \text{ NLOS}$

with

- $10\% = -2.4$
- $50\% = 3.2$
- $90\% = 9.0$
- mean $= 3.2$
\[ P_\theta(\phi, \theta) = P_{\theta}(\phi)P_{\theta}(\theta) \]

and

\[ P_\phi(\phi, \theta) = P_{\phi}(\phi)P_{\phi}(\theta) \]

The formula of the statistical model for the power distribution in angle coordinates \( \theta \) or \( \phi \) is:

\[
P(\alpha) = \frac{s^2}{s^2 + (\sin \alpha - \sin \alpha)^2}
\]

where

\[ s = \sin^2 \alpha - (\sin \alpha)^2 \]

In the formula \( \alpha \) represents either \( \theta \) or \( \phi \) as required.

The formulas are simplified by assigning \( E[\sin \alpha] = 0 \). This means that the power distribution is defined relative to the mean direction of arrival. In addition the formula is approximated by a constant far from the main direction. The we get [AP02]

\[ P_{\theta}(\phi) = \sqrt{A_\theta} \frac{s_{\phi\theta}}{s_{\phi\phi} + \sin^2 \phi} \]

\[ P_{\theta}(\theta) = \sqrt{A_\theta} \frac{s_{\theta\theta}}{s_{\theta\phi} + \sin^2 \theta} \]

\[ P_{\phi}(\phi) = \sqrt{A_\phi} \frac{s_{\phi\phi}}{s_{\phi\theta} + \sin^2 \phi} \]

\[ P_{\phi}(\theta) = \sqrt{A_\phi} \frac{s_{\theta\theta}}{s_{\theta\phi} + \sin^2 \theta} \]

when \(-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \phi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\) and

\[-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\]

\[ P_{\theta}(\phi) = \sqrt{A_\theta} a_{\phi\theta} \]

and

\[ P_{\theta}(\phi) = \sqrt{A_\theta} (a_{\phi\theta} - b_{\theta} |\phi|) \]

when \(-\pi \leq \phi \leq -\frac{\pi}{2}\) or

\[ \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \phi \leq \pi \]

Note that the angle \( \theta \) has been specified from \(-\pi/2\) to \(\pi/2\). The definitions above mean that the \( \theta \)-polarised power reaches maximum in the direction of \( \theta = 0 \) and \( \phi = 0 \). The power decreases until the \( \phi = \pm 90 \) degrees and \( \theta = \pm 90 \). Same is true for \( \phi \)-polarised power, but it should be noted that the absolute directions of the two maxima may be different. (See [KP02].) These two ranges specify solid angle containing the half space. In the opposite half space \( \theta \) remains constant over 180 degrees in the opposite side of the maximum. The \( \phi \)-polarised power on the other hand decays linearly in the same region until it reaches the minimum exactly in the opposite direction compared to the maximum (of the \( \phi \)-polarised power).

The measurement results have been shown as figures together with the different modelling functions. Also the parameters for the best fitting statistical model have been expressed, but the values like RMS
azimuth or elevation spreads have not been given. From the figures it can be estimated that the spreads are approximately:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMS AS of $\theta$-polarisation</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS ES$^1$ of $\theta$-polarisation</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS AS of $\phi$-polarisation</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS ES of $\phi$-polarisation</td>
<td>$&gt;70$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) ES means elevation spread.

At the same time, XPR was evaluated. Mean XPR was 5.5 dB. This means that the total energy cross-polarized has been quite high. One possible reason is the used horn-antenna. It is also noteworthy that the cross-polarized power is spread in much higher solid angle than the original polarisation.

In [Rudd03] measurements are reported that were performed using BS station installed in a balloon to be able to measure the penetration into typical houses in UK at frequencies 1.3, 2.4 and 5.7 GHz. The arrangement allowed to obtain the losses as function of elevation angle. Also the loss dependence on building floor was examined. The most interesting result is that the curves for 2.4 and 5.7 GHz are almost identical. For elevation angles from 0 to 30 degrees the reported loss was almost constant and 14.5 dB could be used as a common value. The dependence on floor was not very clear and will be omitted here.

The authors of [SCT03] describe signal penetration through window at several carrier frequencies. The case is such that the loss across the window is negligible and through the wall it is much higher, apparently more than 20 dB at 10 GHz. It can be easily seen that in such a case the attenuation of the signal will depend on the area of the window (neglecting the propagation through walls) almost directly. At the same time the transmitted power, assuming propagation from inside to outside the building, is concentrated on a beam determined by the window, see Figure 6-22 and Figure 6-23 [SCT03].

Figure 6-22. Transmitted power concentrated in solid angle determined by Tx near window (a) and Tx far away from one (b).

Figure 6-23. Measured and predicted relative beam widths for a) Tx nearby the window and b) Tx far from the window. The carrier frequencies are from top to down: 0.5, 10 and 18 GHz.
The authors of [WOT99] describe and analyse their measurements. Wideband measurements were carried out in Oxford, UK at 2.4 and 5.7 GHz, covering a bandwidth of 80 MHz for static outdoor to indoor scenarios. Mean excess delay, RMS delay spread and RMS azimuth spread for the indoor terminal are given in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Suburban</th>
<th>Rural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean excess delay (ns)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS delay spread (ns)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS azimuth spread</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of multipaths per cluster and cluster RMS delay spread and RMS azimuth spread are shown below for clusters with more than one path.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Suburban</th>
<th>Rural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nr. of multipaths per cluster (all clusters)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS delay spread (ns)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS azimuth spread</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The RMS azimuth spreads are quite high indicating almost uniform distribution in azimuth of the received power.

In [DDA00] the authors discuss theoretically propagation from outdoors to indoors. They claim that the RMS delay-spread remains about the same when moving from outdoors to indoors. The RMS azimuth spectrum may be greater indoors due to inhomogeneous wall construction, which gives rise to reflections that cause broadening of the azimuth spectrum. Numerical values given are 10 degrees for outdoors and 15 degrees for indoors. We understood that the treatment neglected the reflections inside the building. Probably this has only small effect on the delay spread. On the other hand, for the azimuth spread the effect could be considerable.

In [WAE+04] the Outdoor to Indoor propagation at 5.2 GHz is investigated by measurements. The environment, where the measurements were conducted, is a building of Lund University in Sweden. The transmitters were placed on the roof of a three floor wing of the building. Receiving locations were in another wing in the second floor, 17m apart from the transmitter wing. Three Tx locations were used. The receiving locations were i) in rooms against north wall facing the transmitter locations, ii) in rooms against south wall facing away from the transmitters and iii) in the corridor between the north and south facing rooms.

The mean RMS angular spreads were:

- DOA: 39.6, 42.0 and 44.4 degrees for north, south and corridor locations respectively.
- DOD: 9.4, 8.4 and 10.1 degrees for north, south and corridor locations respectively.

The values of the DOA spreads fell in the range 20 to 58 degrees for the north and south rooms and the corridor. Similarly the DOD spreads fell in the range 2.5 to 26 degrees. The reported mean RMS delay spreads were 8, 13 and 10 ns for north, south and corridor locations respectively. The values ranged from 2.5 to 30 ns.

Also the relative power included in the paths was investigated as a function of the number of paths for part of the locations. Typically 70 % of the total power was obtained with 10 paths, 80 % was obtained...
with 20 paths and 90 % was not quite obtained with 40 paths. On the other hand, 80 % or more of the
received power was included in 40 paths in more 75 % of all locations.

In [WMA+04] same authors discuss some statistical properties of the Outdoor to Indoor channels in the
same measurement as in [WAE+04]. They show that the signals are normally Ricean distributed,
although some deviations may occur due to shadowing of the antenna elements. They also investigate
Ricean K-factor of the channel impulse responses (CIR). The values ranged from 0.3 to 6.3 dB. The
authors also show that the joint AOA and DOA angle distributions are not separable. This means that the
Kronecker model is not applicable in the Outdoor to Indoor (and thus Indoor to Outdoor) scenario. One
interesting detail in these joint distributions is that the rays seem to arrive mostly at 50 degree elevation
angle. This would be easily explained, if there would be windows near the ceiling in the receiving rooms.

In [MHA+04] the authors discuss a measurement campaign, where the BS with a directive antenna is in a
window at 6th floor, in a position that imitates being out of doors. MS location was varied in five locations
per floor at three floors, namely floors 4, 6 and 8. Floor 8 was almost in LOS condition, floors 4 and 6
slightly in NLOS. In the reference there was a detailed capacity discussion. For our purposes we could
find the following results:

- Heat protective window coating cause more than 20 dB extra loss in the connection.
- Path-loss ranged from 0 to 30 dB above the free-space loss depending on the existing or missing
  LOS condition. In the higher storeys the path-loss was smaller.
- RMS angle spread was relatively high at MS, as could be seen in the figures, and
  correspondingly much lower at BS, which is very understandable due to the geometry.
- Measured correlation length was about 4 wave-lengths at BS and ¼ … 1/3 wave-lengths at MS.

Unfortunately the authors do not present more numerical values for these data, but concentrate on
capacity.

[Cha03] discusses the outdoor to indoor propagation at 2 GHz. The transmitter was placed outdoors at a
low height, 1.5 m below the E floor. (ground ???) Measurements were conducted at first and second floor.
The relevant results of this article are the following:

- The additional attenuation in the corridors was about 20 – 25 dB for 5 to 10 peoples moving in
  the corridor.
- Ricean K-factor was 21.3 dB in first floor and 18.7 dB in the second floor.

In the analysis we start with the following assumptions:

### 6.3 B1 – Urban micro-cell

Most of the parameters are analysed in Phase I [WIN1D54].

#### 6.3.1 Path-loss and shadow fading

##### 6.3.1.1 Frequency extension for B1

In D5.4 the PL models for B1 urban microcells in regular street grid environment read as

\[
\begin{align*}
PL_{\text{LOS}} &= 22.7 \log_{10}(d_1[m]) + 41.0 & 10 m < d < 650 m \\
PL_{\text{NLOS}} &= 0.096 * d_2[m] + 65 + (28 - 0.024 * d_2) \log_{10}(d_2[m]) & 10 m < d_1 < 550 m, \quad w/2 < d_2 < 450 m (6.12) \\
\end{align*}
\]

Results in [KI04][OTT+01][SMI+02] show that path loss shows fairly closely $20 \log_{10}(f)$ frequency
dependency in range 0.5-15 GHz.

Corner loss characteristics (transition from LOS to NLOS) as a function of $f$ were examined in range 3-15
GHz in [SMI+02]. With BS height 10 m path loss dependency on $f$ in this case was reported as

$26.3 \log_{10}(f)$, which is slightly greater than just that due to wavelength difference. However, different
frequency scaling for LOS and NLOS models would lead to difficulties in model (dis)continuity.
Therefore we prefer to choose for all the cases the same frequency scaling $20\log_{10}(f)$, where $f$ is the target frequency in GHz (between 2…6 GHz).

6.3.1.2 Range extension for B1

In [MKA02] the existence of breakpoint (for two-slope LOS modeling) was examined at frequencies 3, 8 and 16 GHz. It was found that if MS height is close to the average height of pedestrians and cars on the street (~1.5 meters) no breakpoint was observed. If the MS height was increased to 2.7 meters, which is clearly above the average height of cars and pedestrians, the breakpoint becomes visible in the PL scatter plot.

If we assume that for WINNER microcellular channel models the typical MS height is ~1.5-2 meters and BS height ~7-10 meters, then breakpoint modeling is not needed in microcellular PL models for MS-BS distances below 1000 meters. For other MS heights and longer ranges, however, it needs to be taken into account. Therefore a two-slope model with effective height breakpoint is proposed [MKA02] [OTT+01]:

$$R_{bp} = \frac{\left(h_{BS} - h_{o}\right)\left(h_{MS} - h_{o}\right)}{\lambda}$$

(6.13)

After the breakpoint the propagation slope is set to four. According to [MKA02] the effective height varies according to traffic conditions from 0.5 (no or low traffic) to 1.5 (heavy traffic). Here we assume moderate traffic conditions, and set $h_{o}=1$.

Now the range extension for B1 LOS model is proposed as

$$PL_{LOS} = 22.7 \log_{10}(d_{1}[m]) + 41 + 20\log_{10}(f[GHz]/5), \quad d_{1} < R_{bp}$$

(6.14)

$$PL_{LOS} = 40\log_{10}(d_{1}[m]) + 41 - 17.3\log_{10}(R_{bp}) + 20\log_{10}(f[GHz]/5), \quad d_{1} \geq R_{bp}$$

(6.15)

In above the transition at breakpoint distance is continuous. In addition we should assume BS height clearly above the ground level so that the modeled link is a microcellular connection and not peer-to-peer.

Therefore we propose the following ranges: 5 m < $h_{BS}$ < 20 m and 1.5 m < $h_{MS}$ < 20 m, where the upper limit is assumed still below rooftop level. This is the best guess range extension to the B1 LOS path loss model without suitable measurement data available. The maximum range is now assumed to be several (“as many as needed”) kilometers. Note that the B1 model is now the same as for B5c fixed stationary feeder below rooftop to street level model. Figure 6-24 illustrates the two-slope model with breakpoint for different BS and MS heights at 5 GHz frequency.

For B1 NLOS model we slightly modify the equation given in [ZRK+06], and propose

$$PL_{NLOS} = PL_{LOS}(d_{1}[m]) + 20 - 12.5n_{j} + 10n_{j} \log_{10}(d_{2}[m])$$

(6.16)

This is valid for $d_{2} > w/2$, where $w$ is the street width. Minimum allowed street width $w=7$ m, and proposed default $w=15$ m. Minimum street width definition is needed to ensure that NLOS path loss is always greater or equivalent than the LOS path loss for selected $d_{1}$. B1 LOS-NLOS transition is not modeled or defined, and if needed, it can be directly interpolate between LOS and NLOS values.

Note that the frequency scaling is included in the above NLOS model through the first $d_{1}$ dependent term.

In the original B1 NLOS model the propagation exponent along the perpendicular street decreases with increasing $d_{1}$ distance as [ZRK+06]

$$n_{j} = 2.8 - 0.0024d_{1}[m]$$

(6.17)

This approach was based on measurements, and found valid for $d_{1} < \sim 400-500$ m. With increasing $d_{1}$ the propagation exponent becomes unrealistically small, which has not been confirmed by measurements. Therefore we assume that for values $d_{1} < \sim 400$ m the exponent remains the same as with $d_{1} = 400$ m, i.e. 1.84:

$$n_{j} = \max(2.8 - 0.0024d_{1}[m], 1.84)$$

(6.18)

Examples of the extended B1 NLOS models are shown in Figure 6-24.
6.3.1.3 Path loss model for WINNER II

As a summary, the following set of equations is proposed for path loss models for B1 with BS height and carrier frequency variation between 2-6 GHz.

The path loss model for urban microcellular B1 LOS is

\[
\begin{align*}
PL_{LOS} &= 22.7 \log_{10}(d_1[m]) + 41 + 20 \log_{10}(f[GHz]/5), \quad d_1 < R_p \\
PL_{LOS} &= 40 \log_{10}(d_1[m]) + 41 - 17.3 \log_{10}(R_p) + 20 \log_{10}(f[GHz]/5), \quad d_1 \geq R_p
\end{align*}
\]

with \( R_p = 4 \frac{(h_{BS} - 1)(h_{MS} - 1)}{\bar{\lambda}} \)

and for NLOS microcells as

\[
PL_{NLOS} = PL_{LOS}(d_1[m]) + 20 - 12.5n_j + 10n_j \log_{10}(d_1[m]) \tag{6.20}
\]

with \( n_j = \max(2.8 - 0.0024 \cdot d_1[m], 1.84) \)

We bravely propose the validity ranges as

\( d_1 = 10m...5km \quad d_2 = w/2...2km \quad (w > 7m) \quad h_{BS} = 5...20m \quad h_{MS} = 1.5...20m \quad f_c = 2...6GHz \)

6.3.2 Rms delay spread results by CU/CRC

As described in Part II, section 6, CRC urban microcell measurements were made in two separate, but similar, experiments. These were conducted on different days in the same measurement area, with the same simulated BS Tx site on Laurier Avenue between Bank St. and Kent St. in downtown Ottawa. During one experiment, 5 mchps, 511 chip PN sequences were used for quasi-simultaneous channel soundings at 2.25 GHz and 5.8 GHz. The snapshot rate was 750 snapshots/sec, and measurements in the 2.25 GHz and 5.8 GHz bands were recorded sequentially, both bands being sampled within a time interval of 205 microseconds. During the other experiment, 50 mchps, 255 chip PN sequences were used for quasi-simultaneous channel soundings at 2.25 GHz and 5.8 GHz. The snapshot rate was 400 snapshots/sec, and 4 PN sequence lengths were recorded in each band for noise averaging. Measurements in the 2.25 GHz and 5.8 GHz were recorded sequentially, and data from both bands were recorded within a time interval of 20 microseconds. Measurement parameters were different for the two experiments to meet requirements for other measurement objectives, not discussed here. Back-to-back calibration tests were conducted prior to all experiments, and these showed equivalent equipment delay spread characteristics in the two bands, for each chip rate.

Using data from each experiment, estimates were made of SISO channel rms delay spreads in both bands from average power delay profiles (APDPs) corresponding to 1-sec-long time series. All APDPs were thresholded at a value of -20 dB with respect to peak power prior to the rms delay spread calculations. Two comparisons were made based on the results.
The first comparison was of rms delay spreads in the two frequency bands, given the same chip rate. Fig. 7.3.2-1 shows a scatter plot of the results from the 5 mchps soundings, and Fig. 7.3.2-2 shows a scatter plot of the results from the 50 mchps soundings.

![Fig. 7.3.2-1 Rms delay scatter plots for 5 mchps SISO channel soundings in Ottawa.](image1)

![Fig. 7.3.2-2 Rms delay scatter plots for 50 mchps SISO channel soundings in Ottawa.](image2)

It can be seen from both figures, that, except in the LOS/5mchps case, rms delay spreads were greater at 2.25 GHz on most of the measured street sections. Reasons for this are not clear at the time of writing. In the LOS/5mchps case, rms delay spreads were greater at 5.8 GHz on 61% of the 36 street sections for which data were analysed. In the NLOS/5mchps case, rms delay spreads were greater at 2.25 GHz on 72% of 170 street sections. In the LOS/50 mchps and NLOS/50 mchps cases, rms delay spreads were greater at 2.25 GHz on 58% of 110 street sections, and 68% of 120 street sections, respectively.

While the above scatter plots show comparisons between the results for each street section, CDFs are required to show statistics associated with these results, when all locations throughout the measurement area are considered. Fig. 7.3.2-3 shows experimentally-determined CDFs for all four cases discussed in the foregoing.
Fig 7.3.2-3 Experimentally-determined CDFs for rms delay spreads on LOS and NLOS channels in Ottawa estimated from 5 mchps and 50 mchps soundings.

As one would expect from examination of the scatter plots, it is clear from Fig. 7.3.2-3 that in all cases, except the LOS/5mchps case, rms delay spreads were slightly greater at 2.25 GHz for all percentiles. The difference between the 5 mchps and 50 mchps sounding results is also clear from this figure. As the sounder chip rate, or system RF bandwidth increases, rms delay spread values decrease. It is to be reported in [GRZ07] that plane wave simulations, using single-interaction geometrical multipath propagation models show that there is nonlinear, but monotonic relationship between rms delay spread and PN sounding system chip rates. As chip rates increase, rms delay spreads decrease, then level off at the chiprate that results in resolution of most multipath components. Simulations tuned to give the experimental values plotted in the foregoing, but extrapolated to 200 mchps show that the initial slope of the decrease is steeper in LOS than in NLOS cases, but in both cases, rms delay spread values level off at a minimum, for chip rates greater than about 40 mchps.

In the LOS cases, the median rms delay spread estimated with the 50 mchps sounder at both 2.25 GHz and 5.8 GHz is about 22 ns. The corresponding 90th percentile is about 50 ns. That in NLOS cases is about 190 ns at 2.25 GHz and 160 ns at 5.8 GHz. The corresponding 90th percentiles are about 282 ns and 296 ns, respectively. Although KS tests would likely show that the differences in these experimental results are not very significant, the scatter plots indicate that 2.25 GHz rms delay spreads are equal to, or slightly greater than 5.8 GHz rms delay spreads in all cases. Careful calibration measurements have led to the conclusion that this is not a result of differences in measurement equipment and antenna characteristics in the two frequency bands.

6.3.3 LOS probability

Line-of-sight probability results from the LOS ray-tracing simulations are presented in Figure 6-25. The solid lines in the figure were obtained by averaging simulation results over six base station locations. Each of them corresponds to a different base station height. The formula for the LOS probability for scenario B1

\[ P_{LOS} = \min(1, \frac{20}{d}) \]

produces a fairly good fit to simulated curves for a base station height of 10-20 m, typical for the B1 scenario.
6.4 B2 – Bad urban micro-cell

See section 6.10 for bad urban macrocells. The modelling procedure in given in 4.2.1.

6.5 B3 – Indoor hotspot

Parameters are analysed in Phase I [WIN1D54].

6.6 B4 – Outdoor to indoor

Outdoor to indoor measurement scenario is described in [WIN2IR111]. Outdoor-to-indoor scenario in urban macrocell environment (C4) is not discussed separately in this document because the model for C4 is the same as for B4. To attain indoor coverage, the outdoor BS needs to have LOS to the building where the MS is located. Hence, the BS antenna height is irrelevant, it could be below or above rooftop level. Furthermore, the channel from two different basestations to the same terminal indoors is uncorrelated in terms of slow and fast fading. In outdoor-to-indoor scenario B4 the MS antenna height is assumed to be at 1 – 2 m (plus the floor height), and the BS antenna height is below rooftop. Therefore, the measurements performed for this scenario are targeted for CG metropolitan area and microcellular environment (B1). The corresponding indoor environment is A1. Below the analysis results of the measurements are described. The measurement results given in next sections are analysed and measured in the University of Oulu (UOULU), Finland.

6.6.1 Path-loss and shadow fading

6.6.1.1 Measurement results

Path loss and shadow fading are considered as the most important parameters in channel modelling. Path loss (PL) denotes the loss of signal power between the transmitter (Tx) and the receiver (Rx) and shadowing or slow fading is the variation of PL. The threshold for an effective path in impulse response (to be taken into account in snapshot’s power calculation) was set to 20 dB below the power of the maximum peak in the power delay profile (PDP). All the paths in IR that were below this limit, were removed. Furthermore, the dynamic range of the snapshot’s peak path’s power was checked. The limit for it was set to 23 dB above the noise level. If this limit was not met, then the snapshot in question was discarded. The effect of fast fading was removed by averaging over a small distance. Due to the indoor environment in the receiving end and the applied frequency of 5.25 GHz, this distance was not bigger than 1 meter which corresponds to 13 wavelengths. The PL is calculated as wideband path loss as
\[ PL = -10 \log 10 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} |h_i|^2 \right) + G_T + G_R, \]

where \( N \) is the number of effective paths, \( h_i \) is the channel coefficient for \( t \) delayed path and \( G_T \) and \( G_R \) are the antenna gains at Tx and Rx, respectively. The path loss model or expected path loss (EPL) is derived using a linear polynomial fit of the measured PL vs. distance \( d \) between Tx and Rx. The polynomial fit will produce a formula

\[ PL = B + A \log 10(d) = B + 10n \log 10(d), \]

where \( n \) is the path loss exponent and \( B \) is the PL intercept.

The measurements were conducted at the University of Oulu, which consists of 3-5 floor office type buildings in a narrow street-like grid with some citypark-like openings. The width of the corridors is ~3.5 meters. The building walls are mainly concrete and are approximately 30 cm thick. However, occasionally glass walls are encountered. The transmitter was located outside in a height of 6 meters and Rx moved along corridors in four floors.

The path loss curves for free space PL, measured PL and fitted PL model can be seen in Figure 6-26 a. The measured PL is shown as black dots, the free space PL as blue dashed line and the fitted (in least squares sense) model is shown as red solid line. The PL formula is shown to be \( PL = 64.26 + 21.17 \log_{10}(d) \) in dB and the path loss exponent is therefore 2.12. This formula is valid for ranges of 3-100 meters. Shadow fading is the variation of the PL. The probability distribution function (pdf) is shown in Figure 6-26 b. The fitted model (shown with red dashed line) is lognormal distributed with shadow fading standard deviation of 6.9 dB. The correlation distance for shadow fading was calculated to be 3.4 meters.

In Table 6-8, the path loss values in dB are given for four cases where the number of concrete walls between Tx and Rx varies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of walls / distance (m)</th>
<th>1 wall / 3.7</th>
<th>2 walls / 7.3</th>
<th>3 walls / 11.5</th>
<th>4 walls / 15.6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Path loss (dB)</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free space loss (dB)</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.6.1.2 Literature review

There are some outdoor to indoor measurement campaigns reported in literature but very few of them discuss path loss or shadow fading.

In [SS01] a propagation loss model for LOS outdoor to indoor scenario is proposed. Measurements to test the validity of this model were carried out at 2.385 and 5.184 GHz in three different U.K. cities and the proposed model is said to be in agreement with the measurements.
In [MHA+04] the measurements were performed in Kista, a part of city of Stockholm with mostly office buildings at 1947 MHz. The base station was placed at a window at the 6th floor of an office building and the mobile at 6 different locations on three different floors of another office building. The distance between them was 300 m. The average path loss measurement results are pictured in Figure 6-27. Path loss of more than 20 dB in excess of free space loss was measured even in LOS condition. This was due to heat protective window coating.

![Figure 6-27: Average path loss measured at each location and array orientation. The solid line corresponds to free space loss and the dashed line to free space loss + 22dB.](image)

In reference [CKC03] the transmitter was placed outside a building where the receiver was moving in the corridors on the first and second floors and the measurements were conducted at 2 GHz. The maximum distance between the transmitter and the receiver was 15 meters and the maximum RF output was +13 dBm. The path loss exponent was observed to be of the order \(-1.0\) for both floors. Path loss for second floor is presented in the figure below.

![Figure 6-28: Signal attenuation on the second floor at 2 GHz.](image)

[MOT02] proposes an outdoor-to-indoor path loss model that considers the paths through wall openings. The measurements were conducted at a department store at 8.45 GHz and the transmitting antenna was at a height of 14 meters. The path loss model assumes that the loss is a sum of outdoor propagation loss, wall opening penetration loss, and indoor propagation loss. The measurements show that the penetration
loss of wall openings ranges from 5 to 28 dB with a mean value of 17.2 dB. The indoor attenuation coefficient was measured to be 0.348 dB/m.

### 6.6.1.3 Interpretation of the results

The results show that a concrete wall attenuates the signal approximately 9 dB. This is in the range of values reported in [MOT02]. The measured path loss exponent of 2.12 is also very understandable and in line with the values reported in [WIN1D54].

### 6.6.2 RMS and maximum excess delay distribution

#### 2.6.2.1 Measurement results

The measurements were conducted at the University of Oulu. The Tx was located in a lifter in a height of 6 meters, which corresponds to approximately 2\textsuperscript{nd}-3\textsuperscript{rd} floor in the building. The results are analyzed over several routes in different floors of the building.

In Table 6-9, the distribution of RMS delay spread is investigated in eight cases when Rx is moving in floors 1, 2, 3 and 4. R stands for room, C for corridor and w for window.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat (ns)/Floor #</th>
<th>Floor 1</th>
<th>Floor 2</th>
<th>Floor 3</th>
<th>Floor 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (w)</td>
<td>R (large w)</td>
<td>C (w)</td>
<td>R (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% percentile</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% percentile</td>
<td>136.1</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% percentile</td>
<td>175.8</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>59.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 6-29, the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of the measured RMS delay spread and its normal distribution are shown. The normal distribution applied values 64.9 ns and 42.7 ns as mean and standard deviation, respectively. The correlation distance of RMS delay spread was found to be 9.2 meters.

![Figure 6-29: Cdf of RMS delay spread.](image)
In Table 6-10, the RMS delay spread is investigated when the number of walls between the Tx and the Rx is changed from one to four. These measurements were static, i.e., the Rx did not move.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of walls</th>
<th>1 wall</th>
<th>2 walls</th>
<th>3 walls</th>
<th>4 walls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMS delay spread (ns)</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 6-11, the maximum excess delay spread distribution is shown for eight cases when Rx is moving in floors 1, 2, 3 and 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat (ns)/Floor #</th>
<th>Floor 1</th>
<th>Floor 2</th>
<th>Floor 3</th>
<th>Floor 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (w)</td>
<td>R (large w)</td>
<td>C (w)</td>
<td>C (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>573.6</td>
<td>220.8</td>
<td>316.5</td>
<td>254.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>188.9</td>
<td>146.9</td>
<td>226.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% percentile</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% percentile</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% percentile</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 6-30 the cdf for maximum excess delay spread is shown together with normally distributed cdf model. The calculated mean maximum excess delay spread value is 364.8 ns and standard deviation is 196.5 ns. The correlation distance of the maximum excess delay spread was found to be 8.8 meters.

6.6.2.1 2.6.2.2 Literature review

In [WAE+04] radio channel measurements were performed at 5.2 GHz and a signal bandwidth of 120 MHz in outdoor-to-indoor office propagation scenario in Lund University, Sweden. In the measurements the transmitter was placed on three different locations on a roof top and the receiver was in an office
building southwards from the transmitter. The receiver was either in the northern rooms which were nearest to the transmitter, in the southern rooms or in the corridor between the northern and southern rooms as pictured in Figure 6-31 [WAE+04].

![Figure 6-31: Measurement location in reference [WAE+04].](image)

The rms delay spread was about 7-10 ns for half of the cases when the receiver was located in the northern rooms. The rms delay was 12-15 ns for 50 percent of the cases when the receiver was located in the southern rooms and for the corridor about 8 to 11 ns depending on the transmitter location on the roof top. The delay spread was observed to be in the range of 5-25 ns.

In [AHY06] the rms delay spread of the channel is observed to be approximately 40 ns for half of the channel samples. The measurements were conducted at 5.25 GHz and 100 MHz bandwidth in the campus area of the university of Oulu, Finland. The transmitter was located outside a building at a height of 12 m and receiver was moving in a laboratory room on the first floor of the building at a height of 1.3 m.

In [WOT99] wideband measurements were carried out at 2.44 and 5.74 GHz in Oxford, UK for static outdoor-to-indoor scenario using dual channel vector network analyzer. The measurement bandwidth was 80 MHz. Measurement environments were categorized into urban, suburban and rural. The RMS delay spread for multipath arrivals was measured to be 37±8, 24±20 and 19±8 ns for urban, suburban and rural environments respectively. The mean excess delay was 34±10, 22±30 and 13±8 ns for urban, suburban and rural environments respectively. When moving from urban to suburban and rural environments, the RMS and mean delay spread decreases. After grouping multipath arrivals into clusters the RMS delay spread was 21±3, 12±6 and 11±3 ns for urban, suburban and rural environments respectively.

[C02] reports measurements at 3.6-4.2 GHz band in Ministry of the Communications building, in Rome, Italy. The building is 8 floors, 25 meters high and U-shaped. The transmitter was placed on the top of the other wing of the building and the receiver was placed at seven sites inside the opposite wing. The distance between the transmitter and the receiver was 40-60 meters. Example of the obtained mean excess delay and RMS delay spread are pictured in Figure 6-32 and Figure 6-33 [C02] respectively.
2.6.2.3 Interpretation of the results

Measured delay spread values are relatively large compared to literature. However, delay spread calculations are highly dependant on the way the threshold level is chosen, i.e., the way we choose which delay taps are taken into account and which are not.

In our measurement analysis, the threshold was set in such a way that all the taps that are less than 20 dB below the level of the peak power, were accounted for. Furthermore, the dynamic range of a snapshot was required to be at least 23 dB in order to be accounted for in the analysis. Another option is to select those taps that are more than, for example, 3 dB above the noise level as effective taps. If this method had been applied the delay spread results would have been higher, approximately 1.3 times the values presented in Section 2.6.2.1.

6.6.3 Azimuth AS at BS and MS

6.6.3.1 Measurement results

Azimuth angle spread is calculated as described in [3GPPSCM] from DoA, DoD and path powers. It is known as circular angle spread. In the analysis results Tx is considered as the outdoor base station (BS) and Rx as the indoor mobile station (MS).

In Table 6-12, the azimuth angle spreads for different environments in four different floors are given. It can be seen that the azimuth spread values at the indoor MS are significantly higher than the ones in outdoor BS.
Table 6-12: RMS azimuth angle spread in different floors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat (degrees)</th>
<th>Floor 1</th>
<th>Floor 2</th>
<th>Floor 3</th>
<th>Floor 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (w)</td>
<td>R (large w)</td>
<td>C (w)</td>
<td>R (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Corresponding values for both terminals averaged over all routes are shown in Table 6-13. The cdf curves, based on the values in Table 6-13, are shown in Figure 6-34.

Table 6-13: RMS azimuth angle spread averaged over all routes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat (degrees)</th>
<th>Tx (outdoor)</th>
<th>Rx (indoor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>60.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CorrDist (m)</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6-34: Cdf for RMS azimuth spread for both terminals.
6.6.3.2 Literature

In [WAE+04] angular spread and rms angular spread were reported. The measurements were performed at 5.2 GHz and bandwidth of 120 MHz in Lund University, Sweden. The transmitter was placed on a roof top [WAE+04]. The receiver was placed in the northern rooms, in the corridor and in the southern rooms. The angular spread at the indoor link was measured to be 30-55 degrees and at the outdoor link 4-20 degrees. When comparing the rms angular spreads at the receiver end (DOA), the transmitter position does not affect the rms spread or the mean angular spread. At the transmitter end (DOD) the transmitter position causes large differences in the rms spread.

[MHA+04] reports narrowband MIMO radio channel measurements in an urban macrocellular outdoor-to-indoor propagation scenario at 1947 MHz. The location of the measurements was Kista, a part of the city of Stockholm mostly containing office buildings with 5-8 storeys. The base station was placed at a large window at the 6th floor of an office building and the mobile was placed at different locations on different floors of another office building at 300 m distance of the base station. The angular spread was observed to be small due to lack of scattering (2-12 degrees).

In [WOT99] wideband measurements were carried out at 2.44 and 5.74 GHz in Oxford, UK for static outdoor-to-indoor scenario using dual channel vector network analyzer. The measurement bandwidth was 80 MHz. Measurement environments were categorized into urban, suburban and rural. The RMS azimuth spread for multipath arrivals was measured to be 89±21, 81±15 and 67±16 degrees for urban, suburban and rural environments respectively. After grouping the multipath arrivals into clusters, the RMS azimuth spread was 7.0±1.0, 8.8±1.9 and 8.4±1.5 for urban, suburban and rural environments respectively.

6.6.3.3 Interpretation of the results

The measured RMS azimuth spread results are well in line with the existing literature results.

6.6.4 Elevation AS at BS

Azimuth angle spread is calculated as described in [3GPPSCM] from DoA, DoD and path powers. In Figure 6-35, the cdf for elevation angle spread is shown. The mean value 14.6 degrees and standard deviation is 10.5 degrees.

![Figure 6-35: Cdf for elevation angle spread at Tx](image)
6.6.5 Cross-polarisation ratio (XPR)

6.6.5.1 Measurement results

The cross-polarization ratio (XPR) vertical \( X_{v} \) is defined as the ratio of power received from vertical to vertical polarization to the power received from vertical to horizontal polarization. Respectively, \( X_{h} \) is defined as the ratio between the powers of horizontal to horizontal and horizontal to vertical polarizations. In Table 6-14, XPR values averaged over all the routes are given in dB. PeakXPR denotes the peak path’s XPR values, whereas scatXPR is the XPR values for the scattered multipaths (all except the peak path). The cdfs are plotted in Figure 6-36.

Table 6-14: Cross-polarization ratios averaged over all the routes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat (dB)</th>
<th>Terminal</th>
<th>Peak XPR(_{v})</th>
<th>Peak XPR(_{h})</th>
<th>Scat XPR(_{v})</th>
<th>Scat XPR(_{h})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
<td>5.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>8.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td>-10.73</td>
<td>-6.04</td>
<td>-11.84</td>
<td>-5.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.96</td>
<td>22.38</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>16.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6-36: Cdfs for cross-polarizations ratios (XPR).

6.6.5.2 Interpretation of the results

The results are well in line with the results in [WIN1D54]. Furthermore, the results show that polarization change from horizontal to vertical involves more power loss than a change from vertical to horizontal.

6.6.6 Power Delay Profile

6.6.6.1 Measurement results

Power delay profile (PDP) is the distribution of the powers of the multipath components versus the delay. Typical PDP in an outdoor to indoor environment is shown in Figure 6-37. The PDPs are fitted to exponential function

\[
PDP(t) = e^{-bt},
\]

where \( t \) is the multipath delay and \( b \) is the time constant.
6.6.6.2 Interpretation of the results
Typical PDP curve is very reasonable. In indoor environment, the receiver is likely to receive multiple relatively strong multipaths.

6.6.7 Proportionality factors, delay and angular

6.6.7.1 Measurement results
The delay proportionality factor is defined as the ratio between the standard deviation of the delays of the multipath components and RMS delay spread. In Table 6-15, the mean, standard deviation and the percentiles for 10%, 50% and 90% of the cdf for the delay proportionality factor in outdoor-to-indoor environment is shown. Four different floors are investigated with two different cases (corridor and office room).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat \ Floor #</th>
<th>Floor 1</th>
<th>Floor 2</th>
<th>Floor 3</th>
<th>Floor 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (w)</td>
<td>R (large w)</td>
<td>C (w)</td>
<td>R (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% percentile</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% percentile</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% percentile</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 6-38, the cdf of the measured delay proportionality factor averaged over all the routes is given. The mean value is 1.77 and the standard deviation (std) is 0.53. The red dashed line is the fitted normally distributed cdf with above mentioned mean and std values.
The angular proportionality factor is defined as the ratio between the standard deviation of the azimuth angles of the multipath components and the RMS angular spread. The measured azimuth angular proportionality factors are shown in Table 6-16 for different floors. In Figure 6-39, the cdf of the measured azimuth angular proportionality factor averaged over all the routes is given for both terminals. The mean and the standard deviation (std) values are given in Table 6-17.

Table 6-16: Angular proportionality factor in different floors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat \ Floor #</th>
<th>Floor 1</th>
<th>Floor 2</th>
<th>Floor 3</th>
<th>Floor 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (w)</td>
<td>R (large w)</td>
<td>C (w)</td>
<td>R (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx (Out) Mean</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rx (In) Mean</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6-17: Angular proportionality factor statistics for both terminals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat \ Terminal</th>
<th>Tx (outdoor)</th>
<th>Rx (indoor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Figure 6-40, the proportionality factor for elevation angle spread is shown for Tx end. The mean and std values are 1.16 and 0.4, respectively.

6.6.7.2 Interpretation of the results
The measured delay proportionality values were well inline with the values presented for similar scenarios such as A1 in [WIN1D54].

Figure 6-39: Cdf for RMS angular spread proportionality factor at Tx and Rx ends.

Figure 6-40: Proportionality factor for elevation angle spread at Tx.
6.6.8 Main DoA and DoD offset

6.6.8.1 Measurement results

Main DoA and DoD angles are defined as the difference between the LoS direction and the mean angle of the angles of the effective multipaths. In outdoor case this difference is relatively small. However in indoor terminal, the values can vary significantly as shown in Table 6-18 and Table 6-19. The cdfs of main azimuth angle offset for both terminals are presented in Figure 6-41.

Table 6-18: Main azimuth angle direction offset compared to LoS path in different floors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat (degrees) \ Floor #</th>
<th>Floor 1</th>
<th>Floor 2</th>
<th>Floor 3</th>
<th>Floor 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (w)</td>
<td>R (large w)</td>
<td>C (w)</td>
<td>R (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx (Out) Mean</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>-12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>-8.7</td>
<td>-15.6</td>
<td>-18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>-11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rx (In) Mean</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-17.5</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>137.3</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-158.4</td>
<td>-107.5</td>
<td>-33.9</td>
<td>-116.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>-66.4</td>
<td>-52.4</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>-13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>164.1</td>
<td>145.6</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>125.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6-19: Main azimuth angle direction offset compared to LoS path averaged over all routes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat (degrees) \ Terminal</th>
<th>Tx (outdoor)</th>
<th>Rx (indoor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-18.2</td>
<td>-139.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>128.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6-41: Cdf for main DoA and DoD azimuth offset.

In Figure 6-42, the pdf for the azimuth angles at Tx (outdoor) terminal is shown. The red dashed line is the normally distributed pdf fitted to the data. The corresponding figure for elevation angles is presented in Figure 6-43.

Figure 6-42: Pdf for DoDs in azimuth domain for BS (outdoor).
6.6.8.2 Interpretation of the results

The fact that outdoor transmitter (BS) has significantly lower main angular offsets than the indoor MS is very reasonable. In indoor environment, the receiver is close to several walls and equipments that are likely to produce some reflections.

6.6.9 Ricean K-factor

6.6.9.1 Measurement results

Ricean K-factor is the ratio of the dominant path power to the power of the diffused paths. On the other hand, Ricean K-factor can be used to describe the stability of the dominant path’s power as the Rx moves along the route. This method is applied here and the results are shown in dB in the tables below.

In Table 6-20, the statistics of K-factor cdf in different floors are shown. Also the indoor environment type is investigated in terms of corridor and office room. The observation interval was chosen to be 0.75 meter which corresponds to approximately 13 wavelengths.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stat (dB) \ Floor #</th>
<th>Floor 1</th>
<th>Floor 2</th>
<th>Floor 3</th>
<th>Floor 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (w)</td>
<td>R (large w)</td>
<td>C (w)</td>
<td>R (w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>9.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% percentile</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% percentile</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>9.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 6-44, the cdf for the averaged K-factor over all the analysis routes is shown together with its statistics and normally distributed cdf model. In addition, the K-factor ($K_F$) formula was calculated to be $K_F = 8.072 - 0.001 \cdot d$, where $d$ is the distance.
6.6.9.2 Literature review

In [AHY06] the outdoor-to-indoor radio channel measurements were done at 5.25 GHz and 100 MHz bandwidth in the campus area of the university of Oulu, Finland. The transmitter was located outside a building at a height of 12 m and receiver was moving in a laboratory room on the first floor of the building at a height of 1.3 m. There were windows on the measurement route, which affected the results. The measurements showed that the distribution of the signal envelope follows Rayleigh distribution. The Ricean K-factor was not extracted, instead Nakagami distribution was used. The Nakagami m-factor was measured to be 2-3 for the strongest tap and 1-2 for the weaker paths in half of the cases.

In [WMA+05] radio channel measurements were done at 5.2 GHz and 120 MHz bandwidth in Lund University, Sweden. The transmitter was placed at three different locations on a roof top of a building. The receiver was placed in an office building 17 meters southwards from the transmitter either in rooms or in a corridor. The Ricean K factor and the LOS power factor measured in different locations are presented in following table [WMA+05].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Rx elements</th>
<th>K_LOS</th>
<th>K_Rice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tx1 Rx2334ME</td>
<td>8-11</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx1 Rx2334MM</td>
<td>8-11</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx2 Rx2336NM</td>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx2 Rx2336MW</td>
<td>8-11</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx2 Rx2337ME</td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[NAP05] does not report values for Ricean K factor, but the magnitude distribution follows the Rayleigh distribution. The measurements were performed at 2140 MHz with chip rate 7.665 MHz. The receiver was placed in an office building and the transmitter outside the building at two different locations at height of 5.7 m for outdoor-to-indoor scenario.
In [CKC03] the transmitter was placed outside a building where the receiver was moving in the corridors on the first and second floors and the measurements were conducted at 2 GHz with the maximum RF output being +13 dBm. The maximum distance between the transmitter and the receiver was 15 meters. The Ricean K-factor was observed to be 21.3 and 18.7 for the first and second floors respectively.

Reference [SMJ+99] presents measurements at 2.44 GHz and 80 MHz span with 3 different transmitter sites and the receiver located in a room. The transmit power was 20 dBm and the measurements were conducted with dual channel VNA-based wideband channel measurement system. The Ricean K-factor was observed to have a very large variability on both channels.

### 6.6.9.3 Interpretation of the results

The measured K-factor values are well in range with the values encountered in literature review. However, in [AHY06] and in [WMA+05] the observed values are smaller. In our measurements, a transmit power of 26 dBm was applied, the height of the Tx was 6 meters and the distance between Tx and Rx was relatively short. In this light, the increase of the Ricean K-factor values compared to [AHY06] and in [WMA+05] is understandable.

### 6.6.10 Cross-Correlations

#### 6.6.10.1 Measurement results

In Table 6-22, the cross-correlation coefficients for different parameters are given. Logarithmic RMS (LogRMS) delay spread versus shadow fading is shown in Figure 6-45.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Cross-correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AoD vs. SF</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AoA vs. SF</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AoD vs. RMS DS</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AoA vs. RMS DS</td>
<td>-0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AoA vs. AoD</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF vs. logDS</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 6-45: Correlation between logarithmic RMS delay spread and shadow fading.*
6.6.10.2 Interpretation of the results
RMS delay spread is shown to increase as the shadow fading (SF) increases. Indoor MS correlations with delay spread (DS) or SF are low whereas outdoor (BS) correlations with DS and SF are higher. This can easily be explained with different environments.

6.6.11 Distributions
In Table 6-23, the distributions for the following parameters are given: maximum excess delay spread (delays), RMS delay spread (DS), angle of departure (AoD outdoor), angle of arrival (AoA indoor), azimuth spread at Tx (Tx AS), azimuth spread at Rx (Rx AS), shadow fading (SF) and K-factor (K).
The tested distributions were normal (N), log-normal (LN), 10*log-normal (10LN), exponential (Exp) and uniform (U) distribution. Probability density functions to verify these distributions are given in Figure 6-46. Some of these figures are shown already before but they are shown again here to make all the distributions easily available.

Table 6-23: Distributions among parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Delays</th>
<th>DS</th>
<th>AoD (outdoor)</th>
<th>AoA (indoor)</th>
<th>Tx (outdoor) AS</th>
<th>Rx (indoor) AS</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>LN</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U(-180,180)</td>
<td>LN</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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6.6.12 Outdoor-to-indoor results by CU/CRC

CRC conducted outdoor-to-indoor measurements in a 100 MHz bandwidth centred at 4.95 GHz. The transmitter was housed in a large van, parked in front of a 4-story brick building, on the broad (windowed) side of the building.

6.6.12.1 Propagation Loss

Excess transmission losses were estimated in a similar manner to work reported in [deJKH02] by evaluating the sum of the squares of the values in Average Power Delay Profiles (APDPs) for each measurement run, comparison against a calibration reference, and appropriately accounting for free space loss and measurement system gains and losses. Excess loss varied from floor-to-floor and depending on whether the Rx was on the illuminated or back side of the building or in the hallway. In summary, such losses varied from 20-50 dB in the basement, 10-30 dB on the ground floor, 7-27 dB on the second floor, and from 7-32 dB on the third (top) floor.

6.6.12.2 Rms Delay Spreads

Values for rms delay spread also varied with measurement location, but their minimum and maximum values were about the same on all floors, being 9 ns and 30 ns, respectively. The median values were about 16 ns, except on the second floor, where the median was 21 ns. The reason for the higher values on the second floor is not clear at the time of writing. Figure 6-47, shows an experimentally determined cumulative probability distribution function (ECDF) for rms delay spreads from all measurement locations in the building. For values estimated using a 20 dB threshold, the figure shows a median of 19 ns, with maximum and minimum values of 8 and 29 ns, respectively.
6.6.12.3 Narrowband envelope fading

Equivalent CW envelope fading distributions were estimated, as outlined in [RJB89], from the variations in single spectral lines in measured channel transfer function time series from all measurement locations in the building. Figure below is an ECDF of the results.

The text in the figure explains that Rayleigh fading was exhibited in only 16 of the 72 measurement locations. Fading in the other locations exhibited Rician Characteristics, with K ratios that ranged from –1 dB to +11 dB. In the Rayleigh cases, coherence bandwidths would be approximately equal to the reciprocal of rms delay spreads. The minimum would therefore be about 1/(30 ns) or 33 MHz. Such bandwidths are already extremely large, but would be greater on the Rician channels [RJB02], where the reciprocal rms delay spread-coherence bandwidth relationship does not apply.
6.6.13 Combined results

Results reported by UOULU and CRC are different for several reasons. The main reason is the differences in building characteristics. Due to the fact that UOULU is located in Europe and CRC in North-America, the building materials and dimensions deviate from each other significantly. This is bound to result in different analysis conclusions. Other minor reasons for deviations could include the sounder characteristics and applied settings, the antenna characteristics, the methods used to move the receive antenna during the measurements, as well as the distances over which it was moved corresponding to individual results. Although attempts were made to make analysis procedures the same, there were peculiarities of the recorded data that made some data preprocessing differences necessary.

Since the UOULU data set is more complete, with corresponding AoA information, and the analysis procedures section of this report is more closely aligned with analyses conducted at UOULU, the UOULU results were chosen for reporting in the generic channel model table, Table 4-5. The CRC results are left, however, for examination in this section, as comparison of these with the UOULU results is a good example of how results reported in the literature can vary, and, as discussed in the opening sentence of this paragraph, why such variations can occur.

6.7 B5 – Stationary Feeder

There are five sub-scenarios for the Stationary Feeder, B5a – B5d and B5f. In this document only sub-scenarios B5a, B5c and B5f are discussed, because those are the ones requested by the other Tasks of WINNER2. The interested reader may consult [WIN1D54] about B5b and B5d.

6.7.1 B5a– Fixed Stationary Feeder: Roof-top to Roof-top (LOS)

The scenario definition for B5a LOS Fixed Relay, rooftop to rooftop, is given in [D5.4]. The channel model is taken as such from the [WIN1D54], because the frequency dependence was included in the model. On the other hand the connection is almost like in free space, so that the path-loss does not depend noticeably on the antenna heights. The equation is given in slightly different form to comply with the other equations. The path-loss is

\[
PL(d, f) = 23.5 \log_{10}(d) + 42.5 + 20 \log_{10}(f \text{ [GHz]}/5.0), \quad \sigma = 3.4 \text{ dB} \quad (6.21)
\]

where 
- \(d\) = distance
- \(f\) = center frequency
- \(\sigma\) = standard deviation of the shadow fading.

The path-loss curves for frequencies 2 to 5 GHz can be seen in the Figure 6-49.
6.7.2 B5c – Fixed Stationary Feeder: Below Roof-top to Street Level

The sub-scenario B5c has been described in [WIN2IR11]. The connection is from a height somewhat below roof-top level to a Fixed Relay Station (FRS) with height 3 – 5 m. Here we assume that the BS antenna height is 15 m and Relay height is 3 to 5 m and there is a LOS connection between the BS and FRS. The BS to FRS connection can be modelled with the B1 channel model, taking into account that the FRS is stable. Path-loss model is then

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PL}(d_1, f) &= 22.7 \log_{10}(d_1 [\text{m}]) + 41.0 + 20 \log_{10}(f [\text{GHz}]/5)), \quad 30 \text{m} \leq d_1 \leq d'_{\text{BP}} \quad (6.22) \\
\sigma &= 3.0 \text{ dB}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PL}(d_1, f) &= 40.0 \log_{10}(d_1 [\text{m}]) + 9.45 - 17.3\log_{10}(h'_{\text{BS}} [\text{m}]) - 17.3\log_{10}(h'_{\text{MS}} [\text{m}]) + 2.7\log_{10}(f [\text{GHz}]/5.0), \\
\sigma &= 6.0 \text{ dB} \quad (6.23)
\end{align*}
\]

The break-point is calculated with

\[
d'_{\text{BP}} = 4 \cdot h'_{\text{BS}} \cdot h'_{\text{RS}} \cdot f/c
\]

where
- \( h'_{\text{BS}} \) = effective antenna height of the base station (real height – 1.5 m assumed).
- \( h'_{\text{RS}} \) = effective antenna height of the relay station, (real height – 1.5 m assumed).
- \( f \) = centre-frequency (Hz)
- \( c \) = velocity of light in vacuum

The height gain factor in (13b) has to be restricted to values giving loss greater than equation (13a). In the formula it has been assumed that the effective antenna height is the real height minus 1.5m, the assumed mean vehicle height on the street.

The FRS to MS connection can also be modelled with the B1 channel model. Now the FRS antenna height is limited to 3 to 5 m. In other respects the situation is identical to the ordinary B1 case.
The path-loss of the B5c LOS model is smaller than free space loss, when the distance is less than 100 m. This situation must be prevented by restricting the path-loss always to be higher than or as high as the free-space loss.

6.7.3 B5f – Fixed Stationary Feeder: Roof-top to Roof-top (NLOS)

The scenario definition for B5f NLOS Fixed Relay, rooftop to rooftop, has been given first in [WIN2IR6.13.3]. Below we specify the scenario in more detail. We must also point out that the model specified below has to be refined later. At the moment the model is based totally on existing literature.

6.7.3.1 Scenario definition

The scenario for the NLOS Fixed Relay is shown in the Figure 6-51. The Relay Station is shadowed due to some obstacle. The proposed model is formed from the B5a LOS Fixed Relay model by attenuating artificially its direct component by 15 dB in average and summing to it a normally distributed random decibel number with standard deviation 8 dB.

Figure 6-50. B5c LOS path-loss at 2 to 5 GHz compared to the 2 GHz free-space path-loss.

Figure 6-51. NLOS Fixed Relay.
6.7.3.2 Path-loss formula

Path-loss for the B5f scenario is given below

\[ PL(d, f) = 23.5 \log_{10}(d) + 57.5 + 20 \log_{10}(f \text{[GHz]/5.0}), \quad \sigma = 8 \text{ dB} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.24)

where the notation is the same as used in the previous equations.

The formula is based on the references [ZEA99] and [GEA03]. We emphasize that the model is just indicative. It is assumed to work in the distance range 0.2 to 1 km with the default antenna heights.

6.7.3.3 B5f model parameters

The other model parameters than path-loss are the same as in B5a, except that the strength of the first tap is decreased 15 dB and the tap powers normalized after that.

---

Figure 6-52. B5f Fixed stationary feeder (rooftop to rooftop, NLOS) subscenario path-loss curves for the frequencies between 2 and 5 GHz.

6.8 C1 – Suburban macro-cell

Measurements for the suburban C1 LOS scenario were conducted at the centre-frequency 5.25 GHz. Measurements were performed in Heinäpää relatively near to Oulu centre in an area, where the houses are lower than in the centre of the town, with some parking lots, parks and trees along the streets in between the houses. The height of the houses varied typically from 3 to 6 stories. In this document only path-loss for the C1 LOS is considered. All other channel parameters are documented in [WIN1D54].

Measurements for C1 NLOS scenario were made at 5.3 GHz in Helsinki suburban residential areas. The parameters proposed for C2 WINNER II model are not solely from those measurements, but also results from literature have been used for model parameter design.
6.8.1 Path loss and shadow fading

6.8.1.1 LOS case

In [WIN1D54] the LOS path-loss was given at 5.25 GHz as

\[
\begin{align*}
PL(d) &= 41.6 + 23.8 \log_{10}(d) \quad 20 \text{ m} < d \leq d_{BP} \\
&= 41.6 + 40 \log_{10}(d/d_{BP}) + 23.8 \log_{10}(d_{BP}) \\
\sigma &= 4.0 \text{ dB}, \quad 20 \text{ m} < d \leq d_{BP} ; \quad \sigma = 6.0 \text{ dB}, \quad d > d_{BP}
\end{align*}
\]

(6.25)

(6.26)

Extended to 2 – 6 GHz the path-loss formula can be presented as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
PL(d,f) &= 41.2 + 23.8 \log_{10}(d) + 20 \log_{10}(f/\text{GHz}/5), \quad 20 \text{ m} < d \leq d_{BP} \\
&= 40.0 \log_{10}(d_{1} \text{ [m]}) + 11.65 - 16.2 \log_{10}(h_{BS} \text{ [m]}) - 16.2 \log_{10}(h_{MS} \text{ [m]}) + 3.8 \log_{10}(f/\text{GHz}/5.0), \quad d > d_{BP} \\
\sigma &= 4.0 \text{ dB}, \quad 20 \text{ m} < d \leq d_{BP} ; \quad \sigma = 6.0 \text{ dB}, \quad d > d_{BP}
\end{align*}
\]

(6.27)

(6.28)

where \(d\) = distance
\[d_{BP} = 4 \cdot h_{BS} \cdot h_{MS} \cdot f / c\]
\(h_{BS}\) = the height of the base station
\(h_{MS}\) = the height of the mobile station
\(f\) = the centre-frequency (Hz)
\(c\) = the velocity of light in vacuum
\(\sigma\) = standard deviation.

The height gain factor in (15b) has to be restricted to values giving loss greater than equation (15a). In the formula it has been assumed that the effective antenna height is the real height, because in suburban areas it is assumed that the vehicle density is relatively small.

6.8.1.2 NLOS case

Propagation studies at 5 GHz frequency band in indoor domestic, office and commercial environments have been frequently reported, but wideband outdoor studies at 5 GHz are not as numerous. In [ZKV+02] results for urban, suburban and rural environments have been reported. In this case the maximum mobile (MS) to base station (BS) distances were limited up to 300 meters, which for outdoor cellular channel modeling is not fully representative. Path loss models around 5 GHz in residential areas and with BS heights less than 10 meters are reported in [SG00] and [DRX98]. More studies around 2 GHz frequency have been made. In [EGT+99] results for extensive macrocellular suburban measurements in US have been reported with BS antenna heights 12…79 meters. Maximum MS-BS distances up to 8 kms were measured in variety of environments containing both hilly and flat terrains as well as light and moderate-to-heavy tree densities. Shadow fading standard deviation was found to be in range 5-16 dB, and path loss exponent was always found to be greater than two. Path loss exponent was found to have a strong dependency on the BS antenna height and the terrain type: the higher the BS antenna height the smaller the path loss exponent.

In [MRA93] and [MEJ91] radio propagation differences between 900 and 1800 MHz carrier frequencies have been compared in different environments. In both the studies it was found that path loss values at 900 and 1800 MHz were highly correlated, and there was no significant difference in distance dependent behaviour. Theoretical free space path loss difference between 900 and 1800 MHz is 6 dB, and in flat open areas a value very close to it, 5.7 dB, was obtained [MRA93]. In suburban areas, however, this difference was increased to 9.3 dB [MRA93], which was explained to be due to higher vegetation in suburban environments, which attenuate 1800 MHz signals more than 900 MHz signals. In [MRA93] PL differences between 900 and 1800 MHz frequencies were found to be 9…11 dB, i.e. higher than theoretical free space loss. In [MEJ91] shadow fading standard deviation was found to be approximately 1 dB higher at 1800 MHz, which agrees with results from Okumura [OOK+68].
Ref. [BBK+02] presents wideband channel measurements at 3.7 GHz and 20 MHz bandwidth in moderate density macrocellular suburban setting outside Illinois. Reported path loss exponents are between 2.9 and 3.4, and shadow fading standard deviations vary between 5-10 dB. Maximum measured MS-BS distances were ~ 6 kms.

Effect of vegetation at 3.7 GHz has been studied in [BBK04], and it was shown that tree foliage creates an excess path loss of between 3 and 7 dBs.

In Figure 6-53 suburban macrocellular path loss model and shadow fading distribution obtained from Helsinki 5.3 GHz measurements is shown. Two different BS sites, one of them with two sectors, were chosen, so altogether data from three different BS sectors were collected for data analysis during different measurement runs. More detailed descriptions on measurements can be found in [RKJ05]. The path loss model for suburban macrocellular environment obtained from WINNER measurements reads as

\[
PL = 27.7 + 40.2 \log_{10}(d/m) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.29)

The path loss exponent \( n = 4 \) was obtained. Similar PL exponent values for flat macrocellular suburban environment with moderate of high tree density have reported in [EGT+99] around 2 GHz carrier frequency, and PL exponent values around 2.0-3.3 for LOS and 3.5-5.9 for NLOS can be found in [SG00], and [DRX98]. However, in some of these cases the BS height, which is known to have effect on the PL behaviour, is lower than in our measurements. In our WINNER measurement we found the shadow fading component is log-normally distributed with standard deviation of 6.1 dB.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig6-53.png}
\caption{(a) Path loss model and (b) shadow fading distribution obtained from Helsinki 5.3 GHz suburban measurements.}
\end{figure}

COST231-Hata path loss model [COST231] for suburban macrocells is written as

\[
PL = \left[44.9 - 6.55 \log_{10}(h_{BS})\right] \log_{10}(d / 1000) + 45.5 + (35.46 - 1.1h_{MS}) \log_{10}(f_c) - 13.82 \log_{10}(h_{BS}) + 0.7h_{MS} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.30)

In above all the distances and heights are given in meters, and carrier frequency \( f_c \) is given in MHz. With \( h_{BS} = 20 \) m, \( h_{MS} = 2 \) m (same as values used in Nokia suburban measurements), and \( f_c = 2000 \) MHz the model becomes

\[
PL = 29.6 + 36.4 \log_{10}(d) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.31)

Path loss difference due to theoretical free space propagation is \(20 \times \log_{10}(5.3/2) = 8.5 \) dB. If this is taken into account with Eq. (6.31), the PL equation (6.29) from Helsinki measurements shows a reasonable good match with COST231-Hata approach. If we assume the MS height fixed, \( h_{MS} = 1.5 \)m, we therefore propose the following frequency scaled COST231-Hata model for NLOS suburban macrocells

\[
PL = \left[44.9 - 6.55 \log_{10}(h_{BS})\right] \log_{10}(d) + 23.46 + 5.83 \log_{10}(h_{BS}) + 20 \log_{10}(f/GHz) / 2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.32)

with \( 50 \text{m} < d < 5 \text{km} \)  \( h_{BS} > 15 \text{m} \)  \( f_c = 2...6 \text{GHz} \)

6.8.2 DS and maximum excess delay distribution

Delay spreads around 2 GHz carrier frequency and 5 MHz bandwidth have been reported in [APM02]. For suburban environment with BS height of 12 meters and no direct LOS between MS and BS reported delay spread values typically vary between 200…800 ns, the median being around 350 ns. Log-normal
distribution was found to give a good fit to the measured delay spread distribution. In typical urban environments delay spreads were found to decrease with increasing BS antenna height.

In [WHL+93] rms delay spread distributions were compared in different environments at 900 MHz and 1900 MHz carrier frequencies. With both frequencies the used chip rate was 10 MHz, and data was recorded simultaneously with both the frequencies. It was seen that propagation behaviour in terms of rms delay spread was very similar with both the carrier frequencies in semirural, suburban and urban cells.

Delay spread characteristics for 3.7 GHz carrier frequency with 20 MHz bandwidth are given [KKM02]. Measurements were made in suburban areas outside Chicago, where also some distant high-rise buildings were in the environment. BS height was 49 meters, and MS was installed at 2.7 meters above the ground. 15 dB dynamics criterion from the max peak power was used in calculating delay spreads. Median delay spread values for LOS and NLOS propagation conditions were 240 and 360 ns, respectively. The combined delay spread was found to be 300 ns. As for number of rays, defined as local maxima of (instantaneous) power delay profiles, 90 percentile value of the cdf for LOS, NLOS and combined data were 3, 8 and 7 rays, respectively.

In our WINNER measurements typical delay spreads were of the order of 13…125 ns, which are considerably smaller values than reported by [APM02]. One reasons for the difference is the higher BS antenna position. Rms delay spreads have often been reported to show log-normal distribution, as summarized for example in [GEY97]. Delay spread also depends on used bandwidth due to finite system impulse size. This is discussed in more detail in section 6.9.2.

![Figure 6-54: (a) Rms delay spread, and (b) maximum excess delay cdfs for Helsinki suburban macrocellular measurements at 5.3 GHz.](image)

6.8.3 Azimuth AS at BS and MS

Azimuth spreads at BS have been given in [Paj03] for rural and suburban environments at 2 GHz carrier and 10 MHz bandwidth. The mean angular spread of 2 degrees was found, with standard deviation of 2 degrees. In urban areas they have been reported to be 14 degrees and 5 degrees, respectively. The difference between the geometrical direction of the mobile and the direction of maximum received power was modelled as Gaussian. The standard deviation is about 16 degrees near the base station and decreased to 8 degrees far away in urban environment. In rural and suburban is much smaller, 2.7 degrees when distance is below 2 kms and above this decreases to 1.7 degrees. Mobile azimuth spreads were reported as 35 degrees in suburban, and 20 degrees in rural environment.

6.9 C2 – Urban macro-cell

Measurements for C2 scenario were made at 5.3 GHz in Helsinki city center. The parameters proposed for C2 WINNER II model are not solely from those measurements, but also results from literature have been used for model parameter design.

6.9.1 Path-loss and shadow fading

The few reported outdoor PL measurements around 5 GHz frequency range show that typical PL exponents in urban LOS areas are close to free space propagation exponent 2, and for NLOS the reported
values vary between 3.5 and 5.8 [YMI+04][ZKV+02][Pap05]. In these cases the maximum MS-BS distances have been < 1000 meters.

Path losses and delay spreads between 430 and 5750 MHz frequencies have been compared in [Pap05]. Data was collected simultaneously at the same measurement points in multiple environments, and the chip rate at each carrier frequency was 100 MHz. Measurements were made in urban environment in Denver, which covered a combination of urban high-rise, urban low-rise and line-of-sight propagation paths. BS was installed on top of a five floor building at 17 meters, and maximum measured distances in the case were up to 5 kilometers. It was observed that in line-of-sight conditions close to the BS (100…300 meters) the path loss exponent was close to 2. In regions where radio paths become obstructed the path loss exponents were increasing, and they also showed frequency dependency: path loss exponent increased from 4.3 to 5.4 between 430 and 5750 MHz. The shadow fading was normally distributed, and ranged between 3 and 6 dB.

In [MRA93] and [MEJ91] radio propagation differences between 900 and 1800 MHz carrier frequencies have been compared in different environments. In both the studies it was found that path loss values at 900 and 1800 MHz were highly correlated, and there was no significant difference in distance dependent behaviour.

Path loss and delay spread results from Japanese urban metropolitan environment at 3 GHz, 8 GHz and 15 GHz frequencies are reported in [OTT+01]. The average building heights in were 20…30 meters, and BS height both clearly above (macrocell) and at rooftop level (microcell) were measured. In the measurements power delay profiles were recorded simultaneously for each of the three frequencies. Shadow fading standard deviations did not show considerable differences between frequencies, but values in the range 5…10 dB were obtained. Path loss frequency dependency was found to follow free space characteristics, i.e. $20 \log_{10}(f)$. Path loss exponents were not reported.

WINNER deliverable D5.4 from phase I gives path loss models for different scenarios at 5 GHz carrier frequency for selected (fixed) base station heights. Model extensions are needed to upgrade the models for wider range of bandwidths 2-6 GHz and different BS heights. Since limited set of measurements are available in WINNER for model extensions, results found in literature has been used as a basis for the work.

### 6.9.1.1 Extension to different BS heights and frequency range

There are studies and channel models which address the changes in path loss due to BS height variations [KI04][COST231][EGT+99]. COST231-Hata model [COST231] for urban (metropolitan) macrocells reads as

$$PL = \left[44.9 - 6.55 \log_{10}(h_{BS})\right] \log_{10}(d/1000) + 48.5 + (35.46 - 1.1 h_{MS}) \log_{10}(f_c) - 13.82 \log_{10}(h_{BS}) + 0.7 h_{MS}$$

(6.33)

Increasing the BS height has therefore a twofold effect: the propagation exponent decreases, and there the constant intercept changes due the BS height variation.

Similar dependencies are found in [KI04][KI04], which gives a PL formula for distances 100-1000 m, frequencies 0.4-8 GHz and BS antenna heights 30-120 m in urban areas in Japan (“Kitao”):

$$PL = \left[80.2 - 21.5 \log_{10}(h_{BS})\right] \log_{10}(d) - 27.9 \log_{10}(h_{BS}) + 20.4 \log_{10}(f) + 50.2$$

(6.34)

In [EGT+99] the path loss model for suburban macrocells is expressed as (“Ergec”)

$$PL = A + 10 \gamma \log_{10}(d/d_0) + s$$

(6.35)

A is a constant intercept value by a free space propagation at 100 m reference distance, and $s$ is shadow fading component. The propagation exponent $\gamma$ is a Gaussian random variable, which is written as

$$\gamma = (a - bh_{BS} + c / h_{BS}) + x \sigma_\gamma, \quad 10m \geq h_{BS} \geq 80m$$

(6.36)

In above the term in parenthesis is the mean of $\gamma$, $x$ is a zero-mean Gaussian variable and $\sigma_\gamma$ is the std of $\gamma$. Constants $a,b,c$ and $\sigma_\gamma$ are derived from data. For flat environment with light tree density the parameters for mean propagation exponent are $a=3.6$, $b=0.0050$ and $c = 20.0$.

Figure 6-55 shows the propagation exponent as a function of BS height from different models and publications [KI04][COST231][EGT+99]. Circles refer to unpublished 5.3 GHz measurements made by Nokia in Helsinki. In those measurements path loss was measured from one BS site in Helsinki city center with different BS heights (below, at and above rooftops, i.e. 18 m, 28 m and 38 m, respectively).

---

1 If PL model is written in form $PL = A + 10n*\log_{10}(d)$, $A$ is the intercept and $n$ propagation exponent.
Results by Kitao are from Tokyo, which probably is a considerably different environment from others (at least building heights). The other two lines and the Nokia measurements match well with each other.

For WINNER macrocellular models we can assume that typical building heights in middle-size cities are ~30 meters, and the BS height scaling is then valid for BS heights above 30 m. For propagation exponent variation due to BS height the following scaling is proposed (directly from COST231-Hata model):

\[ B = 44.9 - 6.55 \log_{10}(h_{BS}) \]  

Both COST231-Hata and Kitao models propose also a constant shift in intercept due to BS height variations. With \( h_{MS} = 1.5 \text{m} \) and \( f_c = 2000 \text{MHz} \) the COST231-Hata model can be rewritten as

\[ PL = [44.9 - 6.55 \log_{10}(h_{BS})] \log_{10}(d) + 26.46 + 5.83 \log_{10}(h_{BS}) \]  

It is seen that the effect on intercept (last term in equation above) is fairly small, for example with BS height differences of 35 and 70 m it is only 1.7 dB.

According to results in [KI04][OTT+01][SMI+02] we adopt frequency scaling as \( 20 \log_{10}(f) \) in range 0.5-15 GHz.

6.9.1.2 Path loss model for WINNER II

As a summary, path loss model for urban NLOS macrocells (C2) reads as

\[ PL = [44.9 - 6.55 \log_{10}(h_{BS})] \log_{10}(d) + 26.46 + 5.83 \log_{10}(h_{BS}) + 20 \log_{10}(f[GHz]/2) \]  

with \( 50m < d < 5km \)  \( h_{BS} > 30m \)  \( f_c = 2...6GHz \)

6.9.2 DS and maximum excess delay distribution

Papers [APM02] and [PMF00] reports measurements made at 1.8 GHz carrier frequency and 4 MHz chip rate in Aarhus and Stockholm. Aarhus is a typical urban environment characterized by buildings from four to six floors and in irregular street grid. In Aarhus the measurements were done at two different BS heights, 20 and 32 m, the lower height corresponding to the average rooftop level of the surrounding buildings. In Stockholm buildings also had from four to six floors, and the BS antennas was mounted at 21 meters, which also corresponds to rooftop level. In Stockholm two sectors were measured: one classified as typical and the other as bad urban. The bad urban scenario corresponds to an area which is a mixture of densely built-up zone and an open flat area due to a river. Median reported rms delay spread values were 400 and 800 ns for Aarhus high and low antenna positions, and 1.3 \( \mu \)s for Stockholm. The fairly high value for Stockholm probably also includes data from the bad urban sector over the river.

Paper [RWH02] reports measurements made in Helsinki at 2.1 GHz and 5 MHz chip rate. The environment is a typical urban macrocell with flat terrain and typical building heights between 4-6 floors. Base station is located on top of a 30 m high building at ~32 meters. This is slightly above the average surrounding rooftop height. The median reported rms delay spread values were ~500 ns.
In [Pap05] measurements were made simultaneously on four different carrier frequencies between 430 and 5750 MHz. A chip rate of 10 MHz was used. Measurements were conducted in downtown area of Denver. BS was installed on top of a five floor building at 17 m height. The site had a good view both of the urban high-rise portion of downtown Denver and mixed urban area. It was observed that median rms delay spread (with 20 dB threshold) was clearly smaller with highest measured frequency 5.7 GHz than with the lowest 430 MHz, the values being ~300 ns and ~700 ns, respectively. At 5.7GHz even lower median values, of the order of ~100 ns, were obtained in a large open boulevard with LOS areas. Values for maximum excess delays were reported as 7.7 and 6.7 µs for 430 MHz and 5.7 GHz respectively.

In [OTT+01] measurements were carried out simultaneously in 3 GHz, 8 GHz and 15 GHz bands in Tokyo. The chip rate was 50 MHz, and three different BS sites with height 20-30 meters were measured. Power delay profile shapes and rms delay spread values were found to be very similar between all the bands. The median value for rms delay spreads was ~100 ns. Median values for maximum excess delays were ~300-400 ns.

Paper [PLB04] reports measurements at 3.7 GHz carrier and 20 MHz bandwidth in dense, urban downtown Chicago. Two BS heights were considered, 42 m and 135 m. The median rms delay spread values were ~300 ns and ~700 ns for the low and the high BS antenna position, respectively. Median maximum excess delay values (with 15 dB dynamic threshold) were ~800 ns and 2.3 µs, respectively.

Paper [SRJ+91] reports multipath delay statistics in four German cities (Frankfurt, Hamburg, Stuttgart, Dusseldorf) at 900 MHz frequency and 4 MHz bandwidth (500 ns baseband probing pulse). The measurements were intended to emphasize the radio channel worst case characteristics. Typically rms delay spreads (with 10 dB dynamic threshold) were limited to 2-3 µs, but much larger delay spreads, even 10-20 µs were observed in suburban locations where antennas have a clear view of large buildings and mountains simultaneously. One conclusion of this study was that in general measured German cities are not as time dispersive as the cities measured in the U.S. west coast [RSS90], where also worst case characteristics were emphasized in the measurements. In the U.S west coast the cities have substantially hilly and mountainous terrain within close range of receiver sites.

In [SJD94] measurements were made at 910 MHz carrier and 10 MHz chip rate in two macrocellular sites in downtown Toronto. The base station antennas were placed on buildings with 18-28 floors (~50-70 m ?). Maximum excess delay were typically below 5 µs, but occasionally also much later echoes, > 20 µs were observed due to high-rise buildings. Median rms delay spread values were found to be ~700 ns, and the measured values were below ~3 µs.

In [WHL+93] measurements were taken simultaneously both in the 900 and 1800 MHz bands in macrocellular environment in Philadelphia. The used chip rate was 10 MHz. For an urban high-rise macrocellular environment the BS was installed at 167 meters from ground level, and the area consists of closely spaces buildings with heights typically exceeding 40 stories. The transmitter for an urban cell was mounted 30 m above ground. The cell is characterized by 3-4 story buildings. For the delay spread analysis a dynamic threshold of 20 dB was used. For the urban case there were no marked differences between the two frequency bands, and the median rms delay spread values were ~150 ns. For urban high-rise cells the median rms delay spread values were ~600-700 ns, and the difference between the frequencies was small but yet distinguishable (higher frequency showed slightly higher median rms delay spread).

Summary of numbers reported above is presented in Figure 6-56.(a) There are great variations in reported results, especially at lower frequencies. The reasons behind the differences can be manifold, such as data selection and processing (especially threshold for delay spread calculation), used antennas, BS antenna height and location, environment (flat vs. mountainous terrain, open vs. regular street grid, non-uniformity of building heights, ...). One factor affecting also the rms delay spread distributions is the bandwidth used in measurements. Especially for lowest measured values this can have a significant effect. For example, we consider a single received tap without any multipath echoes (e.g. in LOS conditions). For a measurement system with 10 ns delay resolution (100 MHz chip rate) the resulting rms delay spread is only a few nanoseconds. With 500 ns delay resolution (2 MHz chip rate) it is already hundreds of nanoseconds! The effect of bandwidth on impulse response shape is illustrated in Figure 6-56.(b).

The bandwidths used at lower carrier frequencies in Figure 6-56 tend to be smaller than at higher frequencies. This might also be a reason for somewhat higher rms delay spread values at lower frequencies. Up to date the number of published macrocellular results at frequency ranges > 3 GHz are scarce, and further measurements in that range in environment would be valuable.

Results for rms delay spreads and maximum excess delays measured in Helsinki at 5.3 GHz frequency and 100 MHz chip rate are shown in Figure 6-57. For the WINNER models we propose typical rms delay spreads of the order of ~200-250 ns for C2 urban macrocellular scenario.
Figure 6-56: (a) Typical rms delay spreads at different carrier frequencies for urban macrocellular environment found in literature. (b) Effect of chip rate (bandwidth) on impulse response shape.

Figure 6-57: (a) Cdf for rms delay spreads and (b) maximum excess delays measured in Helsinki at 5.3 GHz carrier frequency and 100 MHz chip rate.

6.9.3 Azimuth AS at BS and MS

Papers [APM02] and [PMF00] reports measurements made at 1.8 GHz carrier frequency and 4 MHz chiprate in Aarhus and Stockholm. Base station locations were 20 and 32 m for Aarhus, and 21 m for Stockholm. Median rms azimuth spreads at BS were 5 and 10 degrees for Aarhus high and low antenna positions, and 12 degrees for Stockholm, respectively. For the bad urban sector facing over the river in Stockholm it was observed that the power delay spectrum and power azimuth spectrum are composed of two clusters. This was not observed in typical urban data. The later cluster was delayed by several microseconds compared to the first one.

In [PLN+99] directional wideband channel measurements at 2.1 GHz carrier and 50 MHz bandwidth in urban and suburban areas have been performed. It was found that in urban areas a BS antenna installed at lamppost level lead to more severe azimuth spread than a BS at rooftop level. Correlation between angular spread and delay spread was low. In urban city environment the macrocellular BS position was at 25 meters, which is slightly above surrounding rooftop levels. BS-MS distances ranges from 20 to 360 meters. In suburban environment with low residential wooden houses the BS height was 7 meters, which was around the rooftop level of most the surrounding buildings. In this scenario BS-MS distances were 50...510 meters. Typical azimuth spread values (50 precentile value in cdf) in urban macrocellular environment were 7.6...11.8 degrees, with mean value of 9.9 degrees. For the same environment typical delay delay spreads were 20...92 ns, with mean value of 56 ns. In suburban measurements azimuth
spread values 12.9...18.4 degrees with mean value of 15 degrees were obtained. Corresponding delay spread values were 45...233 ns, with mean 119 ns.

In [KRB00] angular power distributions at the MS were measured in urban macrocellular environment in Paris at 890 MHz. It was found that street canyons force the long-delayed waves to come from street directions, but street crossings can cause additional signal components. For smaller delays local scatterers contribute to power spectra. Propagation over the roofs was significant: typically 65% of energy was incident with elevation angles larger than 10 degrees.

In [KSL+02] elevation angle distributions at the mobile station in different radio propagation environments have been reported at 2.15 GHz carrier frequency. Results show that in non-line-of-sight situations, the power distribution in elevation has a shape of a double-sided exponential function, with different slopes in the negative and positive sides of the peak. The slopes and the peak elevation angle depend in the environment and BS antenna height. In urban macrocells mean elevation angles of arrival are ~7...14 degrees, with standard deviations of 12...18 degrees.

Paper [VKV04] reports 5 GHz directional measurement results in Helsinki city center. For MS mean angular spread values of 52° were obtained.

Based on values presented above we choose for WINNER II C2 scenario typical azimuth spread of 10 degrees for BS, and 52 degrees for MS end of the link.

6.10 C3 – Bad urban macro-cell

In bad urban propagation environment highly dispersive radio channels in delay and angular domain are expected. These are results of inhomogeneities in propagation environment due to e.g. mountain areas surrounding the city, large water areas separating built-up areas, or high-rise buildings well above the typical building height.

In [RJK07] macrocellular measurements were taken in Helsinki at 5.3 GHz carrier frequency and 100 MHz bandwidth over a bay area surrounded by densely built urban environment. Bad urban channel characteristics with exceptionally long echoes were observed in about 5% of the measured locations. Maximum excess delays were ~7 µs long. Occasionally the power of these very late echoes could be comparable to that of the first taps in the power delay profile. Example of this is given in Figure 6-58. Paper [SG02] reports measurements taken in Manchester at 2 GHz carrier and 60 MHz bandwidth. In this environment the maximum observed excess delays were of the order of ~10 µs.

We do not expect bad urban channels with very late multipath echoes to be very common in urban environments at 5 GHz. The increased path loss reduces the effective operation range, and especially with high bandwidths the power is insufficient to capture reflections from very far scatterers. Therefore we propose C3 bad urban channel model as specific feature, which can be switched on for certain proportion of urban micro- and macrocellular users. The procedure is described in detail in section 4.2.1.

Figure 6-58: Example of a bad urban power delay profile with rms delay spread of 2.8 µs, maximum excess delay of 6.6 µs.
6.11 D1 – Rural macro-cell

Channel models for the rural scenario are based on measurements conducted in Phase I in Tynävä, near Oulu in Finland. The models are updated for the frequency range 2 – 6 GHz and for more general antenna heights than in Phase I. No new measurements have been performed, because several new scenarios have been deemed for higher priority level. It is pointed out that in Tynävä the environment is extremely flat. If another type of environment, e.g. hilly terrain, is desired to be simulated, we recommend using the model from [EGT+99].

One shortcoming of the Phase I model for D1 is the following: The long echoes were averaged off the channel model, although reported in [WIN1D54]. In this document, also long delays will be addressed.

6.11.1 Path-loss and shadow fading

6.11.1.1 LOS case

The WINNER D1 rural channel models were measured in Phase I at 5.25 and 2.45 GHz. The results expressed in decibels at 5.25 GHz for the LOS case are:

\[
PL(d) = \begin{cases} 
44.6 + 21.5 \log_{10}(d) + 20 \log_{10}(f) & , \quad 30 \text{ m} \leq d \leq d_{BP} \\
44.6 + 21.5 \log_{10}(d_{BP}) + 40.0 \log_{10}(d/d_{BP}) & , \quad d \geq d_{BP}
\end{cases}
\]

where

- \(d_{BP} = 4 \cdot h_{BS} h_{MS} f_{c}/c\)
- \(h_{BS}\) = the height of the base station
- \(h_{MS}\) = the height of the mobile station
- \(f\) = the centre-frequency (Hz)
- \(c\) = the velocity of light in vacuum
- \(\sigma\) = standard deviation.

By using the frequency correction coefficient, the formulas are generalised for the frequency range 2 – 6 GHz. For the LOS case:

\[
PL(d) = \begin{cases} 
44.2 + 21.5 \log_{10}(d) + 20 \log_{10}(f) & , \quad 30 \text{ m} \leq d \leq d_{BP} \\
44.2 + 21.5 \log_{10}(d_{BP}) + 40.0 \log_{10}(d/d_{BP}) + 20 \log_{10}(f) & , \quad d \geq d_{BP}
\end{cases}
\]

By writing \(d_{BP}\) open, we get the antenna dependencies for the LOS case:

\[
PL(d,f) = 44.2 + 21.5 \log_{10}(d) + 20 \log_{10}(f) + 0.5 \log_{10}(f) + 5.0, \quad 20 \text{ m} < d \leq d_{BP} \quad (17a)
\]

\[
PL(d,f) = 8.7 + 40.0 \log_{10}(d) - 19.5 \log_{10}(h_{BS}) - 19.5 \log_{10}(h_{MS}) + 0.5 \log_{10}(f) + 5.0, \quad d > d_{BP} \quad (6.40)
\]

6.11.1.2 NLOS case

For the NLOS case the measured model at 5 GHz is:

\[
PL(d) = 55.8 + 25.1 \log_{10}(d) , \sigma = 8 \text{ dB}
\]

It has been generalised for the frequency range 1 – 6 GHz in [WIN1D54] by using the 2.45 GHz measurements together with the 5.25 GHz measurements:

\[
PL(d) = 55.4 + 25.1 \log_{10}(d) + 21.3 \log_{10}(f) + 5.0, \sigma = 8 \text{ dB}
\]
For the antenna height dependence we have to rely on literature. Unfortunately very few articles appropriate to the situation exist. One of them was referred in [WIN1D54] and a similar behaviour found as in our rural measurements, namely [EGT+99]. In the reference three residential environments were defined. The one with least path-loss was called model C and was targeted for flat lightly wooded residential areas. As a matter of fact it turned out that our environment was still more flat and very lightly wooded. This could be compensated by defining an effective BS antenna height adding 25 m to the real height.

Taking this as a starting point we define the BS antenna height dependence by using Taylor series around the measurement antenna height, actually 25 m, but replaced the effective antenna height 50 m. Then the extra term needed, BS height gain factor $G_{BS}$, is [EGT+99]:

$$G_{BS} = 0.13 \left(h'_{BS} - 50\right) \log_{10}\left(d/d_0\right)$$

where
- $h'_{BS}$ is the effective BS antenna height
- $d$ is the distance between BS and MS and
- $d_0$ is 100 m.

After this the actual antenna heights can be used

$$G_{BS} = 0.13 \left(h_{BS} - 25\right) \log_{10}\left(d/d_0\right)$$

The formula is applicable for the actual BS antenna heights 10 … 75 m.

For the MS there is no height gain factor in [EGT+99]. Therefore the MS height gain factor is calculated using the approach of the COST-231 Hata model [COST231]. For this model the height gain depends linearly on the MS antenna height. Let’s assume that rising the MS antenna to the mean forest level gives the free-space path-loss plus 6 dB. Then the average height gain is 21 dB for the MS antenna height 25 m. From these figures we get

$$G_{MS} = 0.9(h_{MS} - 1.5)$$

This height gain factor is valid from 1 to 10 m.

Subtracting the height gain factors we get:

$$PL(d) = 55.4 + 25.1 \times \log_{10}(d[m]) + 21.3 \times \log_{10}(f[GHz]/5.0) - 0.13(h_{BS}[m] - 25)\log_{10}\left(d/d_0\right) - 0.9(h_{MS}[m] - 1.5)$$

The shadow fading standard deviation is: $\sigma = 8$ (dB).
6.11.1.3 Path-loss curves for the rural environment

Path-loss curves for the D1 LOS and NLOS cases are shown in the Figure 6-59. In this figure: $h_{\text{BS}} = 25$ m and $h_{\text{MS}} = 2$ m.

![Path-loss curves for the D1 rural scenario at 2 and 5 GHz.](image)

Figure 6-59. Path-loss curves for the D1 rural scenario at 2 an 5 GHz.

6.12 D2 – Moving networks

Moving networks scenario D2 means primarily the environment of fast trains with the maximum speed of 350 km/h. The connection to the trains is arranged by using a moving relay station (MRS) mounted on the roof of the carriage. The link from the MSR to the interior of the train is assumed to be arranged by an interior part of the MRS with the antennas mounted on the ceiling of the carriage. In this deliverable we consider the connection from the AP to the MRS and call this sub-scenario as D2a. The other link, D2b will be modelled during the year 2007.

In the literature the case of railway tunnels has received quite much attention. This became evident in quite a late phase, and the tunnel environment has been excluded for the time being, although we see its importance. Another crucial matter is the Doppler behaviour: This is the most characteristic and potentially the most harmful effect encountered in the fast moving (train) environment. There are models available, where this phenomenon has been accounted for. This has not been systematically accounted for in our model yet, although the modelling approach will provide high Doppler shifts. In this respect the model needs updating in the future. This means that the environment has to be modelled in more detail and dynamic evolution of the channel has to be included. The shortcomings listed above will be alleviated in the coming deliverable [WIN2D112].

To be able to model the D2a link we have to do the following assumptions:

- The Base stations are located in the vicinity of the rails, our assumption is that this distance is about 50 m.
- The site to site distance is 1000 … 2000 m.
- The BS antenna height (10-30 m) exceeds height of MRS, antenna array.
- The connections are primarily in LOS conditions.
- The tunnels that are quite common in this kind of routes are neglected for the time being.

The current D2a model has been created based on the new TUI fast-train measurements with SIMO setup and two existing models in [WIN1D54], namely D1 (rural) and “high mobility short range” (HMSR), see 9.3.2 in [WIN1D54]. Only the results for the LOS case are shown in the Part I of this document. The parameters for NLOS conditions are shown here for a reader, who has an urgent need for such a model. The given NLOS parameter values should be treated with caution. An ordinary NLOS model for the D2 scenario is planned to be published in the year 2007.

6.12.1 Path-loss and shadow fading

Partial analysis results from fast-train measurements are showed in Figure 6-60.

![Path-loss and Shadow Fading](image)

Figure 6-60. a) Path-loss and b) Shadow fading @ 5.2 GHz for D2a/LOS scenario.

We would expect nearly free-space propagation, but the measured path-loss deviates from the expected behaviour. The observed path-loss exponent may not represent a typical value due to specific measurement setup: the MRS antenna (mounted on the roof-top of the carriage) had similar height (approx 5m) to BS (6m). Therefore there has probably been a strong reflection from the carriage roof that has caused destructive combining of the main paths resulting in a break-point phenomenon found in flat earth conditions. There is a break-point at a very low distance, about 30 m deduced from the figure. After that the path-loss exponent has been very closely the expected value of four, see Figure 6-60. Possibly this phenomenon can be mitigated by proper antenna design, but to be on safe side we propose to model the path-loss as measured. Although the measurements cover only the distance range 40 - 400 m, we propose to extend the model up to 2 km. The model probably over-estimates the path-loss at high distances, but this should be tolerated.

6.12.2 RMS-delay spread and maximum excess delay distributions

The RMS-delay distributions for LOS case are determined from fast-train measurements (Figure 6-61).
Figure 6-61. a) Cumulative and b) Probability density functions of RMS-delay spread (D2a/LOS).

The RMS-delay distribution for NLOS case has been taken from the scenario D1 in [WIN1D54] by calculating mean of the values at 2.45 and 5.25 GHz. Main RMS-delay spread distributions parameters for both LOS and NLOS conditions are shown in Table below.

Table 6-24: RMS-delay spread percentiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rms delay spread [ns]</th>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>NLOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probability distributions of max. excess delay obtained from fast-train measurements are given in Figure 6-62.

Figure 6-62. a) Cumulative and b) Probability density functions of max. excess delay (D2a/LOS).

6.12.3 Azimuth AS at BS and MS

Azimuth AS has been combined from the TUI FT measurements, models “high mobility short range” (HMSR) in [WIN1D54]. LOS results are taken from the HMSR scenario (BS) and fast-train measurement (MS). For the NLOS propagation conditions D1 (rural) parameters from [WIN1D54] can be used.
Table 6-25: Percentiles of the RMS azimuth spread.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D2 moving network</th>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>NLOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS, $\sigma_{\phi}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS, $\sigma_{\phi}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In fast-train (FT) measurements, height of the base station receiver antenna array is not dominant if compared to train-mounted antenna. From that reason it can be assumed that similar angular distribution will be measured at moving station. Probability distributions for azimuth angular spread at receiver side in TUI FT measurements (SIMO) are shown in Figure 6-63.

6.12.4 Cross-polarisation ratio (XPR)

Cross-polarisation ratios have been taken from the scenario D1 in [WIN1D54].

Table 6-26: Percentiles of the cross-polarization ratios in a D2 environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D2 moving network</th>
<th>direct path (LOS)</th>
<th>scattered paths (NLOS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XPR$_V$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean / std</td>
<td>11.7 / 7.8</td>
<td>6.4 / 2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPR$_H$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean / std</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>6.1 / 2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.12.5 Power Delay Profile

Mean PDP profiles for LOS and NLOS propagation conditions have been taken from the scenario D1 in [WIN1D54]. The LOS profile at 100 MHz bandwidth and 5.25 GHz centre-frequency are shown in the following figures.

![Figure 6-64: PDP profile in LOS propagation conditions.](image)

Power delay profile for LOS conditions has been fitted to two segments with an exponential function

$$\exp(-b \cdot \tau)$$

where $\tau$ is the excess delay and $b$ is the time-constant. Here the constant $b_1$ is 220 MHz for the first segment and $b_2$ is 15.6 MHz for the second one.

For the NLOS conditions the PDP can be fitted to the single-slope line in dB scale with the time-constant $b = 60$ MHz.

An example of PDP (averaged over QWSS interval that corresponds to $20\lambda$) from TUI fast-train measurement is shown in Figure 6-65.

![Figure 6-65: PDP example from fast-train measurements.](image)

6.12.6 Distribution of path delays

Distribution of the path delays is assumed to be exponential. The experimental pdf determined from fast-train measurements is shown in Figure 6-66.
6.12.7 Delay proportionality factor

Delay proportionality factor has been taken from the D1 model in [WIN1D54]. The percentiles for the CDF of the delay proportionality factor for scenario D2 are presented in Table 6-27.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>NLOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.12.8 Power Angular Spectrum and main DoA offset

The distribution of the main DoA offset has been taken from the HMSR model in [WIN1D54]. The percentiles for the CDF of the main DoA offset for scenario D2 are presented in the Table 6-28.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Link end</th>
<th>BS</th>
<th>MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propagation condition</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentile (degrees)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.12.9 Number of clusters

The distribution of the number of clusters has been taken from the HMSR and D1 models in [WIN1D54]. The percentiles for the CDF of the delay proportionality factor for scenario D2 are presented in the Table 6-29.

Table 6-29: CDF values for number of clusters
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Number of clusters</th>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>N LOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.12.10 Per-cluster shadowing

Per-cluster shadowing standard deviation is assumed to be 3 dB.

### 6.12.11 Ricean K-factor

The Ricean K-factor has been taken from the HMSR model in [WIN1D54]. It is constant as a function of the distance and its value is 6 dB.

### 6.12.12 Literature research

[CBW95] introduces a Ray-Tracing tool IHE-TUNNEL for the calculation of signal behaviour in rail-way tunnels. Typical electrical constants for trains and rail-way environment are introduced. Typical lay-out of a High-speed train tunnel is introduced. Doppler-shift in the case of two encountering trains can be very high.

In [KMV+05] two scenarios are considered: Vegetation scenario with a tracks leading through rural environment covered with trees, and noise barrier scenario, where concrete walls are placed along the two sides of the tracks. APs are placed near the tracks and the routes are straight so that LOS conditions exist in the simulations. The train antennas are situated on the roof in the middle of the train. All antennas are omni-directional. These cases are simulated by ray-tracing assuming 5 GHz carrier frequency. In both cases a different power delay profile (PDP) is obtained as well as a different Doppler shift and Doppler delay spread. The effect of the train passing by a AP quite near the rail-way can be clearly seen in the simulations. The Doppler shift is then changed from 2 kHz to -2 kHz within one second. These figures are, of course, dependent on the train speed and the distance from the AP to the rail-way as well as the carrier frequency. In this simulation the train speed is 400 km/h, carrier frequency 5 GHz and the distance of AP to the train is only few meters. Consequences for an OFDM based system are considered. 2 kHz Doppler shift is seen problematic for systems with the sub-carrier spacing of same size.

[OKT+04] describes a Japanese WLAN trial system with connections also to trains. Actually there were several frequency bands investigated in the trial, but we concentrate here on the one most similar to the WINNER definitions. There the operating frequency is about 5 GHz and the band-width is 16.5 MHz according to the Japanese version of the ETSI BRAN HiperLAN2. OFDM is used.

In the trial relays were used for the connections, like in the current WINNER concept: The link between the Access Point and Train (AP – MR) was a Moving Feeder Link at 5 GHz frequency. The links from the MR to the Users operated at 2.4 GHz. The MR antenna towards the AP was a directive horn antenna, as the AP antenna. Actually there were two antennas for the diversity effect in the APs and MR. The placement in the train, deduced from a picture, was at the end of the carriage roof. For a High-Speed train possibly a questionable positioning, but the reported speed was not actually High-Speed level, but it was 120 km/h in this trial. The MR antennas towards the UEs were dipole antennas, two per MR for the diversity. The placement of the AP was near the rails (about 3 m) and the height was about 2 m, deduced by a figure. Obviously the strategy was to illuminate the train from the direction of the rails. This is consistent with the placing of the MR antennas.

The trial showed that OFDM signal could be transmitted up to 800 m, although the obtained throughput decreased to 14 per cent from the maximum with the increasing distance and train speed. Doppler did not cause any problem in the trials and hand over was operated with success.

[AGV98] discusses propagation in High Speed Train tunnels at 2.154 GHz carrier frequency in 53.85 MHz RF bandwidth (chip rate). Vertical polarisation was transmitted. Path-loss was found to change about 30 dB in 200 m distance from the BS location. The BS height 3.7 m inside the tunnel gave good results for path-loss. RMS delay spread ranged from about 10 to 250 ns. Cross-polarisation value of 18 dB was obtained. Doppler frequency corresponding the speed 350 km/h was measured to be about 800 Hz.
and Doppler spread of 200 Hz was reported. The values have to be calculated from normalised values, because 350 km/h is not a realistic measuring velocity.

In [KBM+06] the authors investigate the effect of using directive antennas at the moving High Speed Train in similar scenarios as in [KMV+05]. The results show that by using directive antennas the RMS delay spread can be reduced near 25 % of the corresponding values of the omni-directional case. The Doppler spreads behave in a similar manner. This reference clearly shows the benefit of using directive antennas in the train.

[AI00] discusses the provision of voice services to high-speed trains based on literature. The authors consider fast fading, Doppler, slow fading, penetration loss and propagation in tunnels. The aim is to find good channel models for the train scenario. The discussion is mostly based on GSM due to the age of the references used. Many references are from the European project MOSTRAIN. However, some results are relevant for WINNER, at least with some updating. The authors discuss the slow fading experienced in deep cuttings, if off-track-side Base Stations are used. On the other hand they claim that track-side Base Stations suffer from high penetration loss from the BS to the interior of the train. However, this effect has been removed in WINNER, where Moving Relays are used with the antennas on top of the train. Another problem of the track-side Base Stations remain, i.e. the fast change of the mean Doppler shift near the BS.

Propagation in tunnels is discussed in several articles, like in [AGV98]. In [AI00] the authors conclude that the tunnels provide a good propagation medium. Although the measurements have been performed at 2 GHz, the result should remain valid also for the range 2 – 6 GHz as well.

6.12.13 Interpretation of the results

As discussed above, the model is not complete yet. It does cope for only the LOS connections between the BS and MRS. The tunnels and other NLOS environments have not been coped for. In addition, the systematic inclusion of the Doppler shift and its evolution is still missing. In spite of this the model is a good start for specifying the D2a link BS to MRS. According to literature, the tunnel environment was claimed to be a rather favourable one for the fast trains.

Our model provides the other relevant parameters for the simulations and the scenario definition is compliant with many of the current trials.

6.13 Peer-to-peer channel models

Peer-to-peer connection describes a direct radio link between two user terminals. Both the user terminals are about at equal heights from the ground level, and have similar type of antennas. Measurements for peer-to-peer connections have already been made in WINNER in outdoor and indoor environments. For this phase and deliverable, however, the channel models for peer-to-peer links were considered of lower priority, and therefore the channel models for those have been planned for the final deliverable in 2007.

7. Parameter Tables for CDL Models

In the CDL model each cluster is composed of 20 rays with fixed offset angles and identical power. In the case of cluster where a ray of dominant power exists, the cluster has 20+1 rays. This dominant ray has a zero angle offset. The departure and arrival rays are coupled randomly. The CDL table of all scenarios of interest are give below, where the cluster power and the power of each ray are tabulated. The CDL models offer well-defined radio channels with fixed parameters to obtain comparable simulation results with relatively non-complicated channel models.

Delay spread and azimuth spreads medians of the CDL models are equal to median values given in Table 4-8.

7.1 A1 – Indoor small office

The CDL parameters of LOS and NLOS condition are given below. In the LOS model Ricean K-factor is 8.1 dB, which corresponds to 3m distance between Tx and Rx.
Table 7-1 Scenario A1: LOS Clustered delay line model, indoor environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
<td>-16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-107</td>
<td>-110</td>
<td>-28.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-15.8</td>
<td>-17.3</td>
<td>-22.9**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-19.1</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-19.2</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-32.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-23.5</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-36.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-18.3</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>-31.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-36.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>-29.1</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>-42.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-14.2</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-27.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>-21.6</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>-34.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>-23.4</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-36.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Power of dominant ray.
** Power of each other ray

Figure 7-1: PDP and frequency correlation (FCF) of CDL model.

Table 7-2 Scenario A1: NLOS Clustered delay line model, indoor environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>-17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>-59</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>-82</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-77</td>
<td>-21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>-6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>-67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>-67</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>-18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-9.5</td>
<td>-91</td>
<td>-84</td>
<td>-22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-7.9</td>
<td>-83</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>-20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>-77</td>
<td>-71</td>
<td>-19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-14.8</td>
<td>-113</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>-25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>-103</td>
<td>-27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>-26.7</td>
<td>-152</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>-39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-32.5</td>
<td>-168</td>
<td>-156</td>
<td>-45.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cluster ASD = 5º   Cluster ASA = 5º
7.2 A2 – Indoor to outdoor

Table 7-3 Scenario A2: NLOS Clustered delay line model, indoor to outdoor environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4.2</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-18.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>-59</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>-65</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-14.6</td>
<td>-123</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>-27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>-14.7</td>
<td>-123</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-17.6</td>
<td>-135</td>
<td>-62</td>
<td>-30.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.3 B1 – Urban micro-cell

The parameters of the CDL model have been extracted from measurements with chip frequency of 60 MHz at frequency range of 5.3 GHz. In the LOS model Ricean K-factor is 3.3 dB, which corresponds to 20m distance between Tx and Rx.

Table 7-4 Scenario B1: LOS Clustered delay line model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-10.5</td>
<td>-12.7</td>
<td>-14.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-14.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 7-4: PDP and frequency correlation (FCF) of CDL model.**

**Table 7-5 Scenario B1: NLOS Clustered delay line model.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cluster AS at MS [°] = 22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.8*</td>
<td>-20.8**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cluster AS at BS [°] = 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1*</td>
<td>-17**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite AS at MS [°] = 36.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-10.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite AS at BS [°] = 12.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-10.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-26.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Power of dominant ray.
** Power of each other ray
Clusters with high K-factor will have 11 rays.

7.4 B2 – Bad Urban micro-cell

Table 7-6 Scenario B2: NLOS Clustered delay line model, bad urban, microcell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>-15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>-16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>-19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>-15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>-63</td>
<td>-20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>-16.5</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>-29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>-110</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>-20.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cluster ASD = 3º   Cluster ASA = 5º

Figure 7-5: PDP and frequency correlation (FCF) of CDL model.

7.5 B3 – Indoor hotspot

The CDL parameters of LOS and NLOS condition are given below. In the LOS model Ricean K-factor is 0.3 dB, which corresponds to 20m distance between Tx and Rx.

Table 7-7 Scenario B3: LOS Clustered delay line model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>-10.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-14.6</td>
<td>-16.9</td>
<td>-18.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-14.8</td>
<td>-94</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-14.4</td>
<td>-93</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-27.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>-16.5</td>
<td>-99</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-29.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Power of dominant ray,
\** Power of each other ray
Figure 7-6: PDP and frequency correlation (FCF) of CDL model.

Table 7-8 Scenario B3: NLOS Clustered delay line model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cluster #</th>
<th>delay [ns]</th>
<th>Power [dB]</th>
<th>AoD [º]</th>
<th>AoA [º]</th>
<th>MS speed = 1.5 km/h. direction U(0º,360º)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of rays/cluster = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ray Power [dB]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-19.3</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-14.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>-12.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-11.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-1.63</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-11.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-2.17</td>
<td>-34.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>-12.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-2.76</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>-12.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-3.26</td>
<td>-20.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>-13.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-4.35</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-14.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-5.43</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-15.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-6.52</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-16.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-7.61</td>
<td>-19.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>-17.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-8.69</td>
<td>-24.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>-18.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-9.78</td>
<td>-14.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-19.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-10.87</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>-16.6</td>
<td>-20.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-11.95</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-21.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-13.04</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>-23.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-15.21</td>
<td>-37.2</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>-25.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>-17.38</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>-27.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>-19.56</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-29.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-21.73</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>-31.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>-22.82</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>-18.9</td>
<td>-32.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>-23.91</td>
<td>-25.6</td>
<td>-8.3</td>
<td>-33.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.6 B4 – Outdoor to indoor

Table 7-9 Scenario B4: NLOS Clustered delay line model, outdoor to indoor environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-63</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
<td>-9.4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>-7.6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>-17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-126</td>
<td>-25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>-20.0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>-33.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cluster ASD = 5º   Cluster ASA = 8º

Figure 7-7: PDP and frequency correlation (FCF) of CDL model.

7.7 C1 – Urban macro-cell

The CDL parameters of LOS and NLOS condition are given below. In the LOS model Ricean K-factor is 12.9 dB, which corresponds to 200m distance between Tx and Rx.

Table 7-10 Scenario C1: LOS Clustered delay line model, suburban environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-25.3</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>-21.6</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>-39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>-26.3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>-38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>-38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>-25.4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>-38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>-35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>-29.2</td>
<td>-33</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>-42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>-24.3</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>-32.2</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>-36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>-32.2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>-45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>-26.5</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>-39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-32.1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>-45.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>-28.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>-41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>-30.5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>-43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>-32.6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>-45.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Power of dominant ray.
** Power of each other ray
Table 7-11  Clustered delay-line model for Scenario C1 NLOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-71</td>
<td>-20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-10.5</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-84</td>
<td>-23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
<td>-8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-97</td>
<td>-27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-66</td>
<td>-19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>-17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>-21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>-9.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>-22.4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>-35.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cluster ASD = 2°
Cluster ASD = 10°

Figure 7-8: PDP and frequency correlation (FCF) of CDL model.

Figure 7-9: PDP and frequency correlation (FCF) of CDL model.
7.8 C2 – Urban macro-cell

Table 7-12 Scenario C2: NLOS Clustered delay line model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>-15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>0 0 -13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-44</td>
<td>-16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>-12 -67 -13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-67</td>
<td>-20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-67</td>
<td>-20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>-9.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-73</td>
<td>-22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-83</td>
<td>-25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>-13.2</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>-26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>-20.8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>-33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>-14.5</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>-27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>-11.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-82</td>
<td>-24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>-17.2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1845</td>
<td>-16.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>-29.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7-10: PDP and frequency correlation (FCF) of CDL model.

7.9 C3 – Bad urban macro-cell

The CDL parameters of NLOS condition are given below.

Table 7-13 Scenario C3: NLOS Clustered delay line model, bad urban, macrocell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-75</td>
<td>-20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>-14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>-20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-75</td>
<td>-20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>-9.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-87</td>
<td>-22.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.10 D1 – Rural macro-cell

The CDL parameters of LOS and NLOS condition are given below. In the LOS model Ricean K-factor is 13.7 dB, which corresponds to 500m distance between Tx and Rx.

Table 7-14 Scenario D1: LOS Clustered delay line model, rural environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-16.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.23*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-22.8**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-15.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-16.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>-29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-15.3</td>
<td>-17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-19.2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>-25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-20.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-18.9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>-31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-21.1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-23.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>-36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-26.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>-28.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-41.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Power of dominant ray,
** Power of each other ray

Figure 7-11: PDP and frequency correlation (FCF) of CDL model.
Table 7-15 Scenario D1: NLOS Clustered delay line model, rural environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>-18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>-20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-4.2</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>-12.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-73</td>
<td>-25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>-26.5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>-39.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cluster ASD = 2°   Cluster ASD = 3°

Figure 7-12: PDP and frequency correlation (FCF) of CDL model.

7.10.1 D2a – Moving networks

The CDL parameters of LOS condition are given below. In the LOS model Ricean K-factor is 6 dB.

Table 4.28: Scenario D2: LOS Clustered delay line model, MRS-MS, rural

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
<td>-15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>-23.8</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>-36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>-171</td>
<td>-39.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Power of dominant ray,  ** Power of each other ray

Figure 7-13: PDP and frequency correlation (FCF) of CDL model.
7.11 Fixed feeder links - Scenario B5

For the stationary feeder scenarios only CDL models have been created. The CDL models are based on the parameters in the tables below which are derived mostly from literature. Note that the CDL models only approximate the selected parameters. Basically any antenna pattern can be used with the models. However, for the B5 scenario at distances larger than 300 meters the 3 dB beamwidth $\gamma_{3dB}$ of one of the link ends should be smaller than 10 degrees while the other is smaller than 53 degrees.

7.11.1 Scenario B5a

The clustered delay-line model for the rooftop to rooftop case is given in table below. In stationary scenarios, i.e. B5, the Doppler shifts of the rays are not a function of the AoAs. Instead, they are obtained from the movement of the scatterers. In B5 we let one scatterer per cluster be moving while the others are stationary. The Doppler frequency of the moving scatterers is also included in tables below.

Table 7-16 Parameters selected for scenario B5a LOS stationary feeder: rooftop to rooftop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power-delay profile</td>
<td>Exponential (non-direct paths).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay-spread</td>
<td>40ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-factor</td>
<td>10dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPR</td>
<td>30dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doppler</td>
<td>A peak centred around zero Hz with most energy within 0.1 Hz.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle-spread of non-direct components.</td>
<td>Gaussian distributed clusters with 0.5 degrees intra-angle-spread. Composite angle-spread 2 degrees. Same in both ends.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7-17 LOS Clustered Delay-Line model. Rooftop-to-rooftop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-20.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-21.5</td>
<td>-35.2*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-26.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-65.2</td>
<td>-39.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-24.2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>-37.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-15.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>-28.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>-20.5</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-20.2</td>
<td>-33.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-28.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-41.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-31.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>-21.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-15.4</td>
<td>-34.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>-19.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>-32.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Power of dominant ray, ** Power of each other ray, * Clusters with high K-factor will have 21 rays.

7.11.2 Scenario B5b

The clustered delay-line model for range1, range2 and range3 (i.e. path loss < 85 dB, 85 dB < path loss < 110 dB, path loss > 110 dB), is given in tables below.
Table 7-18 Parameters selected for scenario B5b LOS stationary feeder: street-level to street-level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shadow-fading</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{free}}=3,\text{dB}, \quad r \leq r_b,$ $\sigma_{\text{beyond}}=7,\text{dB}, \quad r &gt; r_b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range definition</td>
<td>Range 1: Loss $&lt;85$, Range 2: $85&lt;\text{Loss}&lt;110$, Range 3: Loss $&gt;110.$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power-delay profile</td>
<td>Exponential (of non-direct paths).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPR</td>
<td>9dB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doppler</td>
<td>The spectrum has a peak at 0Hz and most of it’s power within an few Hz.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle-spread of non-direct</td>
<td>Clusters are uniform distributed $[0,360]$. Intra-cluster spread is 2degrees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7-19 Clustered delay-line model street-level to street-level range 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-15.9</td>
<td>-71.7</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-33.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>167.4</td>
<td>-27.5</td>
<td>-2872</td>
<td>-28.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-24.9</td>
<td>-143.2</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>-35.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>-37.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-26.2</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>-94.0</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>-39.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-22.3</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>2576</td>
<td>-39.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-22.3</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>-96.6</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-35.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-29.5</td>
<td>-113.2</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>-35.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>-83.3</td>
<td>3069</td>
<td>-42.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-29.6</td>
<td>145.2</td>
<td>176.8</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>-30.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
<td>-172.0</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>-772</td>
<td>-42.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-23.4</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>-39.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>-30.3</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>160.3</td>
<td>-343</td>
<td>-36.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-27.7</td>
<td>-67.0</td>
<td>-50.1</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-43.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>-34.8</td>
<td>-95.1</td>
<td>-149.6</td>
<td>-186</td>
<td>-40.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>-38.5</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>-2287</td>
<td>-47.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>-38.6</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-51.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>-33.7</td>
<td>160.1</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>-1342</td>
<td>-51.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>-35.2</td>
<td>-21.8</td>
<td>142.2</td>
<td>-61</td>
<td>-48.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Power of dominant ray.
** Power of each other ray
* Clusters with high K-factor will have 21 rays.
Table 7-20 Clustered delay-line model street-level to street-level range 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cluster #</th>
<th>delay [ns]</th>
<th>Power [dB]</th>
<th>AoD [°]</th>
<th>AoA [°]</th>
<th>Freq. of one scatterer [mHz]</th>
<th>K-factor [dB]</th>
<th>MS speed N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-10.2</td>
<td>-71.7</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-16.6</td>
<td>167.4</td>
<td>-27.5</td>
<td>-2872</td>
<td>-23.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>-143.2</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>-29.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-20.9</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>-32.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-20.6</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>-94.0</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>-33.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-16.6</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>2576</td>
<td>-33.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-16.6</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>-96.6</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-29.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>-23.9</td>
<td>-113.2</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>-36.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>-83.3</td>
<td>3069</td>
<td>-36.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-23.9</td>
<td>145.2</td>
<td>176.8</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>-23.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>-21.0</td>
<td>-172.0</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>-772</td>
<td>-36.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>-37.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>-24.6</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>160.3</td>
<td>-343</td>
<td>-35.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>-67.0</td>
<td>-50.1</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-35.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>-29.2</td>
<td>-95.1</td>
<td>-149.6</td>
<td>-186</td>
<td>-42.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>-32.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>-2288</td>
<td>-45.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>-32.9</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-45.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>-28.0</td>
<td>160.1</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>-1342</td>
<td>-41.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>-29.6</td>
<td>-21.8</td>
<td>142.2</td>
<td>-61</td>
<td>-42.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of rays/cluster = 20

- Power of dominant ray,
- Power of each other ray
- Clusters with high K-factor will have 21 rays.

Table 7-21 Clustered delay-line model street-level to street-level range 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cluster #</th>
<th>delay [ns]</th>
<th>Power [dB]</th>
<th>AoD [°]</th>
<th>AoA [°]</th>
<th>Freq. of one scatterer [mHz]</th>
<th>K-factor [dB]</th>
<th>MS speed N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>-71.7</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-14.8</td>
<td>167.4</td>
<td>-27.5</td>
<td>-2872</td>
<td>-21.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>-17.5</td>
<td>-143.2</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>-27.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>-19.2</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>-30.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>-94.0</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>-32.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>-14.9</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>2576</td>
<td>-31.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>-14.9</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>-96.6</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-27.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>-22.1</td>
<td>-113.2</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>-29.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>-10.3</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>-83.3</td>
<td>3069</td>
<td>-35.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>145.2</td>
<td>176.8</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>-23.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>-19.2</td>
<td>-172.0</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>-772</td>
<td>-35.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>-32.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>-22.9</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>160.3</td>
<td>-343</td>
<td>-35.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of rays/cluster = 20

- Ray Power [dB]
- Cluster AS at MS [º] = 2
- Cluster AS at BS [º] = 2
- Composite AS at MS [º] = 52.3
- Composite AS at BS [º] = 61.42

- Cluster AS at MS [º] = 2
- Cluster AS at BS [º] = 2
- Composite AS at MS [º] = 50.2
- Composite AS at BS [º] = 50.2
<p>| | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>-20.3</td>
<td>-67.0</td>
<td>-50.1</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-33.3</td>
<td>15 1790 -20.3 -67.0 -50.1 -7 -33.3</td>
<td>-33.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2075</td>
<td>-27.4</td>
<td>-95.1</td>
<td>-149.6</td>
<td>-186</td>
<td>-40.4</td>
<td>16 2075 -27.4 -95.1 -149.6 -186 -40.4</td>
<td>-40.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2570</td>
<td>-31.1</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>-2287</td>
<td>-44.1</td>
<td>17 2570 -31.1 -2.0 161.5 -2287 -44.1</td>
<td>-44.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2635</td>
<td>-31.2</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-40.4</td>
<td>18 2635 -31.2 66.7 68.7 26 -40.4</td>
<td>-44.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>-26.3</td>
<td>160.1</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>-1342</td>
<td>-39.3</td>
<td>19 2800 -26.3 160.1 41.6 -1342 -39.3</td>
<td>-39.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3740</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
<td>-21.8</td>
<td>142.2</td>
<td>-61</td>
<td>-40.8</td>
<td>20 3740 -27.8 -21.8 142.2 -61 -40.8</td>
<td>-40.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Power of dominant ray. 
** Power of each other ray 
* Clusters with high K-factor will have 21 rays.

### 7.11.3 Scenario B5c
Model for B5c scenario is same with B1 LOS. Difference is that in Bfc both the environment and both link ends are stationary except two clusters, which represent moving vehicles. In these two clusters all the rays have different non-zero Doppler frequency.

#### Table 7-22 B5c Clustered Delay-Line model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cluster #</th>
<th>delay [ns]</th>
<th>Power [dB]</th>
<th>AoD [°]</th>
<th>AoA [°]</th>
<th>Freq. of one scatterer [mHz]</th>
<th>K-factor [dB]</th>
<th>MS speed N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-127</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>-18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-11.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>-24.7</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-14.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-879</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-14.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-69</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
<td>-27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-13.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-26.9</td>
<td>-30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>-17.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-69</td>
<td>-735</td>
<td>-32.6</td>
<td>-32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-19.6</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-73</td>
<td>-274</td>
<td>-44.4</td>
<td>-44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>-31.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Power of dominant ray, ** Power of each other ray, * Clusters with high K-factor will have 21 rays.
++ Frequency for 20 scatterers in Hz is {45.0, 45.5, 46.0, 46.5, … , 54.5}
+++ Frequency for 20 scatterers in Hz is {-55.0, -55.5, -56.0, -56.5, … , -64.5}

### 7.11.4 Scenario B5f
Model for B5f scenario is NLOS version of B5a model.

#### Table 7-23 Parameters selected for scenario B5f NLOS stationary feeder: rooftop to rooftop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power-delay profile</td>
<td>Exponential (non-direct paths).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay-spread</td>
<td>85ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-factor</td>
<td>-∞ dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPR</td>
<td>10dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doppler</td>
<td>A peak centred around zero Hz with most energy within 0.1 Hz.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7-24 B5f Clustered Delay-Line model. Rooftop-to-rooftop NLOS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>-13.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-21.5</td>
<td>-18.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-65.2</td>
<td>-24.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-8.9</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>-21.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>-13.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-20.2</td>
<td>-18.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-12.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-25.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-16.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-15.4</td>
<td>-19.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>-17.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of rays/cluster = 20
Ray Power [dB]:
-17.61

Cluster AS at MS [º] = 0.5
Cluster AS at BS [º] = 2.33
Composite AS at MS [º] = 2.87
Composite AS at BS [º] = 2.87
8. Reference Implementation Interfaces

This section describes example input and output interfaces of the WIM2 channel model function in Matlab format. Parameter sets are still incomplete and not sufficient to model all the features of the WINNER2 model.

WINNER channel model needs as an input the general information like channel scenario and MIMO setup, antenna configurations like radiation patterns and array geometries and system layout information like relative distances and orientations of the transceivers. Output of the model is a set of discrete channel impulse responses with matrix coefficients (see eq 4.13). Entries of the matrices are complex channel coefficients for each transmitter receiver antenna element pairs. Channel impulse responses are realisations of the radio channel for discrete time instants and for different radio links.

8.1.1 Example of input parameters

There are four input arguments, all of which are MATLAB structures. The first three input structures (“wimpar”, “linkpar”, and “antpar”) are mandatory. The following tables describe all the fields of the input structures.

The most of input parameters belong to one of the following groups:

- Model (M) parameters are defined by the channel model nature itself. One example can be Number of rays per path which is fixed to 10.
- System (S) parameters are parameters defining the simulated communication system e.g., centre frequency, MS velocity etc.
- Network Layout (NL) parameters together define the simulated network.
- Antenna array (AN) parameters are collection of parameters needed to define the antenna arrays at the BS and at the MS.
- Environment (E) parameters are defining the environments around BS and MS and between them.
- Implementation (I) parameters are defining the format of the output data, or improving the implementation.

Table 8-1: General channel model parameters. Common for all links. Structure name is ‘wimpar’, m-file name ‘wimparset.m’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Default value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Parameter type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NumBsElements</td>
<td>The number of elements in the BS array. This parameter is ignored if antenna patterns are defined in the input struct ANTPAR. In this case the number of BS elements is extracted from the antenna definition.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NumMsElements</td>
<td>The number of elements in the MS array. This parameter is ignored if antenna patterns are defined in the input struct ANTPAR. In this case the number of BS elements is extracted from the antenna definition.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>If scenario is B5b, the path-loss ranges 1, 2 and 3 are defined as in D1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end_time</td>
<td>Observation end time for B5 scenario - time points are taken as:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>second</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PropagCondition</td>
<td>Line of sight condition (‘LOS’, ‘NLOS’). Select either line of sight or non line of sight model.</td>
<td>‘NLOS’</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SampleDensity</td>
<td>Time sampling interval of the channel. A value greater than one should be selected if Doppler analysis is to be done.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>samples/half wavelength</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NumTimeSamples</td>
<td>Number of channel samples (impulse response matrices) to generate per link.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UniformTimeSampling</td>
<td>If this parameter has value 'yes' time sampling interval of the channel for each user will be equal. Sampling interval will be calculated from the SampleDensity and the highest velocity found in the input parameter vector MsVelocity. If this parameter has value 'no', then the time sampling interval for each link will be different, if MSs have different speeds (see userpar.MsVelocity). Setting this parameters 'yes' may be useful in some system-level simulations where all simulated links need to be sampled at equal time intervals, regardless of MS speeds.</td>
<td>'no'</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NumSubPathsPerPath</td>
<td>Number of rays per path. The only value supported in the WIM implementation is 10 rays.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FixedPdpUsed</td>
<td>Use tabulated delays instead of drawing random values for each drop yes/no. If FixedPdpUsed='yes', the delays and powers of paths are taken from a table.</td>
<td>'no'</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FixedAnglesUsed</td>
<td>Use tabulated angles instead of drawing random values for each drop yes/no. If FixedAnglesUsed='yes', the AoD/AoAs are taken from a table. Random pairing of AoDs and AoAs is not used.</td>
<td>'no'</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PolarisedArrays</td>
<td>Defines usage of polarised arrays</td>
<td>'no'</td>
<td>AN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CenterFrequency</td>
<td>Carrier centre-frequency. Affects path loss and time sampling interval.</td>
<td>2E9\ Hz</td>
<td>System related</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DelaySamplingInterval</td>
<td>Delay sampling interval (delay resolution).</td>
<td>10e-8\ sec</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PathLossModelUsed</td>
<td>Path-loss included in the channel matrices yes/no (if 'no', PL is calculated and returned in the second output argument, but not multiplied with the channel matrices)</td>
<td>'no'</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ShadowingModelUsed</td>
<td>Shadow fading included in the channel matrices yes/no (if 'no' shadow fading is still computed and returned in the second output argument, but not multiplied with the channel matrices). Note that if both path loss and shadowing are switched off the average power of the channel matrix elements will be one (with azimuthally uniform unit gain antennas).</td>
<td>'no'</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PathLossModel</td>
<td>The name of the path-loss function. Function 'path-loss' implements the default WIM path-loss model. If the default is used, centre-frequency is taken from the parameter CenterFrequency. One can define his/her own path-loss function. For syntax, see PATHLOSS.</td>
<td>'path-loss'</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PathLossOption</td>
<td>'RC' or 'RR_light' or 'RR_heavy', RC = Room-Corridor, RR = Room-Room nonls</td>
<td>'RC'</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnsiC_core</td>
<td>Use optimized computation yes/no. With 'yes' faster C-function is used instead of m-function. Note the C-function SCM_MEX_CORE.C must be compiled before usage. For more information, see SCM_MEX_CORE.M.</td>
<td>'no'</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LookUpTable</td>
<td>If optimized computation is used, complex exponents can be either taken from a look-up table to speed up computation or calculated explicitly. This parameter defines the table size, if 0 table is not used, if –1 default table size $2^{14} =16384$ is used. The size of the table must be a power-of-two. If AnsiC_core = 'no' this parameter is ignored.</td>
<td>0\ integer</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RandomSeed</td>
<td>Random seed for fully repeatable channel generation (if empty, seed is generated randomly). Note that even if RandomSeed is the fixed, two channel realizations may still not be the same between different MATLAB versions.</td>
<td>&lt;empty&gt;\ integer</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8-2: Link-dependent parameters. All the parameters are vectors of length K, where K is the number of links. The values are randomly generated; they are not based on any specific network geometry or user behaviour model. Structure name is “linkpar”, m-file name “linkparset.m”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Default value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Parameter type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MsBsDistance</td>
<td>Distance between BS and MS</td>
<td>1965*RAND(1,K) + 35</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThetaBs</td>
<td>$\theta_{bs}$ (see Figure 5-2)</td>
<td>360* RAND(1,K)</td>
<td>deg</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThetaMs</td>
<td>$\theta_{ms}$ (see Figure 5-2)</td>
<td>360* RAND(1,K)</td>
<td>deg</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MsHeight</td>
<td>Height of the mobile station</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BsHeight</td>
<td>Height of the base station</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MsVelocity</td>
<td>MS velocity</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MsDirection</td>
<td>$\theta_v$ (see Figure 5-2)</td>
<td>360* RAND(1,K)</td>
<td>deg</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MsNumber</td>
<td>MsNumber is a positive integer defining the index number of MS for each link. This parameter is used in generation of inter-site correlated shadow fading values; shadow fading is correlated for links between a single MS and multiple BSs. There is no correlation in shadow fading between different MSs. Examples: The default value is the case where all links in a call to the SCM function correspond to different MSs. Setting MsNumber=ones(1,K) corresponds to the case where the links from a single MS to K different BSs are simulated.</td>
<td>[1:K]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StreetWidth</td>
<td>Street width is utilized only with path-loss model of B1.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dist1</td>
<td>This is utilized only with path-loss model of B1. Parameter is generated randomly if empty.</td>
<td>[empty matrix] 1xK</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WallLoss</td>
<td>Defines penetration losses through the ‘‘light’’ and ‘‘heavy’’ wall</td>
<td>[5 12]</td>
<td>dB</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8-3: Antenna parameters. The following parameters characterize the antennas. Currently only linear uniform arrays with dual-polarized elements are supported. The antenna patterns do not have to be identical. The complex field pattern values for the randomly generated AoDs and AoAs are interpolated. Structure name is “antpar”, m-file name “antparset.m”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Default value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Parameter type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BsGainPattern</td>
<td>BS antenna field pattern values in a 4D array. The dimensions are [ELNUM POL EL AZ] = SIZE(BsGainPattern), where ENUm is the number of physical antenna elements in the array. The elements may be dual-polarized. POL – polarization. The first dimension is vertical polarization, the second is horizontal. If the polarization option is not used, vertical polarization is assumed (if both are given). EL – elevation. This value is ignored. Only the first element of this dimension is used. AZ – complex-valued field pattern in the azimuth dimension given at azimuth angles defined in BsGainAnglesAz. If NUMEL(BsGainPattern)=1, all elements are assumed to have uniform gain defined by the value of BsGainPattern over the full azimuth angle, and the number of BS antenna elements is defined by NumBsElements. This speeds up</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BsGainAnglesAz</strong></td>
<td>Vector containing the azimuth angles for the BS antenna field pattern values. These values are assumed to be the same for both polarizations. This value is given in degrees over the range (-180,180) degrees. If NUMEL(BsGainPattern)=1, this variable is ignored.</td>
<td>linspace(-180,180,90)</td>
<td>Deg</td>
<td>AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BsGainAnglesEl</strong></td>
<td>Vector of elevation angles for definition of BS antenna gain values. This parameter is for future needs only; its value is ignored in this implementation (WIM does not support elevation).</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BsElementPosition</strong></td>
<td>Element spacing for BS linear array in wavelengths. This parameter can be either scalar or vector. If scalar, uniform spacing is applied. If vector, values give distances between adjacent elements.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MsGainPattern</strong></td>
<td>MS antenna field pattern values in a 4D array. The dimensions are [ELNUM POL EL AZ] = SIZE(MsGainPattern), where ELNUM – the number of physical antenna elements in the array. The elements may be dual-polarized. POL – polarization. The first dimension is vertical polarization, the second is horizontal. If the polarization option is not used, vertical polarization is assumed (if both are given). EL – elevation. This value is ignored. Only the first element of this dimension is used. AZ – complex-valued field pattern in the azimuth dimension given at azimuth angles defined in MsGainAnglesAz. If NUMEL(MsGainPattern)=1, all elements are assumed to have uniform gain defined by the value of MsGainPattern over the full azimuth angle, and the number of MS antenna elements is defined by <code>wimpar.NumMsElements</code>. This speeds up computation since field pattern interpolation is not needed.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MsGainAnglesAz</strong></td>
<td>Vector containing the azimuth angles for the MS antenna field pattern values. These values are assumed to be the same for both polarizations. This value is given in degrees over the range (-180,180) degrees. If NUMEL(BsGainPattern)=1, this variable is ignored.</td>
<td>linspace(-180,180,90)</td>
<td>Deg</td>
<td>AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MsGainAnglesEl</strong></td>
<td>Vector of elevation angles for definition of MS antenna gain values. This parameter is for future needs only; its value is ignored in this implementation (WIM does not support elevation).</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MsElementPosition</strong></td>
<td>Element spacing for MS linear array in wavelengths. This parameter can be either scalar or vector. If scalar, uniform spacing is applied. If vector, values give distances between adjacent elements.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>InterpFunction</strong></td>
<td>The name of the interpolating function. One can replace this with his own function. For syntax, see interp_gain.m, which is the default function. For faster computation, see interp_gain_c.m</td>
<td>'interp_gain'</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>InterpMethod</strong></td>
<td>The interpolation method used by the interpolating function. Available methods depend on the function. The default function is based on MATLAB’s interp1.m function and supports e.g. ‘linear’ and ‘cubic’ (default) methods. Note that some methods, such as ‘linear’, cannot extrapolate values falling outside the field pattern definition.</td>
<td>'cubic'</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8-4: Layout parameters are defining the simulated network. Name of m-file is ‘layoutparset.m’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Default value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Parameter type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BsXY</td>
<td>matrix of BS (x,y) co-ordinates with dimensions 2xNofBs</td>
<td></td>
<td>[m]</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NofSect</td>
<td>vector of number of sectors in each of the BSs</td>
<td>ones(1,NofBs)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BsOmega</td>
<td>BsOmega is a matrix with dimensions max(NofSect)xNofBs. Each column of the matrix contains orientations of sectorised arrays with respect to some fixed North direction. If some BS have less sectors than others, the non-existing sector orientations are set to zero. E.g. setup with 2 BS, one with 1 sector and other with 3 sectors. In this case orientation matrix could be e.g. BsOmega = [11 22; 0 33; 0 44]</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MsXY</td>
<td>matrix of MS (x,y) co-ordinates with dimensions 2xNofMs</td>
<td></td>
<td>[m]</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MsOmega</td>
<td>vector of MS array broad side orientations</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pairing</td>
<td>Pairing is a matrix with dimensions NofSect x NofMs, i.e. one entry for each BS sector/MS pair. Value '1' stands for &quot;link will be modelled&quot; and value '0' stands for &quot;link will not be modelled&quot;. E.g. with all ones matrix, all the MS are connected to all sectors. With e.g. first rows ones and others zeros means, that all MS are connected to only 1st sector of 1st BS.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NofMs</td>
<td>Number of Mobile Stations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NofBs</td>
<td>Number of Base Stations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>number of links</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rmax</td>
<td>layout range</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[m]</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SectPerBs</td>
<td>default number of sectors in a BS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>integer</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSrmin</td>
<td>minimum distance of BSs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>[m]</td>
<td>NL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameter matrices BsGainPattern and MsGainPattern 2nd dimension is either 1 or 2. If polarization option is in use, the field pattern values have to be given for vertical and horizontal polarizations (in this order). If polarization is not used only the first dimension, i.e. vertical, is used, if both are given.

Note that the mean power of narrowband channel matrix elements (i.e. summed over delay domain) depends on the antenna gains. The default antenna has unit gain for both polarizations. Hence, the mean narrowband channel coefficient power is two for ‘polarized’ option, and one for all other options.

The fourth input argument, is optional. It can be used to specify the initial AoDs, AoAs, cisoid phases, path losses and shadowing values when WIM is called recursively, or for testing purposes. If this argument is given, the random parameter generation as defined in WIM is not needed. Only the antenna gain values will be interpolated for the supplied AoAs and AoDs.

The fields of the MATLAB struct are given in the following table. Notation: K denotes the number of links, N denotes the number of paths, M denotes the number of subpaths within a path.

Table 8-5: Initial values, fourth optional input argument.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>InitDelays</td>
<td>A K x N matrix of path delays.</td>
<td>Sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InitSubPathPowers</td>
<td>A K x N x M array of powers of the subpaths.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InitAods</td>
<td>A K x N x M array</td>
<td>Degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InitAoaas</td>
<td>A K x N x M array</td>
<td>Degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InitSubPathPhases</td>
<td>A complex-valued K x N x M array. When polarization option is used, this is a K x P x N x M array, where P=4. In this case</td>
<td>degrees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the second dimension includes the phases for [VV VH HV HH] polarized components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>InitPathLosses</td>
<td>A K x 1 vector</td>
<td>Decibel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InitShadowLosses</td>
<td>A K x 1 vector</td>
<td>Decibel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8.1.2 Example of output parameters

There are three output arguments: CHAN, DELAYS, FULLOUTPUT. The last two are optional output parameters. Notation: K denotes the number of links, N is the number of paths, T the number of time samples, U the number of receiver elements, and S denotes the number of transmitter elements.

#### Table 8-6: The three output arguments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHAN</td>
<td>A 5D-array with dimensions U x S x N x T x K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELAYS</td>
<td>A K x N vector of path delay values. Note that delays are, for compatibility with the INITVALUES, also included in FULLOUTPUT.</td>
<td>sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FULLOUTPUT</td>
<td>A MATLAB struct with the following elements:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>delays A K x N matrix of path delays. This is identical to the second output argument.</td>
<td>sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>subPathPowers A K x N x M array of subpath powers.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aods A K x N x M array of subpath angles of departure</td>
<td>degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aoas A K x N x M array of subpath angles of arrival</td>
<td>degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>subpath_phases A complex-valued K x N x M array giving the final phases of all subpaths. When polarization option is used, a K x P x N x M array, where P=4. In this case the second dimension includes the phases for [VV VH HV HH] polarized components.</td>
<td>degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path_losses</td>
<td>A K x 1 vector</td>
<td>linear scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shadow_fading</td>
<td>A K x 1 vector</td>
<td>linear scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta_t</td>
<td>A K x 1 vector defining time sampling interval for all links.</td>
<td>sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xpr</td>
<td>A K x 2 x N array of cross-polarization coupling power ratios. The second dimension is the [V-to-H H-to-V] coupling ratios.</td>
<td>linear scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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